Mitochondrial dysregulation and glycolytic insufficiency functionally impair CD8 T cells infiltrating human renal cell carcinoma
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Introduction

It has long been a goal to activate the adaptive immune system to eliminate cancer cells and tumors. Prior approaches to solely immunize with cancer cells or tumor-specific antigens, however, have yielded disappointing results (1). Nevertheless, tumors can be highly infiltrated with immune cells, including both lymphocytes and myeloid cells, and the degree and location of infiltrating immune cells can be predictive of outcome (2, 3). It is now apparent that the tumor microenvironment, influenced by cancer cells, can convey immunomodulatory signals to directly inhibit immune responses and prevent or impair antitumor immunity (4, 5). Blockade of these immune-inhibitory signals or stimulation of immune-activating receptors now offers the potential to reactivate the immune system and allows tumor-reactive T cells to seek out and eliminate cancer cells. In particular, blockade of interactions of the T cell inhibitory receptor programmed death-1 (PD-1) with its ligands (PD-L1 and PD-L2) have shown tremendous promise to efficiently target a variety of cancers (4, 5). Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is responsive to both high-dose IL-2...
and PD-1 checkpoint blockade (6–8). However, these immune therapies are effective in only a portion of patients, and duration of response can be limited. It is important to determine how additional interactions of T cells with the tumor microenvironment may suppress antitumor immunity.

One potential barrier to T cell–mediated elimination of cancer cells is the requirement of lymphocytes to undergo metabolic reprogramming and induce high rates of aerobic glycolysis for effector function (9–11). Resting T cells utilize a catabolic and largely oxidative metabolism that promotes survival and quiescence. Upon activation, however, T cells upregulate nutrient transporters, including the glucose transporter Glut1, and sharply increase anabolic glucose and amino acid metabolism. In addition to increased glycolysis, mitochondria are also dynamically remodeled upon T cell activation and undergo a process of fragmentation, and cristae become condensed (12). While this mitochondrial structure can impair the efficiency of electron transport (13), mitochondria nevertheless continue to play essential roles in T cell activation. While excessive mitochondrial ROS can lead to an adaptive stress response, ROS can also regulate signaling (14). Mitochondria are also critical to produce biosynthetic intermediates and ATP (12, 14). Failure to induce these metabolic transitions can impair immune activity, as Glut1 deficiency, impaired mitochondrial electron transport, insufficient nutrient availability, or metabolic inhibition can prevent proliferation, T cell activation, and effector function (9, 14–17).

Cancer cells may inhibit T cell metabolic reprogramming to impair antitumor immunity through both direct signaling and indirect effects, altering the local composition of nutrients and waste products present in the microenvironment. PD-1 ligation can suppress T cell signaling through phosphatidylinositide-3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mTOR complex 1, leading to reduced glucose uptake and aerobic glycolysis (18–22) and promotion of lipid oxidation (19, 23). In addition, consumption of nutrients by cancer cells may limit T cell access to glucose and key amino acids needed for T cell activation and effector signaling pathways (10, 24, 25). Tumor cell production of lactic acid can also suppress T cell signaling through NFAT and IFN-γ secretion to impair antitumor immunity (11). Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) have been reported to lose mitochondrial mass in a melanoma model, rendering T cells unable to efficiently meet bioenergetic needs (26). While restoring T cell glucose uptake and glycolytic intermediates (24, 27) or mitochondrial mass (26) can improve TIL function, the mechanisms and role of T cell metabolic inhibition in cancer remain poorly understood.

cCRCC is highly associated with mutations of the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) gene (28, 29). The VHL mutation permits stabilization of hypoxia inducible factors (HIF-1α and HIF-2α), transcription factors that promote tumor cell glycolysis, and altered metabolism through altered transcriptional programs. This altered metabolic environment may influence outcomes following PD-1 blockade therapy (30). Here, we assessed the functionality and cell intrinsic metabolism of cCRCC TIL from 54 patients. While CD4 cCRCC TIL were only moderately affected, CD8 cCRCC TIL activated poorly and failed to proliferate upon stimulation. Although CD8 cCRCC TIL expressed Glut1 and maintained mitochondrial mass, TCR stimulation failed to induce glucose uptake, and mitochondria appeared fragmented. Mitochondria were also hyperpolarized and produced high levels of ROS. Importantly, cCRCC CD8 TIL activation was improved by addition of pyruvate or mitochondrial ROS scavengers. Together, these data show that metabolic adaptation and impairments of resident cCRCC TIL contribute to poor T cell function and that restoring T cell metabolism may improve functionality of T cells in the cCRCC tumor microenvironment.

Results

To identify barriers to antitumor immunity in cCRCC, we examined T cells from 54 freshly resected patient tumors. Consistent with findings in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (29) (Figure 1A) in which cCRCC had the highest CD8α signature of all nonlymphoid solid tumor types, CD8 T cells were found to be abundant in immunofluorescence analysis of cCRCC tissue (Figure 1B). Fresh tumor sections were then dissociated into single cell suspensions to examine cell surface marker expression. Several T cell activation and exhaustion markers were coordinately upregulated on cCRCC CD8 TIL, most prominently CD69 and PD-1 (Figure 1C). While additional inhibitory receptors may also play a role in cCRCC, expression of Tim3, CTLA4, and LAG-3 was not found to be significantly elevated.

To further phenotype cCRCC TIL, we examined resting or stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and primary cCRCC tumor tissue using high-dimensional mass cytometry. SPADE analyses cluster phenotypically-like cell populations, and they showed that activated healthy donor PBMC CD8 T cells underwent a phenotypic transition to increase expression of CD27, CD38, and PD-1
Interestingly, ccRCC CD8 T cells were phenotypically distinct from both resting and acutely activated healthy donor CD8 T cells. Similar to previous studies (31), the majority of ccRCC CD8 TIL were CD69<sup>high</sup>CD45RO<sup>+</sup>PD-1<sup>high</sup>CD27<sup>low</sup>CD38<sup>low</sup> (population no. 2), suggesting an effector memory–like T cell phenotype. Further comparison of PD-1<sup>high</sup> and PD-1<sup>low</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells showed that PD-1<sup>high</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> TIL were selectively enriched for expression of CD69, CD45RO, CD38, and CD27, while having lower CD44 expression (Supplemental Figure 1D).

ccRCC CD8 TIL were enriched in effector and central memory phenotype cells (Supplemental Figure 2). Interestingly, ccRCC patient PBMC contained a similarly enriched population of CD8 T cells.

Because ccRCC CD8 TIL can be functionally impaired (32), single cell suspensions of ccRCC tumors were stimulated in vitro to assess TIL activation. ccRCC CD8 TIL showed reduced capability to upregulate...
T cell activation markers and had impaired cell growth and limited proliferation relative to healthy donor or ccRCC patient CD8 PBMC (Figure 2, A–C). ccRCC CD4 TIL, in contrast, showed only minor impairments (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). Defects were not due to continuous inhibitory PD-1 signaling, as addition of PD-1 blocking antibody to in vitro cultures was not sufficient to alter activation or cell growth (Supplemental Figure 3C).

T cells require metabolic reprogramming and increased aerobic glycolysis to gain effector function (9, 15, 22, 33). While tumor cells can deplete nutrients (10, 15, 24, 34), no decrease in interstitial glucose levels was observed in ccRCC tumors relative to adjacent normal kidney tissue (Figure 3A). Nevertheless, lactate can inhibit T cell function (11, 35) and was increased in ccRCC interstitial fluid. ccRCC CD8 TIL had normal or a trend toward increased expression of glucose transporter Glut1 and hexokinase 2 (HK2) (Figure 3B). The mTORC1 pathway regulates T cell metabolism (22) and appeared to be activated, as the downstream mTORC1 effector phosphor-S6 was significantly elevated (Figure 3B). Inhibition of this pathway by rapamycin decreased CD8 T cell upregulation of CD25 and CD71 following stimulation (Figure 3C), demonstrating an essential role for mTORC1 in ccRCC CD8 TIL.

Efficient glucose metabolism promotes CD8 T cell effector function (36) and can enhance production of memory CD8 cells (37). Nevertheless, ccRCC CD8 TIL had defects in both glucose uptake and glycolysis. Although ccRCC CD8 TIL had an activated phenotype and total cellular Glut1 and HK2 expression appeared normal (Figure 3B), basal uptake of the fluorescent glucose analog 2NBDG was similar to resting control CD8 T cells (Supplemental Figure 4A). In addition, ccRCC CD8 TIL were unable to increase glucose uptake following stimulation (Figure 4A). In contrast, ccRCC CD4 TIL remained able to increase glucose uptake upon stimulation (Supplemental Figure 4B). Phosphorylation of Glut1 S226 by protein kinase C (PKC) may promote Glut1 cell surface localization and activity (38). However, we found ccRCC CD8 TIL unable to increase Glut1 phosphorylation (Figure 4B), potentially rendering this transporter unable to access extracellular glucose. In addition, ccRCC CD8 TIL showed glycolytic defects downstream of glucose uptake, as expression of GAPDH was significantly lower (Figure 4C). Consistent with a better ability to maintain glucose uptake and metabolism, ccRCC CD4 TIL maintained GAPDH expression (Supplemental Figure 4C). Importantly, ccRCC CD8 TIL appeared adapted to having a lower dependence on glucose and glycolysis, and activation was not further suppressed in low glucose conditions, as it was for control CD8 (Figure 4D).

Mitochondria can efficiently provide energy from pyruvate and could compensate for impaired glycolysis of CD8 TIL, but have been reported to be depleted in melanoma and head-and-neck–cancer TIL (26). Reduced electron transport has also been associated with effector CD8 T cells (12), and T cells with low mitochondrial membrane potential can have enhanced antitumor function (39), suggesting that mitochondria may play critical roles in antitumor immunity. We, therefore, assessed mitochondria in control and ccRCC CD8 TIL. Interestingly, mitochondria in control CD8 T cells appeared in fused aggregates (Figure 5A, and Supplemental Videos 1 and 2) while mitochondria in ccRCC CD8 TIL appeared small and dispersed (Figure 5A and Supplemental Videos 3–5) when analyzed using super-resolution confocal microscopy. Altered cellular metabolism can impact mitochondrial dynamics and lead to mitochondrial fragmentation and dysfunction (12, 40, 41). Given the apparent altered size and distribution of mitochondria in ccRCC CD8 TIL, electron microscopy (EM) was next used to better assess mitochondrial structure.
Consistent with small mitochondria in confocal images, EM demonstrated that mitochondria from ccRCC CD8 TIL were predominantly small and round, rather than large rod shapes found in control CD8 T cells (Figure 5, B and C). Further, mitochondria in ccRCC CD8 TIL appeared predominantly in the condensed configuration relative to the more orthodox configuration of control CD8 T cells.

Despite apparent mitochondrial fragmentation and altered structure, mitochondrial mass was not decreased. MitoTracker Green (MTG), which indicates mitochondrial inner membrane mass irrespective of membrane potential, was increased rather than decreased in ccRCC CD8 TIL (Figure 6A). MTG staining of peripheral blood CD8 T cells from healthy and ccRCC donors, however, was equivalent (Supplemental Figure 5A). Expression of multiple mitochondrial electron transport proteins in ccRCC CD8 TIL was normal and coordinated (Supplemental Figure 5, B and C), suggesting a dysregulation of mitochondrial morphology irrespective of mitochondrial protein expression. PGC1α promotes mitochondrial biogenesis, and deficiency of this transcription factor may decrease mitochondrial content and expression of electron transport components in T cells (20, 26, 42). However, PGC1α expression appeared normal in ccRCC CD8 TIL (Supplemental Figure 5D). Mitochondrial metabolism remained important for ccRCC CD8 TIL, as inhibition of electron transport complex I with metformin or phenformin reduced ccRCC CD8 TIL in vitro survival (Supplemental Figure 5E).
Altered structure and maintenance, along with increased mitochondrial mass, suggested distinct mitochondrial function in ccRCC CD8 TIL compared with control CD8 T cells. Indeed, a greater proportion of ccRCC CD8 TIL had hyperpolarized mitochondria than control CD8 T cells from healthy donors (Figure 6B). Hyperpolarized mitochondria can be a source of ROS in macrophages (43), and ccRCC CD8 TIL also had high levels of mitochondrial ROS (Figure 6C). Total cellular or cytoplasmic ROS, however, were decreased in ccRCC CD8 TIL relative to control T cells (Figure 6D). An adaptive cytosolic mechanism to neutralize ROS is increased cystine uptake and glutathione production. Using a fluorescent indicator (44), we found cystine uptake to be increased in ccRCC CD8 TIL (Figure 6E). This was most pronounced in ccRCC CD8 TIL with elevated mitochondrial ROS (Figure 6F). Peripheral blood T cells from healthy and ccRCC donors were, however, equivalent for both total cellular ROS and cystine uptake (Supplemental Figure 6, A and B). After uptake, cystine is converted to cysteine, and ccRCC CD8 TIL also had elevated intracellular levels of cysteine using a distinct dye indicator (Supplemental Figure 6C). Glutathione is generated from cysteine to neutralize ROS and was also increased in ccRCC CD8 TIL (Supplemental Figure 6D). Increased ROS may cause DNA damage in T cells (39), and although ATM phosphorylation was not altered, ccRCC CD8 TIL had increased levels of the DNA damage–indicator γH2AX (Supplemental Figure 6E).

Increased mitochondrial ROS as a consequence of altered ccRCC CD8 TIL mitochondrial dynamics and function may also impair T cell function. SOD1 and -2 play roles to neutralize cytoplasmic and mitochondrial ROS, respectively (45). SOD1 levels were unchanged in ccRCC CD8 TIL (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B).
In contrast, while SOD2 levels were equivalent between peripheral blood of healthy and ccRCC donors, expression of this protein was downregulated in CD8 TIL in the majority of ccRCC tumors (Figure 6G and Supplemental Figure 7B). ccRCC CD4 TIL, in contrast, largely retained SOD2 (Supplemental Figure 7C).

Tumor-experienced T cells can be found in the periphery of cancer patients (46, 47). While the percent of TMRE\textsuperscript{high}\textsuperscript{CD8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells was similar in the blood of healthy and ccRCC donors (Supplemental Figure 8A), PD-1\textsuperscript{high}\textsuperscript{CD8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells with hyperpolarized mitochondria were detectable in peripheral blood from ccRCC patients (n = 8) and controls (n = 12). Further, these cells also showed increased mitochondrial ROS and MTG staining, while cytoplasmic ROS was decreased relative to PBMC PD-1\textsuperscript{low}\textsuperscript{CD8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells (Supplemental Figure 8B). Patient PBMC PD-1\textsuperscript{high}\textsuperscript{CD8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells showed higher levels of mitochondrial polarization than PD-1\textsuperscript{low}\textsuperscript{CD8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells (Supplemental Figure 8C). Importantly, mitochondrial polarization was greater in PBMC PD-1\textsuperscript{high} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells from ccRCC patients than an equivalent population of PD-1\textsuperscript{high}\textsuperscript{CD8\textsuperscript{+}} T cells from healthy donors (Figure 7B).

Given the multiple metabolic impairments in ccRCC CD8 TIL, we tested if rescue of these defective pathways could positively impact TIL activation. Pyruvate was first added to ccRCC T cell stimulation cultures to test if bypassing defects in glucose uptake and glycolysis could restore ccRCC CD8 T cell activation. While no rescue was observed for CD8 TIL from ccRCC patients where TIL activation was partially preserved (Supplemental Figure 9A), provision of pyruvate was sufficient to increase the activation of ccRCC CD8 TIL from patients who otherwise showed poor T cell activation (Figure 8A). SOD2 deficiency can be rescued by provision of SOD2 mimetics and mitochondria-targeted ROS scavengers (48). Treatment with MitoQ to reduce mitochondrial ROS increased the activation of ccRCC CD8 TIL that poorly responded to TCR stimulation (Figure 8B and Supplemental Figure 9B). The mitochondrial ROS scavenger MitoTEMPO treatment also led to increased activation of ccRCC CD8 TIL (Figure 8C). In addition, SOD2 mimetics induced a trend toward increased proliferation and cytokine production by ccRCC
CD8 TIL (Supplemental Figure 9, C and D). Together, these data support a model in which the ccRCC tumor microenvironment impairs the metabolic status of ccRCC CD8 TIL to directly inhibit activation and that these defects support that these defects may limit the effectiveness of CD8 Teff to mount an immune response to tumor cells (Supplemental Figure 9E).

Discussion
ccRCC has long held the promise of being an immune-responsive tumor, stemming from a long history of rare but sometimes impressively durable responses to high-dose IL-2, and now, with the enthusiasm around checkpoint-inhibitor therapy, it has been recently approved for kidney cancer (49). Although promising, the response rate of ccRCC to checkpoint therapy is approximately 25%, and durability of response can be limited (6, 7), motivating investigations like this to understand the mediators of immune responsiveness or the more common failure of checkpoint therapy to reactivate exhausted T cells in ccRCC. Mechanisms of this therapeutic resistance are poorly understood. It is clear that effector T cells require metabolic reprogramming for maximal function (50). Here we show, however, that ccRCC CD8 TIL have multiple metabolic impairments that contribute to limited activation. CD8 TIL from ccRCC patients appeared effector-memory–like (31) and were uniformly PD-1\textsuperscript{high}, which may indicate chronic stimulation and a suppressed or exhausted state. Importantly, ccRCC CD8 TIL had a broad set of defects in glucose uptake, glycolysis, and mitochondrial dynamics and function. These defects contributed to the limited capacity for ccRCC CD8 TIL to activate, as bypassing glycolysis with pyruvate or neutralizing mitochondrial ROS improved markers of T cell activation. These effects may be related, as pyruvate is also an antioxidant. Metabolic adaptations of TIL to the ccRCC tumor microenvironment may, therefore, form a barrier to antitumor immunity and immune-checkpoint therapy.

Defects in ccRCC CD8 TIL metabolism may arise from several sources. VHL mutations are frequent in ccRCC (28) and lead to a pseudohypoxic response in cancer cells due to stabilization of HIF-1\textalpha. This response includes increased aerobic glycolysis and vascularization that may alter the nutrient microenvironment in which T cells must function. Consumption of intratumoral glucose by cancer cells has been shown to decrease IFN-\gamma production (10, 15, 34) and may affect the cellular epigenetics through altered

Figure 7. CD8 T cells with signs of mitochondrial dysregulation can be detected in peripheral blood of ccRCC patients. (A and B) Peripheral blood (PB) CD8 T cells from RCC patients (n = 4) and healthy controls (n = 5–7) were analyzed for PD-1 expression and TMRE accumulation using flow cytometry. Error bars represent ± SEM; *P < 0.05, Student’s t test.

Figure 8. Metabolic rescue increases RCC CD8 TIL activation. (A) RCC patient samples (n = 6) were stimulated with or without additional pyruvate (5 mM) and activation was measured using CD25 and CD71 expression. Patients were stratified into low responders or high responders based on the activation in the absence of pyruvate supplementation. Data are representative of low-responder patient samples. (B and C) Cells were stimulated as in A and treated with 119 nM MitoQ or 100 nM MitoTEMPO. Expression of CD25 and CD71 was measured with flow cytometry on 6–7 RCC samples. Error bars represent ± SEM; *P < 0.05, Student’s t test.
EZH2 expression (25). Here, however, we did not observe a decrease in intratumoral interstitial glucose concentration. This difference may be tumor-type specific or reflect an alternate mechanism by which the tumor microenvironment can influence T cells. In contrast to glucose, lactate levels were increased, and other metabolites may also be affected. Elevated lactate can impair T cells (11, 35) and may also contribute to T cell metabolic dysfunction. Similarly, PD-1 was elevated on ccRCC CD8 TIL and may impair T cell metabolism. Acute blockade of PD-1 signaling in vitro, however, did not restore ccRCC CD8 TIL function.

This may indicate that prolonged PD-1 blockade or additional factors are important for T cells to recover function. Nevertheless, PD-1 can inhibit glycolysis (18–20) and may contribute to poor T cell activation. This effect, however, did not affect all T cells, as CD4 T cells in the same microenvironment were less affected. It is possible that CD4 and CD8 T cells have different nutrient exposures within the tumor microenvironment, or that chronic antigenic stimulation or response to PD-1 signaling differs in these T cell subsets.

Mitochondrial deficiencies appear to play key roles in the inability of TIL to eliminate cancer cells. In contrast to TIL in a melanoma model (26), ccRCC CD8 TIL retained or increased overall mitochondrial mass and appeared to also express PGC1α and electron transport components at normal levels. Mitochondrial mass was also maintained in TIL from a colorectal carcinoma model, although uncoupling of mitochondrial electron transport was proposed to increase T cell function (51). In ccRCC CD8 TIL, however, mitochondria produced ROS and appeared highly fragmented and in condensed configuration relative to normal healthy CD8 T cell mitochondria. T cell mitochondrial fragmentation can occur upon stimulation (12). Upon activation, fragmentation and cristae remodeling of T cell mitochondria were associated with decreased electron transfer efficiency and increased glycolysis. Elevated mitochondrial membrane potential and multiple glycolytic defects observed here suggest, however, that ccRCC CD8 TIL have both mitochondrial and glycolytic defects and mitochondrial fragmentation. Chronically altered nutrients or metabolic status can also lead to mitochondrial fragmentation (41) and may contribute to this phenotype in ccRCC CD8 TIL. The extent to which glycolytic and mitochondrial defects may be linked or mediated through distinct mechanisms remains uncertain.

The functional defects of ccRCC CD8 TIL were retained in vitro even when cultured with full nutrient availability. Provision of additional nutrients or treatments to improve metabolic function led to only partial functional rescue. Defects, therefore, were imprinted and long-lasting, and they may reflect an adaptive program to the ccRCC tumor microenvironment. Indeed, ccRCC CD8 TIL did not show a typical impairment of activation when stimulated in low glucose conditions as compared with control T cells. This adaptive response also likely involves a response to neutralize ROS, as the increase in mitochondrial ROS contrasted with the reduced cytoplasmic redox state. T cells require an increase in cytosolic ROS for calcium signaling upon activation (14), and this may have been blocked by an adaptive response to increased cystine uptake and glutathione production. This adaptive response may have also limited the ability of mitochondrial ROS scavengers to restore ccRCC CD8 T cell function.

The mitochondrial phenotype of ccRCC CD8 TIL may provide a new approach to assess T cell function in ccRCC patients. Tumor-specific T cells have been reported to be observed in the peripheral blood of cancer patients (46), although markers to indicate their functional capacity beyond PD-1 have been lacking. ccRCC CD8 TIL had highly polarized mitochondria, and peripheral blood of ccRCC patients also contained a population of CD8+PD-1+ T cells with elevated mitochondrial polarization. The repertoire and tumor specificity of CD8+PD-1+Δψm high T cells remains uncertain, but these cells may reflect a population of tumor-experienced lymphocytes. High mitochondrial membrane polarization may mark T cells with limited antitumor functional capacity. Importantly, tumor-specific CD8 T cells with mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarization expressed inhibitory receptors and had short lifespans and limited ability to control tumors in adoptive T cell therapy studies (39).

The signals and mechanisms that limit T cell activation and ability to eliminate tumors remain poorly understood. The success of immune therapies, however, demonstrates that T cells can be reactivated to promote antitumor immunity, albeit currently in a relatively small subset. Maximizing that activity in a broader segment of cancer patients remains the most promising opportunity to be the next major impact in the care of patients in oncology. Improved T cell metabolism or ability to function in nutrient-poor microenvironments within tumors may be critical. Indeed, PD-1 ligation has been shown to inhibit glycolysis and promote mitochondrial oxidative pathways (18–20), suggesting that a key function of PD-1 blockade may be to improve T cell metabolic fitness. Similarly, chronic stimulation can lead to T cell mitochondrial impairments both in chronic viral infection (20) and melanoma (26). Furthermore, altered mitochondrial
morphology and membrane hyperpolarization has also been described in HIV-specific CD8 T cells (52) and in systemic lupus erythematosus–associated T cells (53), and normalization of metabolism can reverse autoimmunity (54). Strategies to increase T cell mitochondrial metabolism in chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) therapy increased in vivo persistence and antitumor responses in human studies (55). Altered mitochondrial dynamics, therefore, may reflect a common response of T cells to chronic stimulation in a metabolically challenging tumor microenvironment. It is now important to better understand mechanisms that may restrict T cell metabolism in ccRCC to provide new markers for T cell function and potential targets to improve T cell–mediated antitumor immunity.

Methods

Sample collection and processing. Fresh malignant tissue was surgically removed from 54 patients with RCC. In some cases, adjacent healthy kidney tissue was obtained. Patients with confirmed histology of renal oncocytoma, or papillary or chromophobe RCC were excluded from the study. For certain assays, tissues were placed onto nylon mesh membrane (BioDesign) and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 300 g to obtain intracellular fluid as described (56). Tissues were processed by mechanical dissociation, followed by enzymatic digestion. Single cell suspension was obtained after passage through a 70-μm strainer and subsequent red blood cell lysis. Fresh single cell suspensions were analyzed or in vitro cultured for 3 or 5 days. For mass cytometry experiments, cryopreserved samples were used. PMBC were collected from 7 RCC patients and processed by density gradient centrifugation. Control PBMC were obtained from at least 80 healthy donors.

T cell enrichment, stimulation, and cytokine measurements. RCC tumor single cell suspensions and PBMC from healthy donors and RCC patients were cultured for 3 or 5 days and by addition of anti-CD3 (eBioscience clone UCHT1) in complete RPMI 1640 with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For selected experiments, cells were cultured in glucose-free RPMI medium with glucose supplementation. In some experiments, cells were stimulated in the presence of anti-PD1 antibody (clone J116, BioXCell). Cells were treated with indicated concentrations of rapamycin (Cell Signaling Technology), metformin (1 mM), phenformin (100 μM; both Sigma-Aldrich), MitoQ (MedKoo Biosciences), MitoTEMPO (Sigma-Aldrich), and pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich). For microscopy experiments, tumor and PBMC single cell suspensions were enriched for CD8 T cells using CD8 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec). IL-2 and IFN-γ production was assessed by Luminex (Thermo Fisher Scientific) assay as described by the manufacturer following stimulation as indicated above and collection of cellular supernatants.

Fluorescence cytometry and mass cytometry. Expression of T cell surface markers and intracellular targets was measured by fluorescence cytometry (MACSQuant, Miltenyi Biotec) and analyzed by FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.). The following antibodies were used: anti-CD4 violet blue (VB; clone VIT4), CD8 peridinin chlorophyll (PerCP; clone SK1) or Allophycocyanin (APC), CD71 phycoerythrin (PE; clone OKT9) or APC, CD69 Fluorescein Isothiocyanate (FITC; clone FN50), CD25 PE and APC (clone 4E3), PD-1 APC (clone J105), TIM3 FITC (clone F38-2E2), CTLA4 PE PE (clone 12-1529-41), BTLA FITC (clone ANC545), CD200R PE (clone OX108), LAG3 PerCP (clone 3DS223H), CD62L PE-Cy7 (clone DREG56), and CD45R0 APC (clone UCHL1) (all eBioscience, Miltenyi Biotec or BD Biosciences). Staining for intracellular targets was performed after paraformaldehyde fixation and methanol permeabilization using following antibodies: custom made pGlut1 S226 (38), Glut1 (Abcam, clone EPR3915), HK2 (Millipore, polyclonal), pS6 (clone D57.2.2E), GAPDH (clone D16H11), pH2AX (clone 20E3) (all Cell Signaling) and pATM (Millipore, clone 10H11.E12). For SOD1 and SOD2 staining, cells were fixed and permeabilized using the BD Fixation/Permeabilization Kit. For proliferation experiments, cells were stained with Cell Trace Violet dye (Invitrogen), and dye dilution on proliferating cells was measured by fluorescence cytometry (MACSQuant, Miltenyi Biotec) and analyzed by FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.). The following antibodies were used: anti-CD4 violet blue (VB; clone VIT4), CD8 peridinin chlorophyll (PerCP; clone SK1) or Allophycocyanin (APC), CD71 phycoerythrin (PE; clone OKT9) or APC, CD69 Fluorescein Isothiocyanate (FITC; clone FN50), CD25 PE and APC (clone 4E3), PD-1 APC (clone J105), TIM3 FITC (clone F38-2E2), CTLA4 PE PE (clone 12-1529-41), BTLA FITC (clone ANC545), CD200R PE (clone OX108), LAG3 PerCP (clone 3DS223H), CD62L PE-Cy7 (clone DREG56), and CD45R0 APC (clone UCHL1) (all eBioscience, Miltenyi Biotec or BD Biosciences). Staining for intracellular markers was performed after paraformaldehyde fixation and methanol permeabilization using following antibodies: custom made pGlut1 S226 (38), Glut1 (Abcam, clone EPR3915), HK2 (Millipore, polyclonal), pS6 (clone D57.2.2E), GAPDH (clone D16H11), pH2AX (clone 20E3) (all Cell Signaling) and pATM (Millipore, clone 10H11.E12). For SOD1 and SOD2 staining, cells were fixed and permeabilized using the BD Fixation/Permeabilization Kit. For proliferation experiments, cells were stained with Cell Trace Violet dye (Invitrogen), and dye dilution on proliferating cells was measured with fluorescent cytometry. Mass cytometry analyses of tumor infiltrating T cells were described previously (57). Briefly, cells were stained with cell surface antibodies and subsequently fixed and permeabilized, followed by an overnight incubation with intracellular antibodies. Cells were analyzed on a CyTOF 1.0 at the Vanderbilt Flow Cytometry Shared Resource. Data were bead-normalized and analyzed using Cytobank (58). Expression of 34 markers was used to generate viSNE plots that were utilized to create SPADE analyses.

Microscopy. For immunofluorescent microscopy, cells were isolated as described above and incubated with 300 nM MitoTracker Deep Red (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 minutes, mounted with Wescor Cytopro cyt centrifuge, and then fixed with 4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in cytoskeleton buffer. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), stained for CD8 (Biolegend,
catalog 344702, clone SK1) and DAPI (300 nM, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and mounted with Prolong Gold Antifade reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Secondary donkey anti–mouse IgG AlexaFluor488 antibodies (Life Technologies, polyclonal) were used. Images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope with Airyscan for super-resolution imaging using a 63×/1.40NA Plan-Apochromat oil objective and 405-, 488-, 561-, and 633-nm lasers and default settings for pinhole and filters. Images were processed using ZEN software and FIJI. To process mitochondrial images in FIJI, 50-pixel rolling ball background subtraction was used. Images of mitochondria are presented as maximum intensity projections or single z-slices for DAPI or CD8. Temporal color code function in FIJI was used for z-series color coding. EM was performed after CD8 T cell isolation and fixation using a high-resolution transmission electron microscope with eucentric goniometer stage and Gatan cryotransfer stage (Phileps/FEI T-12).

2NBDG, cystine uptake, and cysteine detection. Glucose and cystine uptake was measured using the fluorescent glucose analog 2-[N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino]-2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-NBDG) and CystineFITC (44). Cells were cultured in glucose-free media and incubated in 50 μM 2-NBDG for 45 minutes or in 1 μM CystineFITC for 20 minutes. After staining of cell surface molecules, fluorescence of selected T cell subpopulations was measured by flow cytometry. Intracellular cysteine levels were measured as described previously (59) in combination with cell surface markers.

Assessment of mitochondrial morphology and detection of ROS. Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using TMRE (0.2 μM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer protocols. Mitochondrial mass was assessed using MitoTracker Green, and mitochondrial and cellular ROS were measured using the MitoSOX and DCFDA reagents (all Invitrogen), respectively.

TCGA data processing and IHC. TCGA data were accessed through cBioportal (60). All available cancer types except diseases originating from BM or lymphatic tissue were included. IHC was performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded RCC tissue samples using DAPI and antibodies against CD8.

Statistics. Data involving 2 groups were analyzed using a paired or unpaired 2-tailed Student t test or Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism (GraphPad Software). For experiments where relative mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) is presented, MFI was normalized to the mean of MFI from controls samples from the same experiment.

Study approval. All subjects (Supplemental Table 1) were deidentified and provided written informed consent according to protocols approved by IRB of the collecting center (University of North Carolina Medical Center and Vanderbilt University Medical Center) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
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