Assessing drug efficacy against *Plasmodium falciparum* liver stages in vivo

Erika L. Flannery,1 Lander Foquet,1 Vorada Chuenchob,1 Matthew Fishbaugh,1 Zachary Billman,2 Mary Jane Navarro,1 William Betz,1 Tayla M. Olsen,2 Joshua Lee,2 Nelly Camargo,1 Thao Nguyen,1 Carola Schafer,1 Brandon K. Sack,1 Elizabeth M. Wilson,3 Jessica Saunders,1 John Bial,3 Brice Campo,5 Susan A. Charman,4 Sean C. Murphy,7 Margaret A. Phillips,6 Stefan H.I. Kappe,1,7 and Sebastian A. Mikolajczak1

1Center for Infectious Disease Research, Seattle, Washington, USA. 2Departments of Laboratory Medicine and Microbiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA. 3Yecuris Corporation, Portland, Oregon, USA. 4Centre for Drug Candidate Optimisation, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, Parkville, Victoria, Australia. 5Medicines for Malaria Venture, Geneva, Switzerland. 6Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Dallas Texas, USA. 7Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle Washington, USA.

Introduction

Malaria eradication necessitates new tools to fight the evolving and complex *Plasmodium* pathogens. These tools include prophylactic drugs that eliminate *Plasmodium* liver stages and consequently prevent clinical disease, decrease transmission, and reduce the propensity for resistance development. Currently, the identification of these drugs relies on in vitro *P. falciparum* liver stage assays or in vivo causal prophylaxis assays using rodent malaria parasites; there is no method to directly test in vivo liver stage activity of candidate antimalarials against the human malaria–causing parasite *P. falciparum*. Here, we use a liver-chimeric humanized mouse (FRG huHep) to demonstrate in vivo *P. falciparum* liver stage development and describe the efficacy of clinically used and candidate antimalarials with prophylactic activity. We show that daily administration of atovaquone-proguanil (ATQ-PG; ATQ, 30 mg/kg, and PG, 10 mg/kg) protects 5 of 5 mice from liver stage infection, consistent with the use in humans as a causal prophylactic drug. Single-dose primaquine (60 mg/kg) has similar activity to that observed in humans, demonstrating the activity of this drug (and its active metabolites) in FRG huHep mice. We also show that DSM265, a selective *Plasmodial* dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibitor with causal prophylactic activity in humans, reduces liver stage burden in FRG huHep mice. Finally, we measured liver stage–to–blood stage transition of the parasite, the ultimate readout of prophylactic activity and measurement of infective capacity of parasites in the liver, to show that ATQ-PG reduces blood stage patency to below the limit of quantitation by quantitative PCR (qPCR). The FRG huHep model, thus, provides a platform for preclinical evaluation of drug candidates for liver stage causal prophylactic activity, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamics studies, and biological studies to investigate the mechanism of action of liver stage active antimalarials.
stage (6). This is substantially smaller than parasite numbers in the blood stage infection, which can exceed billions (7). Eliminating parasites in the liver prevents disease in the individual and eliminates transmission, as parasites never reach the blood stage. Furthermore, it also reduces the propensity for resistance development due to the fewer parasites present in the liver and the acyclic nature of exoerythrocytic schizogony.

Historically, antimalarials have been developed to cure blood stage infection, the symptomatic and potentially lethal stage of the disease, yet many of these drugs do not have activity against the liver stage of the parasite. Therefore, of the many antimalarial candidates currently in late product development, few have potential activity against \emph{P. falciparum} liver stages while also acting through novel targets in the parasite (8). While the rodent malaria models are used for preliminary testing of antimalarial drug candidates in vivo, fundamental differences in rodent and human malaria parasites exist. Most importantly, the rodent parasite \emph{P. berghei} undergoes complete liver stage development within 50 hours of infection, while complete liver stage development of \emph{P. falciparum} occurs between 5.5 and 6.5 days (9). This is an important distinction when drug half-lives and dosing regimens are extrapolated between species. Another important limitation of the rodent model is genetic dissimilarities between model organisms and the human malaria parasites potentially confounding the evaluation of target-specific compounds. For example, analogues of the dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) inhibitor DSM265 were 100-fold less potent against \emph{P. berghei} DHODH compared with \emph{P. falciparum} DHODH (10).

There is no preclinical in vivo model to test candidates for activity against \emph{P. falciparum} liver stages. We previously developed a human liver–chimeric mouse model that supports complete \emph{P. falciparum} liver stage development with kinetics similar to those in humans (11). In this model, immunocompromised (Rag2\(^{-/-}\),IL2R\(\gamma\)\(^{-/-}\)) mice also harbor a fumarylacetoacetate hydrodase deletion (FAH\(^{-/-}\)), which causes liver disease and murine hepatocyte damage (12, 13). This murine hepatocyte death, which can be rescued by the addition of 2-(2-nitro-4-trifluoromethylbenzoyl)-1,3-cyclohexanedione (NTBC) to the drinking water, allows for repopulation with healthy primary human hepatocytes that functionally support mouse processes and full liver stage development of human malaria (11, 14). We herein show that this model (FRG huHep) can be employed to test the liver stage efficacy of existing drugs and potentially novel antimalarial candidates against \emph{P. falciparum} and, thus, is a useful preclinical model for introduction into the antimalarial drug development pipeline.

Results

\emph{Infection by mosquito bite yields robust \emph{P. falciparum} liver stage burden}. To mimic the natural route of infection, we compared the effectiveness of FRG huHep infection by mosquito bite versus i.v. injection of sporozoites. First, to ensure the consistency of human hepatocyte repopulation and therefore direct comparisons between mice, we measured levels of human \emph{GAPDH} expression in FRG huHep livers (Figure 1A). We also compared human albumin levels between control groups used for the experiment (Figure 1B). No significant difference was observed in albumin levels or human \emph{GAPDH} gene expression in the FRG huHep mice showing equal human hepatocyte repopulation between the mice. \emph{P. falciparum} luciferase–expressing (NF54HT-GFP-luc) sporozoites (15) were introduced either by tail vein injection of \(1 \times 10^6\) sporozoites or by the bite of 50 infected mosquitoes. Six days after challenge, when liver burden is highest, liver stage \emph{P. falciparum} infection was measured using in vivo bioluminescence imaging (IVIS), as well as liver harvest followed by quantification of parasite 18S rRNA by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) (Figure 1C). There was no significant difference in liver burden between the mice infected by i.v. tail vein injection or mosquito bite, and quantification of parasite liver burden by IVIS and qPCR was correlated (Pearson \(r^2 = 0.53, P < 0.001\)) (Figure 1D), suggesting either method of inoculation and either method of quantification is suitable for establishment and measurement of \emph{P. falciparum} liver infection in FRG huHep mice.

To establish the humanized mouse as a viable platform for the identification of drugs with causal prophylactic activity, we used an antimalarial formulation currently recommended for the prevention of malaria infection in travelers. FRG huHep mice were infected with \emph{P. falciparum} by the bites of 50 infected mosquitoes. Five mice were treated with 30 mg/kg atovaquone (ATQ) and 10 mg/kg proguanil (PG) combination therapy 24 hours prior to infection and for 6 subsequent days after infection. Six days after infection, the average IVIS signal was \(6.8 \times 10^5\) photons/sec/cm\(^2\) in the control group and below background levels in all 5 mice in the ATQ-PG–treated group (Figure 1, E and F), demonstrating the causal prophylactic activity of this drug combination in the FRG huHep platform.
P. falciparum liver infection is reduced by the DHODH inhibitor, DSM265. DSM265 is a new antimalarial molecule that selectively targets Plasmodial DHODH and exhibits potent blood stage activity against P. falciparum both in vitro and in vivo, as well as good liver stage activity in vitro (16). Therefore, we were interested in assessing the activity of DSM265 in the FRG huHep model and in understanding its effect on P. falciparum liver stage development in vivo. First, to understand the in vivo disposition of DSM265 in FRG huHep mice, we treated mice orally with 20 mg/kg DSM265 (formulated as a spray-dried dispersion at 25% [250 mg/g] drug load in hydroxymethylcellulose acetate succinate [HPMCAS-MG]) and measured blood concentrations over time (Figure 2A). Mice were given 3 doses of 20 mg/kg DSM265, 24 hours apart. The 24- and 48-hour blood samples were collected prior to the daily administration of DSM265 and therefore represent minimum concentrations. After the first dose, the DSM265 concentration at 6 hours (12.9 μg/ml) was about 2-fold higher than the reported C_max in normal mice treated with a similar dose but with a different formulation (25 mg/kg tosylate salt administered in a simple aqueous suspension formulation, C_max = 6.2 μg/ml at 1 hour) (16). However, at 24 hours, there was substantially more compound present after administration to the FRG huHep mice than for normal mice (8.5 vs. 0.008 μg/ml), which may reflect differences in hepatic clearance between the humanized and nonhumanized mice.
To determine if DSM265 showed efficacy against *Plasmodium falciparum* liver stages specifically, we treated mice with 20 mg/kg DSM265 at 2, 24, and 48 hours after infection. Liver parasite burden was quantified by IVIS and showed a 2.5-log reduction in liver burden (*P* < 0.0001) in the DSM265-treated mice compared with control mice (Figure 2, B and C). Whole livers were also harvested on day 6 to determine parasite burden using qPCR. DSM265 resulted in a 5.2-log decrease in liver stage burden (*P* < 0.0001) (Figure 2D). Additionally, in 3 of the 5 mice treated with DSM265, the number of *Plasmodium* 18S rRNA copies were below the assay limit of quantification and were completely undetectable in 1 mouse.

**Pharmacokinetic (PK) properties of primaquine (PQ) in the FRG huHep model.** To further exemplify the benefit of using the FRG huHep model for drug metabolism, we analyzed the metabolism of PQ, for which there is an abundance of clinical data available for comparison. PQ therapy affords causal prophylaxis against both *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* (17) and is the only licensed drug that affords radical cure of *P. vivax* relapsing malaria (17, 18). PQ is metabolized in the liver, although the active metabolites remain unknown (19). Initially, to understand the breakdown of PQ to its primary metabolite, carboxyprimaquine (cPQ), and the effect of route of administration, FRG huHep mice were treated with 60 mg/kg PQ either by oral gavage or i.p. injection (Figure 3, A and B), and plasma was sampled at 3 minutes and 3, 12, and 24 hours after drug administration. The total plasma concentrations of PQ and of cPQ in the samples were quantified using Liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (20). Initially, PQ and cPQ concentrations were higher in the mice dosed by i.p. injection versus oral administration, reflecting potential differences in the rate of absorption, but terminal half-lives were comparable (Figure 3, A and B). Plasma levels of PQ and cPQ declined at similar rates over 24 hours, and overall exposure was higher for cPQ as previously described in animals (21).

To further understand the clearance of PQ in the liver-chimeric mouse compared with nonhumanized mice, we administered 60 mg/kg PQ orally to FRG huHep and Swiss Webster albino mice and measured blood PQ and cPQ levels over a 24-hour interval (Figure 3, C and D). Blood PQ and cPQ
levels were consistently lower in Swiss Webster compared with FRG huHep mice (Table 1). While there were no significant differences for PK parameters of PQ between the 2 mouse strains, the AUC between 0 and 24 hours (AUC<sub>0-24</sub>) and C<sub>max</sub> were higher for cPQ in the FRG huHep mice as compared with the Swiss Webster mice (Table 1). Furthermore, the ratio of cPQ/PQ parameters were > 1 in the FRG huHep mice and in published human data (22) compared with nonhumanized mice, where the ratio was < 1. This suggests that PQ clearance in the liver-chimeric mice is lower than that in the nonhumanized mice and possibly more similar to that in humans.

Single-dose PQ treatment significantly reduces *P. falciparum* liver stage burden. Having established that PQ is metabolized in the liver-chimeric mice, we sought to determine the functional effect of PQ administered at different days during *P. falciparum* liver stage development. Previous studies in humans have shown that single-dose PQ efficacy for preventing blood stage infection is dependent on day of treatment (23). FRG huHep mice were infected by either the bite of 50 infected mosquitoes or i.v. injection of 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> salivary gland sporozoites. PQ (60 mg/kg) was administered orally 24 hours (early trophozoite stage) or 4 days (early schizogony stage) after infection. A single PQ dose 24 hours after infection afforded significant reduction in liver stage parasite burden whether inoculation was by mosquito bite infection (5.7-log reduction compared with vehicle-treated mice, *P* < 0.0001) or i.v. administration (4.5-log reduction, *P* < 0.01) measured by qPCR (Figure 4). *Plasmodium* 18S rRNA transcripts were undetectable in 2 of 3 mice infected by i.v. tail vein injection and 1 of 3 mice infected by mosquito bite. Although PQ administered on day 4 resulted in a 3.5- and 1.7-log reduction in liver stage parasite burden by mosquito bite infection or i.v. injection, respectively (measured by qPCR), the magnitude of effect was less than when FRG huHep mice were treated one day post-infection.

Liver stage–to–blood stage transition to determine viability of parasites in animals with reduced liver burden. To further assess the functional effect PQ had on parasites still present in the liver after treatment, FRG huHep mice were infused with human RBCs (hRBCs) to capture parasite egress. Engraftment of hRBCs began 5 days after infection and was steady over several days (Figure 5A). FRG huHep mice infected by mosquito bite were given 60 mg/kg PQ 1 or 4 days after infection, and the liver
Table 1. Oral pharmacokinetic parameters of PQ and cPQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Human&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FRG huHep</th>
<th>Swiss Webster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PQ</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml)</td>
<td>65 (43)</td>
<td>1,434 (475)</td>
<td>971 (334)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</td>
<td>4.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>6.1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0–24&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml)</td>
<td>468 (229)</td>
<td>5,211 (2,743)</td>
<td>3658 (1585)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0–∞&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml)</td>
<td>5,269 (2,730)</td>
<td>3,667 (1,585)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cPQ</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml)</td>
<td>736 (236)</td>
<td>1,844 (843)</td>
<td>541 (63)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.6)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0–24&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1,420 (530)</td>
<td>7,620 (2,769)</td>
<td>2,669 (621)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0–∞&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/ml)</td>
<td>7,759 (2,734)</td>
<td>2,687 (630)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; cPQ/PQ</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0–24&lt;/sub&gt; cPQ/PQ</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Plasma concentration data from ref. 22. Five each of FRG huHep and Swiss Webster mice were used for pharmacokinetic estimates. <sup>b</sup>P < 0.05; significantly different from FRG huHep; 2-tailed Student’s t test. Averages are reported with SD in parenthesis. h, hour; C<sub>max</sub>, maximum drug concentration; T<sub>max</sub>, time at maximum drug concentration; t<sub>1/2</sub>, half-life; AUC, area under the curve; PQ, primaquine; cPQ, carboxyprimaquine; PO, by mouth.

Discussion

The discovery of potentially novel antimalarial compounds continues to be an important facet of the global effort to eradicate malaria. Historically, antimalarial drug discovery has focused on the symptomatic blood stages of the infection. The importance of identifying multistage active compounds — those that can prevent liver infection and transmission, as well as cure symptomatic blood stage infections — is becoming increasingly appreciated (8). The challenge of developing liver stage active compounds is highlighted by the recently developed spiroindolone class of antimalarial drugs, which — despite having remarkably potent blood stage killing kinetics (median parasite clearance half-life of 0.9 hours) and a potentially novel target (PFATP4) — have failed to demonstrate significant liver stage activity (24, 25). One major barrier in the development of liver stage active compounds is the lack of a model system that sufficiently reproduces the complexity of human liver infection with P. falciparum. This directly limits our ability to preclinically assess liver stage activity and therefore predict outcomes in humans. Here, we use the liver-chimeric FRG huHep mouse model to test the efficacy of antimalarial compounds against P. falciparum liver stages in vivo.

The FRG huHep model is well suited for testing late-lead and preclinical drug candidates to identify their inhibitory effect on P. falciparum liver stage development prior to testing in humans. Here, we validate the model using therapies well characterized in humans. The first therapy, ATQ-PG (also referred to as Malarone) combination therapy, is a standard prophylactic treatment that prevents liver stage infection in humans (26). Using the same daily dosing schedule recommended for travelers, ATQ-PG treatment reduced liver burden to undetectable levels and prevented liver stage–to–blood stage transition in 3 of 5 mice. The qPCR assay used is capable of detecting 20 P. falciparum ring-stage parasites per ml of blood equivalent to about 7 × 10<sup>4</sup> Plasmodium 18S rRNA copies/ml of blood. In the other 2 mice, 18S rRNA levels were well below the quantitation limit.

Additionally, we used PQ to confirm drug metabolism in the model and parasite killing kinetics. Arnold et al. demonstrated the importance of the timing of action of PQ treatment in volunteers administered P. falciparum by mosquito bite. In that study, single-dose treatment with PQ 1 day after mosquito bite protected 100% of volunteers from blood stage infection (27). Treatment on day 3 or 5 after infection...
resulted in protection of 90% and 10% of volunteers, respectively, highlighting the importance of timing of therapy. Our results mimic those observed in humans, as we see a greater reduction in liver burden when PQ is given 1 day after infection compared with 4 days after infection and reductions in parasite transition to blood stage in animals treated 1 day after infection compared with 4 days after infection. Although we did detect 18S rRNA transcripts in the “1-day after infection” treatment group, these levels were well below the quantitative limit of the assay, and this residual rRNA may not represent patent bloodstream infection. Furthermore, the failure to achieve complete protection (2 of 3 mice were protected) could be due to the number of sporozoites inoculated: 1 million in our experiment by i.v. injection or 50 mosquito bites compared with 10 mosquito bites in ref. 27. Importantly, the FRG huHep system also allowed us to analyze PQ PKs, where appearance of cPQ is commonly used as a proxy for PQ metabolism (28). The ratios of cPQ/PQ were more similar to humans in the liver-chimeric mice than normal mice, suggesting the FRG huHep mice more closely resemble the PK observed in humans.

To further exemplify the potential of the FRG huHep platform, we tested the effect of a drug candidate, DSM265, against in vivo P. falciparum liver stages. DSM265 has potent blood stage P. falciparum activity against the replicating parasite (half-maximal effective concentration [EC₅₀] = 0.0046 μg/ml) (16) and reduces the size of liver stages in vitro (EC₅₀ = 0.0057 μg/ml). Therefore, we were interested in testing its ability to prevent liver stage development in vivo. Treatment with DSM265 led to a substantial reduction in parasitic liver burden when assessed by qPCR. The minimum blood levels achieved in this study with daily dosing were about 8-fold above the reported level required for a minimum parasiticidal clearance in the blood stage SCID model (16). It is important to note that a full assessment of the PK/pharmacodynamic relationships for DSM265 in this liver stage model was not a goal of this proof-of-concept study, and thus, there is insufficient data to make conclusions about the relative efficacy of DSM265 on liver stage versus blood stage. DSM265 was recently tested in 2 separate phase I clinical studies (29, 30), including one that evaluated prophylactic potential. In this study, it showed complete protection when a single dose was administered 1 day prior to P. falciparum infection, while it only provided partial protection when administered 7 days prior to infection, again highlighting the importance of timing of action and PKs. In this preclinical study, the peak plasma concentration after a single 400 mg dose was 11.5 μg/ml, a concentration lower than the peak blood concent-

Figure 4. In vivo P. falciparum liver stage burden in FRG huHep mice following primaquine treatment. P. falciparum liver burden measured by (A) in vivo imaging and (B) 18S rRNA qPCR following infection with 1 × 10⁶ sporozoites by tail vein injection. Two groups of mice were treated 1 or 4 days after infection with single-dose 60 mg/kg primaquine (PQ) orally. P. falciparum liver burden was measured by (C) in vivo imaging or (D) 18S rRNA qPCR following infection by the bite of 50 infected mosquitoes. Two groups were treated with PQ as described above. Each symbol represents 1 mouse. Dashed lines in A and C denote background radiance. Dashed lines in B and D denote quantification limit of the assay. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s correction for multiple testing. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001.
In our assessment of the FRG huHep model, we found great utility of the model due to the versatility of the route of sporozoite infection. We demonstrate that robust liver stage infection can be achieved by the bite of *P. falciparum*—an important finding, as it mimics the natural route of infection in humans. Infection by mosquito bite or i.v. injection lends significant adaptability to the system, potentially allowing for the use of i.v.-administered cryopreserved sporozoites (31) in laboratory settings where there is no access to *P. falciparum*-infected mosquitoes. While there was no statistically significant difference in parasite liver burden between mosquito bite and i.v. injection (whether measured by IVIS or qPCR), the similar or slightly elevated liver burden in the mosquito-bitten mice is potentially surprising considering that only 10–100 sporozoites are expected to be injected per mosquito (6). Nevertheless, the fitness as well as infectivity of sporozoites dissected from the salivary glands most likely varies when compared with sporozoites ejected from the salivary glands during mosquito bite—the physiological route of *Plasmodium* sporozoite infection.

Liver infection was assessed using an IVIS system or qPCR with similar results. While the dynamic range is greater in the qPCR assay, the ability to use IVIS technology in the model system is vital, as it allows for the execution of time course experiments without the need to sacrifice animals or harvest tissue (Figure 6). We were additionally able to show that, while liver burden was reduced when PQ was given 4 days after infection, the levels of liver infection were stable over time, suggesting either that parasites are arrested and continue to persist, yet cannot replicate, or that a majority of the parasite load is killed by the treatment and only a few continue to grow, albeit at a less synchronous course. This observation is further supported by the delay in onset of patent observed in the mice treated 4 days after infection. This feature could be important for future studies assessing the killing kinetics of candidate drugs. Importantly, because 1 liver stage parasite can initiate blood stage infection, our liver stage model system will make it possible to quantify liver stage infection with 1...
parasite by measuring the liver stage–to–blood stage transition, the most sensitive detection method of prophylactic liver stage drug efficacy. Current methods to test liver stage efficacy of preclinical compounds utilize an in vitro *P. falciparum* model to test the effect on invasion and growth (32) or rodent malaria models for testing in vivo causal prophylaxis (33). While these systems are attractive due to scalability and cost effectiveness, they are limited in regards to the applicability of in vitro results and generalizability between rodent and human malaria species. Liver stage development in humans is 4 days longer than that of rodent malaria parasite species; therefore, differences in life cycle processes may affect drug efficacy in the 2 different models. While the cost is much higher for the FRG huHep mice than normal mice, the FRG huHep mice and nonhuman primates are the only in vivo animal models available to test drug efficacy against *P. falciparum* liver stages. The FRG huHep system represents great cost savings over the nonhuman primate models and produces more robust and complete data, as several drug concentrations can be used and repeated in several animals. The FRG huHep system could, thus, allow promising lead compounds to be more accurately tested against liver stage *P. falciparum* and improve prioritization for clinical trial consideration. In doing so, the *P. falciparum* FRG huHep infection model in liver-chimeric mice fills a gap in the antimalarial drug development pipeline. It will be of interest to test in the future whether the FRG huHep system is better at predicting clinical outcomes of drug treatments than other currently existing preclinical models.

**Methods**

*P. falciparum* sporozoite production and mouse infection

The *P. falciparum* NF54HT-GFP-luc parasite, which constitutively expresses luciferase under the EF1α promoter (15), was maintained in RPMI 1640 (25 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine) supplemented with 50 μM hypoxanthine plus 10% human serum and subcultured in 5% O+ human erythrocytes (Interstate Blood Bank). Mature sexual-stage gametocytes were induced as previously described (11). Briefly, asexual cultures were inoculated at 1% parasitemia with no further subculturing and daily media changes to induce gametocytogenesis. Mature gametocytes (day 16 after induction) were fed to 4-day-old *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes to initiate infection. Mosquitoes were incubated at 27°C and 75% humidity for 14 days and given 8% dextrose + para-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) to foster parasite growth. FRG-KO on C57Bl/6 human repopulated mice were purchased from Yecuris Corporation and exhibited primary human hepatocyte replication levels above 70%, as determined by human serum albumin levels. All mice within the same experiment were engrafted with primary human hepatocytes originating from the same donor selected from an assortment of prequalified lots. Mice were cycled on NTBC once a month for 4 days at 8 mg/l in drinking water to maintain health. Animals did not receive NTBC 3 weeks prior to and during drug studies. For i.v. injection into the retroorbital plexus or tail vein, 14- to 19-day-old mosquito salivary glands were dissected, purified, and resuspended in a volume of 1 million sporozoites per 100 μl in Schneider’s medium. For mosquito bite infection, 3–5 FRG huHep mice were anesthetized and placed on the top of
a mosquito cage containing 150–250 infected mosquitoes for 10 minutes; therefore, each mouse received probing by approximately 50 mosquitoes. Mice were repositioned every 120 seconds to encourage probing by the mosquitoes (34).

Quantification of liver stage parasite burden

Whole animal live bioluminescence imaging. Luciferase activity was measured in the FRG huHep mice using the IVIS Lumina II animal imager (Perkin Elmer). The abdomen of FRG huHep mice were shaved for unobstructed signal detection. Mice were injected i.p. with 100 μl XenoLight RediJect D-Luciferin (Perkin-Elmer) to quantitate bioluminescence. Animals were anesthetized and imaged within 5 minutes of luciferin injection. Signal was acquired for 5 minutes using a field of view of 10 cm and medium binning factor. Living Image 3.0 software was used to measure total flux (photons/second) of a region of interest (ROI), which was placed around the location of the liver in each mouse. A second ROI was placed elsewhere on the mouse to determine background signal. Luminescence signal is generally visible between 4 and 6 days after the sporozoite infection.

qPCR. FRG huHep mice were euthanized on day 6 of infection, and livers were harvested for qPCR quantification. Each liver lobe was cut in half and added to TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), pooling all lobes for each mouse. Livers were homogenized and RNA extraction was performed using the RNeasy purification kit (Qiagen). Quantification of parasite liver burden by 18S rRNA qPCR (or detection of the parasite in hRBCs) was done as previously described (35) with modifications as follows due to the liver tissue presence: pan-Plasmodium 18S rRNA primers and a probe were as reported (36), and results from the extracted, in vitro–transcribed RNA standard curve were normalized to a duplexed reaction for human GAPDH mRNA to control for percentage of human hepatocyte repopulation. Human GAPDH mRNA–specific reagents were the PrimeTime Predesigned qPCR Assay (HsPT.39a.22214836; IDT).

P. falciparum liver stage-to-blood stage transition

Five days after infection, FRG huHep mice were injected in the retroorbital plexus with 50 μl clodronate-containing liposomes (Clophosome-A, FormuMax), to clear macrophages, and 500 μl hRBCs (70% O+ human erythrocytes in RPMI 1640 (25mM HEPES, 2mM L-glutamine) supplemented with 50 μM hypoxanthine plus 10% human serum). The next day, mice were bled 200 μl and received an i.p. injection of 700 μl hRBCs. Seven days after challenge, the percentage of hRBCs reached 50% (measured as described before by flow cytometry; ref. 37). From day 6–9, each mouse was bled 100 μl from the retroorbital plexus and injected i.p. with 500 μl hRBCs to keep the percentage of hRBCs stable between 50% and 70%. If the percentage reached more than 70%, the mice were not injected with hRBCs. Blood samples from days 6–9 were stored in TRIzol, and quantification of parasite burden by qPCR specific to Plasmodium 18S rRNA was done as described above. Additional blood samples were not taken to minimize the number of bleeds performed on these animals.

Compounds

PQ was purchased from MilliporeSigma (catalog 160393), reconstituted in PBS, and filter sterilized for administration to animals. A 60 mg/kg dose was chosen to ensure activity in the humanized mice. DSM265 was prepared as an amorphous spray dried dispersion (SDD) containing 25% DSM265 (16). Solid material was formulated in 0.5% methylcellulose and dosed by oral gavage as a suspension at 80 mg/kg of the SDD formulation, resulting in a dose of 20 mg/kg DSM265 free base. ATQ and PG were purchased from MilliporeSigma (catalogs A7986 and G7048, respectively) and reconstituted in 0.5% methylcellulose/0.5% tween. The compound was given daily to follow the same dosing schedule followed in humans. The concentration of dose was chosen at 30 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg for ATQ and PG, respectively. The ATQ dose was chosen as the dose that is within the range of the dose efficacious in the literature, and PG was chosen as a dose about 3-fold lower as is used in the treatment of humans.

Compound concentrations in FRG huHep plasma

For the determination of PQ and cPQ concentrations, 3 mice each were administered 60 mg/kg PQ either by oral gavage or i.p. injection. To compare the route of administration, blood samples of 100 μl were collected into tubes containing anticoagulant at 3 minutes and 3, 12, and 24 hours after administration. Plasma was separated by centrifugation and prepared by solid-phase extraction and eluate concentrations
determined using LC-MS (20). To compare FRG huHep with Swiss Webster mice, 10-μl volumes of blood were collected using Mitra Microsampling Devices (Neoteryx). Determination of the DSM265 concentrations in FRG huHep mice was conducted following 3 daily doses of 20 mg/kg free base. Whole blood was collected 3 hours after the first dose and then just prior to the next dose 24, 48, and 72 hours later. Blood samples were collected using Mitra Microsampling Devices as above. Samples were then extracted with methanol (100 μl), followed by vortex mixing and brief sonication, and an aliquot of the supernate was analyzed by LC-MS. Concentrations of DSM265 were quantitated by comparison to a calibration curve prepared using control blank mouse blood. All concentrations represent total blood concentrations and are not adjusted for binding to plasma proteins.

Statistics
Pearson correlation, unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test and 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s correction for multiple testing were used and were conducted using GraphPad Prism 6.0 for Mac. Error bars represent mean ± SD unless otherwise noted. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant. When Student’s t tests were used for analyses, they were 2-tailed.
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All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with and approved by the Center for Infectious Disease Research IACUC under protocol SK-16. The Center for Infectious Disease Research IACUC adheres to the NIH Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare standards (Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare [OLAW] welfare assurance A3640-01).
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