Podoplanin is a negative regulator of Th17 inflammation
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Introduction

T helper 17 (Th17 cells), characterized by the transcription factor RORγt and production of cytokines IL-17A and IL-17F, play an important role in the pathophysiology of autoimmune disease. These diseases result from self-reactive Th1 or Th17 cells that escape mechanisms of central and peripheral tolerance to cause autoimmune tissue inflammation (1). Genome-wide association studies have linked genetic variants in the Th17 pathway to multiple autoimmune diseases, and IL-17 has been identified in the lesions of patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), psoriasis, Sjogren's syndrome, and inflammatory bowel disease patients (2–5). Additionally, therapeutic agents such as IL-17A inhibitor secukinumab and IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor ustekinumab have been shown to have a strong therapeutic effect on psoriatic disease, further highlighting the importance of Th17 inflammation in the pathogenesis of some autoimmune diseases.

However, it is increasingly clear that there is significant heterogeneity among Th17 cells, and differentiation into subtypes with different functional properties may depend on the pathogens, cytokine combinations, or other environmental stimuli to which the cells are exposed. For example, in response to exposure to Candida albicans, Th17 cells produce both IL-17 and IFN-γ, while Th17 cells exposed to Staphylococcus aureus produce IL-17 as well as IL-10 (6). Similarly, stimulation with TGF-β3, IL-6, and IL-23 can induce pathogenic Th17 cells that induce experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE), a murine autoimmune disease, while cells stimulated with TGF-β1 and IL-6 produce IL-17 but do not induce disease (7). T cell clones isolated from patients with MS express transcriptional profiles that resemble the gene signatures from murine EAE; additionally, MS T cell clones express proinflammatory cytokine profiles that are distinct from the regulatory cytokines produced by T cell clones from control patients (8). These data suggest that differences in cytokine expression in response to antigen stimulation may underlie disease development and regulation of ongoing inflammation. Finally, recent work has also demonstrated that environmental factors, such as high sodium chloride concentration, can affect the pathogenicity of Th17 cells, as well as the ability of Treg cells to suppress inflammation, via serum glucocorticoid kinase 1–dependent (Sgk1-dependent) pathways (9–11).
Collectively, these data suggest that Th17 subtypes derived from exposures to pathogens, cytokine milieu, or other environmental factors, may mediate distinct immunological functions.

Podoplanin (PDPN, or gp38) is a 36 to 43 kDa type I transmembrane sialomucin-like glycoprotein, with a heavily O-glycosylated extracellular domain and a 9–amino acid cytoplasmic tail (12). It is highly expressed on lymphatic endothelial cells, fibroblastic reticular cells, follicular dendritic cells, alveolar type I epithelial cells, thymic epithelial cells, and kidney podocytes (13–17). Additionally, PDPN has been described on tumor cells of germ cell tumors, squamous cell carcinomas, mesotheliomas, and glioblastoma multiforme (18–22). PDPN upregulation has been reported in keratinocytes treated in vitro with TGF-β, IL-6, IL-22, or IFN-γ (23) as well as in the synoviocytes, the fibroblast-like mediators of inflammatory tissue destruction, of rheumatoid arthritis patients (24). C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2) is a surface receptor for PDPN that is expressed on dendritic cells, neutrophils, and platelets (25–27). Murine knockouts of PDPN and CLEC-2 have suggested the importance of interaction between these 2 molecules for normal lymph node formation and separation between vascular and lymphatic channels, although work on the downstream cellular signaling required for this activity has primarily focused on the role of signaling through CLEC-2 (28–30). Little is known about the PDPN signaling pathway after engagement with CLEC-2. For example, in epithelial cells it has been shown that PDPN interacts with ezrin, radixin, and moesin family proteins via conserved residues in the cytoplasmic tail, and that increased phosphorylation of ERM proteins exposes actin-binding sites (31–33). However, the role of PDPN in human T cells is unknown.

The presence of PDPN on T cells has recently been reported in mouse models of chronic inflammation. First, in the SKG mouse model for chronic arthritis, PDPN+IL-17A+ T cells were identified in the inflamed joint synovium, and no PDPN-expressing T cells were present in control mice (34). Secondly, in an IL-17-GFP reporter mouse with EAE, PDPN+IL17A+ T cells were found in the brains of diseased mice but not in controls (35). PDPN was identified as a Th17 cell–specific surface molecule when compared with T helper cells polarized to a Th1 phenotype (producing IFN-γ) or a Th2 phenotype (producing IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13) (35, 36). Recently, PDPN expression has also been described in human rheumatoid arthritis synovial tissue samples (37). A mouse model with a CD4+ T cell–specific gene silencing of Pdpm demonstrated that these mice experienced spontaneous EAE with a more severe course, as well as a greater accumulation of CD4+ T cells within the CNS. Additionally, a transgenic mouse model that expressed Pdpn driven by the CD2 promoter exhibited severe peripheral lymphopenia, defects in IL-7–mediated T cell expansion and survival, reduced CD4+ T cell burden in the CNS, and more rapid recovery from EAE (38).

Here, we demonstrate that unlike in mice, PDPN+ T cells induced under classic Th17-polarizing conditions express transcription factors associated with Th17 cells but do not produce IL-17. Moreover, these cells express a transcriptional profile enriched for immunosuppressive and regulatory pathways and express a distinct cytokine profile compared with potentially pathogenic PDPN+Th17 cells. Ligation of PDPN by its ligand, CLEC-2, ameliorates the Th17 inflammatory response which is restored with shRNA gene silencing of PDPN. Furthermore, under high sodium chloride (NaCl) conditions, which have previously been shown to be proinflammatory, PDPN expression is reduced via an Sgk1-mediated pathway. Finally, CD3+PDPN+ T cells are devoid of IL-17 in skin biopsies from patients with candidiasis, a Th17-driven skin disease. Thus, our data support the hypothesis that PDPN may serve as a marker of a nonpathogenic Th17 cell subset and may also functionally regulate pathogenic Th17 inflammation.

**Results**

*Podoplanin is induced on T cells under Th17 conditions.* We first investigated the conditions necessary for the induction of PDPN expression in a human T cell in vitro system. Naïve CD4+ T cells from healthy controls were cultured under Th0-, Th1-, or Th17-polarizing conditions and examined by flow cytometry and quantitative PCR (qPCR) after 1 week to assess expression of PDPN. Under Th0- and Th1-polarizing conditions, no PDPN expression was observed by qPCR or flow cytometry (Figure 1, A and B). However, under Th17-polarizing conditions a subset of CD4+ cells expressed significant levels of PDPN. We examined the expression of PDPN in relation to cytokine production. Interestingly, despite its induction under Th17-polarizing conditions, PDPN was not expressed on IL-17A–secreting cells, indicating that PDPN+ and IL-17A+ cells were distinct populations (Figure 1A). Additionally, PDPN+ cells did not express IFN-γ (data not shown).

Because PDPN was not expressed on cytokine-secreting CD4+ cells, we examined the kinetics of PDPN expression in relation to cell cycle. A time-course experiment examining PDPN expression by qPCR demonstrated that PDPN is not expressed on CD4+ cells until 4 days of culture under Th17-polarizing conditions...
and is maximally expressed at day 7 of culture (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.92321DS1). We also verified that this protein was expressed on viable, nonapoptotic cells (Supplemental Figure 1B); all experiments where PDPN expression is described are gated on viable cells.

We then wished to determine whether PDPN+ CD4+ T cells did not enter the cell cycle as the mechanism for loss of cytokine expression. Naive CD4+ T cells were labeled with CFSE before Th17 polarization and then assessed for PDPN expression and CFSE dilution (Supplemental Figure 1C). PDPN was expressed on actively proliferating cells, indicating that PDPN+ T cells do not represent an exhausted, nonproliferating cell population.

To determine which cytokines were necessary to induce CD4+ T cell PDPN expression, naive CD4+ T cells were stimulated with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 antibodies with different combinations of IL-1β, TGF-β, IL-6, and IL-23, cytokines that induce Th17 cells (39). PDPN expression in CD4+ T cells required the combination of TGF-β, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23 (Figure 1C). As has been previously shown, Rorc and IL17 can be induced without IL-23, which stabilizes the Th17 phenotype (40); however, IL-23 is required for PDPN expression (Figure 1, C–E).

To determine whether PDPN expression resulted in a stable lineage of PDPN+ cells, we generated PDPN+ and PDPN− T cell clones by single-cell cloning. Cultures of naive CD4+ T cells were grown under Th17-polarizing conditions for 1 week, and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) was used to sort single PDPN+ or PDPN− cells onto irradiated allogeneic peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and expanded for 4 weeks with IL-2 (Th0 conditions) or IL-2 plus Th17-polarizing cytokines (Th17 conditions). T cell clones from PDPN+ and PDPN− lineages were then examined by flow cytometry for PDPN expression (Figure 1, C–E).
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To determine whether PDPN+ T cells have a nonpathogenic mRNA expression profile. To characterize the mRNA expression profile of PDPN+ T cells, naive CD4+ T cells were cultured under Th17-polarizing conditions for 1 week to induce PDPN expression, and then restimulated with PMA and ionomycin for 5 hours. Cells were stained with an IL-17A cell capture kit and an anti-PDPN antibody, and FACS was used to isolate PDPN+IL-17A− and PDPN−IL-17A+ populations (Supplemental Figure 3A). Initial experiments used qPCR to verify that these sorted populations appropriately expressed PDPN and IL17A (Supplemental Figure 3B).
RNA extracted from sorted cell populations was analyzed by NanoString for gene expression by hybridization to mRNA probes from a 420-gene panel that characterizes human Th17 cell populations. PDPN+IL-17A– and PDPN–IL-17A+ populations demonstrated different mRNA profiles by NanoString (Figure 2A). As expected, the PDPN–IL-17A+ population was enriched for the genes of inflammatory cytokines including \( \text{IL17A} \), \( \text{IL17F} \), \( \text{IFNG} \), and \( \text{CSF2} \), as well as transcription factors associated with increased encephalitogenicity such as \( \text{STAT4} \) and \( \text{Tbx21} \). It has been previously published that PDPN+ cells from the CNS of EAE mice have a decreased amount of \( \text{IL7R} \) relative to PDPN– cells (38), and we also observed that PDPN+IL-17A– CD4+ cells expressed less \( \text{IL7R} \) compared with PDPN–IL-17A+ CD4+ cells. Interestingly, PDPN+IL-17A– cells also expressed high amounts of transcription factors associated with Th17 differentiation, including \( \text{BATF} \), \( \text{STAT3} \), \( \text{Rorc} \), and \( \text{Rora} \), suggesting that they are indeed non–IL-17–secreting CD4+ cells of the Th17 lineage. However, PDPN+IL-17A– CD4+ cells also expressed high levels of genes associated with IL-10 production and regulatory pathways, including \( \text{IL10} \), \( \text{Ahr} \), \( \text{Ikzf3} \), \( \text{FOXO1} \), and \( \text{FOXO3} \). Additionally, PDPN+IL-17A– CD4+ cells were enriched for \( \text{CD160} \), which is upregulated after activation of CD4+ T cells and acts as a negative regulator through its interactions with herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) (41). Finally, PDPN+IL-17A– cells also expressed high levels of \( \text{IL27R} \); since IL-27 regulates responsiveness to IL-12 in naive CD4+ T cells (42), this suggests that PDPN+IL-17A– cells may demonstrate increased plasticity in switching towards a Th1-like effector function. Selected genes were then quantified by qPCR in independent subjects (Figure 2B). The mRNA expression profile of PDPN+IL17A– cells is consistent with a nonpathogenic, and possibly more regulatory, Th17 cell phenotype compared with the traditional view of pathogenic Th17 cells.

Salt-induced reduction in PDPN is mediated by Sgk1. Given the relationship we observed between PDPN expression and IL-17A–secreting cells under Th17-polarizing conditions, it was of interest to examine other proinflammatory in vitro conditions to further evaluate the role of Th17 conditions in the regulation of PDPN expression. It has recently been shown that NaCl can drive autoimmune disease by inducing pathogenic Th17 cells (9). Mice with EAE experienced worse disease when fed a high-salt diet, and when
in vitro CD4+ T cells were cultured with an increased concentration of NaCl, they produced increased proinflammatory cytokines, including IL-17A, and had a pathogenic Th17 phenotype. Based on this work, we examined the effect of a high concentration of NaCl on PDPN expression as a model system for pathogenic Th17 disease conditions. Naive CD4+ T cells were cultured for 1 week under Th17 conditions with or without an additional 40 mM NaCl. Flow cytometry analysis showed that NaCl increased IL-17A production. Interestingly, this was accompanied by significant reductions in PDPN expression (Figure 3, A and B). Multiple individual experiments assessed PDPN and IL-17A expression by flow cytometry, and we observed a negative correlation between the percentage of PDPN+ and IL-17A+ CD4+ T cells, suggesting that PDPN was acting as either a marker of a nonpathogenic Th17 population or was negatively regulating pathways associated with IL-17 and other inflammatory programs (Figure 3C).

It has previously been demonstrated that NaCl induces proinflammatory cytokine secretion mediated through Sgk1, and that loss of Sgk1 abrogates NaCl-mediated Th17 differentiation (10). To determine whether the reduction in PDPN expression was dependent on Sgk1, a lentiviral shRNA construct was used to knock down Sgk1 in cultures of Th17-polarized CD4+ T cells with and without additional NaCl (Figure 3D). Following gene silencing of Sgk1, there was a restoration in PDPN expression by both qPCR and flow cytometry relative to control shRNA (Figure 3, E and F). PDPN expression was increased by gene silencing of Sgk1 despite the continued presence of NaCl, suggesting that the decrease in PDPN expression is an Sgk1-dependent event. As previously described, Sgk1 gene silencing induced a reduction in IL-17A expression both by flow cytometry and qPCR (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure 4B).

**PDPN/CLEC-2 ligation ameliorates the Th17 inflammatory response.** To determine the effect of PDPN ligation on Th17 polarization, increasing concentrations of soluble CLEC-2 were added to Th17-polarized cultures of naive CD4+ T cells, and proliferation was assessed by CFSE dilution. As increasing concentrations of CLEC-2 were used in the Th17 culture, there was a corresponding increase in cell cycle entry, suggesting that CLEC-2 promotes proliferation in naive CD4+ T cells under Th17-polarizing conditions (Figure 4A).
There was also a significant shift in the cytokine profile; with increasing amounts of CLEC-2, there is a corresponding reduction in the percentage of IL-17A+ cells as measured by flow cytometry (Figure 4, B and C). Based on the enrichment of regulatory and IL-10–related genes in the PDPN+ mRNA cell profile, we also examined whether there was a relationship between IL-10 production and CLEC-2 stimulation. When CLEC-2 was added to Th17-polarizing cultures, a significant increase in IL-10 production was observed by ELISA (Figure 4D). IL-10 production was also assessed by flow cytometry at 2 time points under these IL-17–inducing conditions. Although there were increases in IL-10+ cells with CLEC-2 stimulation, the actual percentages of IL-10+ T cells were extremely low (Supplemental Figure 5). This decrease in IL-17A production and increase in IL-10 suggest that CLEC-2/PDPN interaction results in negative regulation of the pathogenic inflammation.

The only described binding partner for CLEC-2 besides PDPN is rhodocytin, a snake venom toxin from the Malayan pit viper (43, 44). However, it is possible that there are other unknown receptors for CLEC-2 through which signaling could lead to a change in Th17 polarization. To determine whether the
CLEC-2 effect was specific to PDPN binding, a lentiviral shRNA for PDPN as well as a nontarget control shRNA was used to knock down PDPN expression in CD4+ T cells under Th17 conditions. Both shRNA structures contained a GFP expression construct, and cells that had taken up PDPN or control shRNA were identified and sorted based on GFP expression. Gene silencing of PDPN cell surface expression was confirmed by flow cytometry gating on GFP+ cells (Figure 4E). There was significant reduction in PDPN expression in sorted GFP+ T cells that received PDPN shRNA relative to the nontarget control shRNA (Figure 4F). PDPN gene–depleted CD4+ T cells were incubated with CLEC-2 under Th17-polarizing conditions and were sorted by GFP expression to assess cytokine gene expression. As expected, there was a reduction in IL17A in the control shRNA–infected cells in response to CLEC-2. However, the PDPN shRNA–infected cells did not have a reduction in IL17A in response to CLEC-2, indicating that an interaction between CLEC-2 and PDPN is necessary for the reduction in IL-17 expression (Figure 4G). In separate experiments, when Th17-polarized cultures were incubated with soluble CLEC-2 and then evaluated by flow cytometry to determine which cells CLEC-2 bound, the PDPN+ cells were also CLEC-2+, suggesting that the soluble CLEC-2 is interacting with PDPN+ cells (Supplemental Figure 6).

Finally, to investigate whether CLEC-2/PDPN ligation ameliorated the increased pathogenic gene signature induced by Sgk1 under high NaCl conditions, naive CD4+ T cells were polarized under Th17 conditions with or without NaCl with varying concentrations of CLEC-2 and then assessed by flow cytometry and qPCR. Under high salt conditions, there was a significant decrease in the percentage of IL-17A+ cells with increased concentrations of CLEC-2 (Figure 4H), as well as a decrease in GM-CSF and a small nonsignificant increase in IFN-γ by qPCR (Supplemental Figure 6).

Podoplanin is expressed on non–IL-17–secreting T cells in Candida skin infections. In order to determine whether our observations in vitro are relevant for immune responses in vivo, we examined skin biopsy tissue from patients with C. albicans skin infections, a prototypical example of dermatological disease in which a Th17 response is protective (45). We stained skin lesions with antibodies against CD3, PDPN, and IL-17. Within lymphocytic infiltrates in the dermis (Figure 5A) we identified a population of lymphocytes that was double-positive for CD3 and PDPN (~10%) and a smaller lymphocyte population that was positive for CD3 and IL-17 (~4%). However, we did not find CD3+ lymphocytes that were positive for both PDPN and IL-17 (Figure 5, B–E). These data confirm our results from the in vitro experiments and suggest that in IL-17–secreting tissue infiltrates with antifungal inflammatory activity, PDPN expression on non–IL-17–secreting CD3+ T cells may be present as part of a regulatory response to prevent autoinflammation.

Discussion

There are different subpopulations of Th17 cells that can express either a regulatory or inflammatory gene signature depending on their exposure to pathogens, cytokines, and other environmental factors such as sodium chloride. Podoplanin is a type I transmembrane glycoprotein proposed to regulate T cells in mouse models of Th17 inflammation. Here, we demonstrate that induction of podoplanin expression drives a
more immunosuppressive regulatory T cell program with loss of IL-17 secretion in human CD4+ cells. Ligation of PDPN by its ligand CLEC-2 on CD4+ cells induced under Th17 conditions ameliorates the Th17 inflammatory response. Moreover, under proinflammatory, high NaCl conditions, PDPN expression is reduced via an Sgk1-mediated pathway. Finally, IL-17 secretion is restored with shRNA gene silencing of PDPN. Clinically, CD3+PDPN+ T cells are devoid of IL-17 in skin biopsies from patients with candidiasis, a Th17-driven skin disease. Thus, PDPN expression identifies a nonpathogenic Th17 population and its engagement by CLEC-2 may functionally regulate pathogenic Th17 inflammation.

Th17 cells are a subset of effector helper T cells that produce IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-21, and IL-22 and are characterized by transcription factor RORγt. Th17 cells are increasingly appreciated to have a role in the initiation and pathogenesis of autoimmune disease. This subset can be induced by a combination of the cytokines TGF-β1, IL-1β, and IL-6, which induce the transcription factor RORγt (46), and IL-23 signaling through IL-23R is required to stabilize Th17 effector function. Our data show that PDPN expression requires TGF-β1, IL-1β, and IL-6 and the presence of IL-23, which has been shown to induce pathogenicity in Th17 cells via its ability to enhance TGF-β3 expression. TGF-β3 and TGF-β1 signal through the same receptor, TGF-BRII, although their differential signaling through Smad results in more pathogenic cells after exposure to TGF-3 and less pathogenic cells after exposure to TGF-β1 (6). Furthermore, our data show that T cell clones derived from PDPN+ T cells do not stably express PDPN in the absence of Th17-polarizing cytokines. Both the induction and maintenance of PDPN expression thus appear to be dependent on a cytokine environment that promotes Th17 polarization.

Based on the EAE model, there is increasing evidence of so-called pathogenic and nonpathogenic Th17 cells with distinct molecular signatures (7, 47, 48). As observed for the pathogenic Th17 cells in EAE, human PDPN+ cells also express high levels of Rac, BAF7, and STAT3, suggesting that they are indeed differentiated Th17 cells, as these transcription factors have been demonstrated to be necessary for the development of Th17 cells; IFRF4 has also been suggested to be one of the critical genes in regulating RORγt and IL-17 production (49–51). However, unlike murine pathogenic Th17 cells, murine nonpathogenic Th17 cells and human PDPN+ T cells do not express high levels of cytokine genes IL17A, IL17F, CSF2, and IFNG, or high levels of transcription factors STAT4 and Thx21, which have been associated with increased pathogenicity (52). Human PDPN+ CD4+ cells also have an mRNA signature demonstrating higher levels of genes associated with nonpathogenic Th17 cells, including IL10, IL9, Il10r, Ahr, and IL1rn, and several of these genes are involved in the regulation of IL-10 (7). It has been suggested that a decreased ability of T cells to respond to IL-7 through decreased levels of IL7R may contribute to its role as a negative regulator by affecting the maintenance and survival of T effector cells (38), consistent with the lower expression of IL7R we observed on human PDPN+ CD4+ cells.

Given that the gene signatures of pathogenic and nonpathogenic Th17 cells have been previously described in murine EAE with a clear division in the types of genes expressed in each of the mRNA profiles, it is notable that the mRNA profile of PDPN+ CD4+ T cells more closely resembles the profile of nonpathogenic Th17 cells in murine EAE. These data suggest that human PDPN+ cells are a distinct population that occur within a Th17-polarizing milieu, which may significantly differ from the traditional understanding of Th17 cells. That is, pathogenic Th17 cells, which produce IL-17, GM-CSF, and IFN-γ can be induced by IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23, or TGF-β3 and IL-6, while nonpathogenic Th17 cells produce IL-17 as well as IL-10. Although the pathogenic phenotype is associated with autoimmunity in rodent models, these different Th17 cell subsets have also been associated with the clearance of different pathogens, suggesting that each serves a necessary function in vivo (6).

Our data demonstrate that CLEC-2 increases CD4+ T cell proliferation and decreases IL-17 production through a PDPN-dependent mechanism. PDPN has a heavily glycosylated extracellular domain, a single transmembrane domain, and a short 9-amino acid cytoplasmic tail (53). Unlike other negative regulators, including CTLA-4, PD1, TIGIT, and SIRPα, PDPN does not possess an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) domain on its cytoplasmic tail to mediate intracellular signaling (54, 55). Work in vitro models suggests that PDPN may associate with integral membrane proteins in order to help mediate signaling in fibroblasts. For example, PDPN has been shown to associate with CD44 to promote migration and adhesion, or with CD9 to act as a tumor suppressor in several cancers. However, it is not known if these integral membrane proteins or other intracellular pathways play a role in intracellular signaling in CD4+ T cells in response to ligation of PDPN by CLEC-2, and it is possible that engagement of PDPN may activate multiple downstream mechanisms resulting in Th17 regulation.
The secretion of IL-10 by Th17 cells suggests they may control inflammatory responses to protect tissue from the damage associated with chronic inflammation (39, 56). In a transgenic mouse model of forced PDPN expression, cells isolated from the CNS possessed a higher percentage of IL-10+ CD4+ T cells than wild-type mice (38). Recent work also suggests that IL-10 expression is a response to CLEC-2/PDPN interaction; increases in IL-10 production from unstimulated naive CD4+ T cells were observed after cells were cultured with soluble CLEC-2 for 6 days (57). A similar increase in IL-10 when unstimulated CD4+ T cells were cocultured with CLEC-2–transfected dendritic cells and platelets was also observed. Finally, when resting T cells were incubated with anti-podoplanin antibody and cross-linked with a secondary antibody, there was also a significant increase in IL-10 production. From these experiments and our own data demonstrating a significant increase in IL-10 production under Th17-polarizing conditions with a concomitant decrease in IL-17, we can hypothesize that interactions between CLEC-2 and PDPN-expressing T cells result in the production of immunosuppressive IL-10 that may regulate Th17 inflammation.

We recently observed that higher Na+ concentrations increase the inflammatory signatures and potential pathogenicity of the Th17 response via an Sgk1-mediated process (9, 10). Exposure to NaCl also reduces the suppressive function of Treg cells (11). Sgk1 is a salt-sensing serine/threonine kinase that has been shown to regulate NaCl transport and homeostasis in multiple cell types, and is increased in T cells when exposed to modest increases in the concentration of NaCl. We demonstrate that the inflammatory milieu induced by high NaCl concentrations decreased PDPN expression under Th17-polarizing conditions and this is an Sgk1-dependent event, as gene silencing of Sgk1 restored PDPN expression; however, we cannot conclude that Sgk1 itself directly regulates PDPN expression, since this may be effected by a downstream mediator or in response to increased proinflammatory cytokines. Overall, Sgk1 activation leads to increased Th17 cytokine expression, abrogation of Treg suppression, and downregulation of PDPN, suggesting that the murine pathogenic gene signature associated with Sgk1 activation is mediated through multiple pathways. In speculating why NaCl may regulate PDPN expression in vivo, we considered the concentrations of Na+ in the serum and tissue. Blood sodium concentrations are similar to standard cell culture media (approximately 140 mM), although there can be higher salt concentrations (between 160 mM and 250 mM) in lymphoid tissue, the skin, or in the interstitium of organs (58, 59). Thus, migration of Th17 cells into tissues with higher NaCl concentrations may decrease PDPN expression in order to allow the full effector function of these cells at sites of inflammation.

Defects in IL-17–mediated immunity due to germline mutations, including IL-17–related genes such as IL17F and IL17RA, loss-of-function mutations in STAT3, gain-of-function mutations in STAT1, mutations in AIRE, and patients with autoimmune polyendocrinopathy syndrome-1 have been implicated in difficulty in clearing certain types of bacterial and fungal infections (60–62). In particular, individuals with defects in IL-17–mediated immunity often have chronic or repeated mucocutaneous candidiasis, suggesting that an effective Th17 response is essential to controlling commensal C. albicans. Additionally, in vitro work examining pathogen-induced human memory Th17 cells showed that exposure to different antigens resulted in distinct effector functions. For example, S. aureus–specific Th17 cells were shown to produce IL-17 and IL-10 but not IFN-γ, while C. albicans–specific Th17 cells produced IL-17 and IFN-γ but not IL-10 (6). Thus, given the importance of the Th17 response to antifungal immunity, we examined biopsy tissue from patients with cutaneous candidiasis as a model of a Th17-driven inflammatory response in which to explore the relevance of PDPN in clinical disease. We found that PDPN+ T cells were present in the lymphocytic infiltrates in the dermis of patients with cutaneous candidiasis, and these were a distinct population from IL-17+ T cells in the tissue. Additionally, we examined biopsy tissue from psoriasis plaques as a model of a Th17-mediated autoimmune skin disease (63), and similarly found that distinct PDPN+ and IL-17+ T cells were present in lymphocytic infiltrates (data not shown). These findings are consistent with our in vitro work examining polarized Th17 cells derived from the peripheral blood of healthy controls and provides additional evidence for multiple Th17 cell subsets with complementary roles, including antifungal immunity and regulation to prevent autoinflammation. This work is particularly important for understanding the role that negative regulators play in modulating the Th17 inflammatory response in human disease and suggests that PDPN could be a future clinical marker for prognosis or a therapeutic target in immunoregulation.

In summary, we suggest that PDPN+ T cells may be a previously undescribed nonpathogenic and regulatory Th17 cell subset. PDPN expression is negatively regulated by Sgk1-mediated pathways under conditions of high NaCl, and PDPN itself negatively regulates Th17 inflammation through interactions with its ligand CLEC-2. Finally, we demonstrated that PDPN+ T cells are present during the protective Th17...
inflammatory response against mucocutaneous candidiasis, suggesting the clinical relevance of our in vitro experiments. This work supports the hypothesis that PDPN is a negative regulator on T cells and opens the door for further work that may introduce new therapeutic options with PDPN as a target.

**Methods**

**Antibodies and reagents.** Monoclonal antibodies used to stimulate cells include anti-CD3 (UCHT1) and anti-CD28 (CD28.2) (BD Biosciences). Recombinant human IL-2 was used at a concentration of 10 U/ml and was obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program (Division of AIDS, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, NIH). Cytokines were used at the following concentrations: IL-1β (12.5 ng/ml), IL-6 (25 ng/ml), IL-23 (25 ng/ml), IL-12 (10 ng/ml), anti–IL-4 (5 μg/ml), and were obtained from R&D Systems. TGF-β1 was used at a concentration of 5 ng/ml and was obtained from eBioscience. Flow cytometry antibodies include CD45RO-PE-Cy7 (UCHL1), IL-17A-FITC (eBio64DEC17), PDPN-PE (NZ.1.3), PDPN-APC (NZ.1.3), PDPN-PerCP-Cy5.5 (NZ.1.3) (from eBioscience); CD4-PerCpCy5.5 (RPA-T4), CD127-APC (HIL-7R-M21), CD25-PE (M-A251), CD45RA-FITC (H1100), annexin V-FITC (from BD); and IFN-AlexaFluor700 (4S.B3) (from BioLegend). Immunohistochemistry antibodies include polyclonal rabbit anti–human CD3 (Agilent Technologies, catalog A045229), rat IgG2a anti–human PDPN (eBioscience, clone NZ.1.3), and monoclonal mouse anti–human IL-17a (Abnova, clone 4K5F6).

**Cell purification and sorting.** PBMCs were isolated by standard Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare) gradient centrifugation. Total CD4+ T cells were isolated by negative selection (CD4+ T Cell Enrichment Kit; Stemcell Technologies) and used for T cell subpopulation isolation. Naïve (CD127+CD25-CD45RA+CD45RO-), memory (CD127+CD25-CD45RA-CD45RO+), or T effector (CD127+CD25-) CD4+ T cells were sorted on a FACSAria to a purity greater than 98% as verified by post-sort analysis.

**Cell activation, cell surface and intracellular staining.** Cells were cultured in 96-well plates at a concentration of 5 x 10^5 cells per well in X-VIVO15 media (BioWhittaker Inc.) without normal human serum, unless noted otherwise. Cells were stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 at 5 μg/ml for naïve T cells or 1 μg/ml for memory T cells and T effectors, and soluble anti-CD28 at 1 μg/ml. Under Th0 conditions, cells were stimulated with IL-2; under Th1 conditions, with IL-12 and anti–IL-4; and under Th17 conditions, with IL-1β, TGF-β1, IL-6, and IL-23. Media were supplemented with 40 mM NaCl where indicated. After 7 days, cells were restimulated with PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (250 ng/ml) (both from Sigma-Aldrich) in the presence of GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences). Staining with a Live/Dead Fixable Dead Cell Stain Kit (Molecular Probes) was performed before fixation to allow gating on viable cells. Cells were stained for 20 minutes at room temperature for cell surface markers. Cells were fixed and made permeable (FoxP3 staining buffer set; eBioscience) and then stained with intracellular antibodies for cytokines. Fluorescence was assessed on a Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). The human IL-17 Secretion Kit was used according to manufacturer instructions (Miltenyi Biotec).

**Proliferation assays.** Cells were labeled with CFSE (Molecular Probes), and then stimulated as described above. CFSE dilution was assessed after 4 days by flow cytometry.

**Quantification of mRNA expression levels.** RNA was isolated using the Qiagen RNeasy Micro kit and converted to cDNA by reverse transcription with random hexamers and MultiScribe reverse transcriptase (Applied Biosystems). For mRNA gene expression assays, all probes were purchased from Applied Biosystems and the reactions were run on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Values are represented as the difference in Ct values normalized to β2-microglobulin as a reference gene for each sample as per the following formula: relative RNA expression = (2^−ΔCt) × 1,000.

**NanoString gene expression analysis.** RNA was extracted as described above and then hybridized with a custom-made CodeSet according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Barcodes were counted (1,150 fields of view per sample) on an nCounter Digital Analyzer following the manufacturer’s protocol (Nanostring Technologies Inc.). Data were processed using nSolver Analysis Software first by normalization with respect to the geometric mean of the positive control spike counts (provided by the manufacturer) and then with 4 reference genes (Actb, Gapdh, Hprt, and Tubb5). A background correction was done by subtracting the mean plus 2 standard deviations of 8 negative control counts (provided by the manufacturer) and eliminating data that were less than 1.

**ELISA.** Human IL-10 ELISAs were performed with undiluted samples taken on day 7 of Th17-polarizing culture following the manufacturer’s protocol (R&D Duoset).

**Single-cell cloning.** Cells from healthy controls were cultured under Th17-polarizing conditions as described above for 1 week, and then sorted as single PDPN+ or PDPN- naïve and memory CD4+...
T cells into the wells of a 96-well plate. Clones were expanded for 3 to 4 weeks in X-VIVO15 medium (BioWhittaker Inc.) with 5% human serum (Gemini Bio-Products) by stimulation with irradiated autologous PBMCs in the presence of soluble anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 at 1 μg/ml with IL-2 (25 U/ml) (Th0 conditions), or with IL-2 plus IL-1β, TGF-β, IL-6, and IL-23 (Th17 conditions). Cytokines were refreshed on day 10 after stimulation and then every 3 days. Clonal populations were stained for viability and cell surface markers before fixation. Fluorescence was assessed on a Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

**shRNA-mediated gene silencing.** CD4 effector cells (10⁵ cells/well) were stimulated in X-VIVO15 medium with 5% human serum overnight with plate-bound anti-CD3 (1 μg/ml) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 μg/ml). The following day, a GFP-tagged viral construct containing shRNA specific for SGK1 (TRCN0000040175) or PDPN (TRCN0000061925) and a control viral construct (all 3 constructs were from Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the culture at multiplicity of infection equal to 5 with IL-2 plus IL-1β, TGF-β, IL-6, and IL-23 (Th17 conditions). Due to a low rate of infection by the PDPN shRNA construct, cells were resorted based on GFP expression before further analysis. Cells were restimulated with PMA and ionomycin for 4 to 5 hours prior to analysis. Cultures were examined for GFP positivity by flow cytometry. PDPN expression and cytokine production were measured by flow cytometry and qPCR.

**CLEC-2 ligation of PDPN.** Naive CD4 T cells were polarized under Th17 conditions for 1 week as previously described with the addition of soluble recombinant human CLEC-2 (R&D Systems, 1718-CL-050) at 1 μg/ml unless described otherwise, with or without +40 mM NaCl. CLEC-2 was also added to PDPN shRNA experiments when cytokines were first added to the cultures. After 7 to 8 days in culture, cells were restimulated with PMA and ionomycin for 4 to 5 hours prior to analysis. PDPN expression and cytokine production were measured by flow cytometry and qPCR.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections were cut at 5 μm thickness. After quenching with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide and blocking with normal serum, sections were incubated with primary antibodies overnight, processed with the appropriate biotinylated secondary antibody and avidin/biotin staining kit with diaminobenzidine (Vector ABC HRP Kit and DAB Kit, Vector Laboratories), and counterstained with hematoxylin (64). For fluorescence, sections were incubated with fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies and subsequently treated with 0.7% Sudan Black in 70% ethanol to quench autofluorescence. Sections were counterstained with Hoechst Dye 33342 and mounted with VectaShield mounting medium (VectaShield Kit, Vector Laboratories). Adequate controls using isotype control antibodies were performed with each primary antibody. Acquisition and analysis were performed in a double-blinded manner. Statistical analysis was based on expression levels of at least 200 CD3⁺ cells per case in 4 cases each of psoriasis and cutaneous candidiasis. Brightfield images were acquired with a Leica DM5000 B microscope using a Leica color camera DFC310 Fx and the Leica Application Suite (version 4.2.0) imaging software. Fluorescence images were taken with an UltraVIEW VoX (Perkin Elmer) spinning disc confocal Nikon Ti-E Eclipse microscope. Image acquisition, visualization, and quantification were performed using the Volocity 6.3 software (Improvision). Images were processed with ImageJ (NIH) software (65).

**Statistics.** Statistical analysis was run using Prism (GraphPad Software). Statistical tests are listed in the figure legends, with P values equal to or less than 0.05 being considered significant.

**Study approval and patient samples.** Peripheral venous blood was obtained from healthy control volunteers in compliance with Institutional Review Board protocols at Yale University School of Medicine. Leukopaks from anonymous healthy control volunteers were ordered from New York Blood Center (Long Island City, New York, USA). Human skin biopsy tissue was obtained according to Institutional Review Board-approved protocols.
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