Tracking mesenchymal stem cell contributions to regeneration in an immunocompetent cartilage regeneration model

Daniela Zwolanek,1 María Satué,1 Verena Proell,1 José R. Godoy,1 Kathrin I. Odörfer,1 Magdalena Flicker,1 Sigrid C. Hoffmann,2 Thomas Rülicke,1 and Reinhold G. Erben1

1Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria. 2Medical Research Center, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany.

It is currently controversially discussed whether mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) facilitate cartilage regeneration in vivo by a progenitor- or a nonprogenitor-mediated mechanism. Here, we describe a potentially novel unbiased in vivo cell tracking system based on transgenic donor and corresponding immunocompetent marker–tolerant recipient mouse and rat lines in inbred genetic backgrounds. Tolerance of recipients was achieved by transgenic expression of an immunologically neutral but physicochemically distinguishable variant of the marker human placental alkaline phosphatase (ALPP). In this dual transgenic system, donor lines ubiquitously express WT, heat-resistant ALPP protein, whereas recipient lines express a heat-labile ALPP mutant (ALPPE451G) resulting from a single amino acid substitution. Tolerance of recipient lines to ALPP-expressing cells and tissues was verified by skin transplantation. Using this model, we show that intraarticularly injected MSC contribute to regeneration of articular cartilage in full-thickness cartilage defects mainly via a nonprogenitor-mediated mechanism.

Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) are multipotent cells isolated from adult BM or other tissues. MSC can be easily isolated, expanded, and differentiated into multiple cell lineages such as bone, cartilage, muscle, or fat tissue under defined culture conditions. Adult MSC are currently being evaluated for a wide number of cell-based therapeutic approaches, such as skeletal tissue regeneration, myocardial regeneration, and treatment of graft-versus-host disease (1).

Although several studies investigating cartilage regeneration using intraarticularly injected MSC reported promising results in different model systems (2–6), it is currently controversially discussed whether the positive therapeutic effects of MSC are due to a progenitor or a nonprogenitor function of injected cells (7). It is unclear whether MSC injected into a joint attach to cartilage lesions, divide, differentiate, and give rise to new MSC-derived chondrocytes, or whether they just orchestrate regeneration by a nonprogenitor function, e.g., by secreting factors stimulating host cells to promote regeneration. This controversy has been fueled by the lack of appropriate translational model systems that are able to unequivocally answer this key question. We have recently shown that genetically labeled MSC are able to attach to cartilage lesions via a β1 integrin–mediated mechanism in vitro (8), but it is generally believed that, in vivo, only few of the intraarticularly injected cells adhere to damaged cartilage and may subsequently participate in tissue regeneration.

In order to gain more mechanistic insight into the fate and function of therapeutically administered MSC, it is necessary to reliably track the injected cells. The most widely used method to label cells is the introduction of a stable genetic marker that is expressed in the whole progeny of a specific cell. Therefore, use of cells from transgenic donor animals is probably the most robust way of exploring the long-term fate of transplanted cells. However, a major problem in this context is immune-mediated rejection of cells labeled with immunogenic marker proteins in immunocompetent hosts. Most of the current markers for cell tracking — such as fluorescent proteins, the bacterial enzyme LacZ, firefly
Luciferase, Herpes simplex thymidine kinase, or human placental alkaline phosphatase (ALPP) — are foreign, immunogenic proteins. In recent years, it has become increasingly clear that membrane or even intracellular expression of any foreign protein, and thus of any marker protein, elicits immune-mediated rejection of transplanted nonmalignant cells expressing the marker in an immunocompetent recipient (9–13). This problem has hampered the usefulness of cell tracking models in regenerative medicine, especially in long-term studies. To date, it has been circumvented by the use of immunodeficient animals such as Prkdcscid (severe combined immunodeficiency) mice or by drug- and irradiation-based immunosuppression (14). These strategies obviously create artificial systems that may not be predictive of the situation in a human patient because an intact host immune system is a very important component in the course of many, if not all, diseases.

The animal model described in the current report aims to fill this translational gap. Here, we describe a transgenic marker-tolerant animal model in mice and rats that allows tracing the fate of genetically labeled cells in the complete absence of immune-mediated rejection in immunocompetent hosts. We have previously introduced the concept of marker tolerance using neonatal tolerization to a specific marker protein (15). The current model is based on a dual transgenic system, consisting of a donor line and a corresponding marker-tolerant recipient line characterized by innate tolerance to the donor marker. As proof of concept, we used the marker ALPP for its convenience of detection in hard tissues. Using this novel in vivo cell tracking model, we show that intraarticularly injected MSC contribute to healing of a focal full-thickness cartilage defect mainly by a nonprogenitor effect.

**Results**

**Generation of transgenic mouse lines.** For our proof-of-principle experiments, we employed ALPP as marker due to its ease of detection in soft (16–18) and hard tissues (19) and because ALPP is known to be developmentally neutral in mice and rats (16) and does not influence the behavior of ALPP-expressing MSC (20). In this dual transgenic system, the donor line Tg(ALPP) expresses WT ALPP in a ubiquitous fashion and serves as an unlimited source of genetically labeled cells and tissues. To generate ALPP-tolerant recipients, we made use of ALPP’s unique heat stability in comparison with other human alkaline phosphatases (APs). Human APs are highly homologous; for example, the heat-labile germ cell AP (GCAP) differs only in 7 amino acid residues from heat-stable ALPP (21). It has previously been shown that amino acid position 451 is crucial for conveying heat stability in human APs (22). Based on these experiments, it seemed possible that changing glutamic acid 451 to glycine might be sufficient to render the protein heat labile. The heat-labile form of ALPP could easily be distinguished from WT ALPP by heat inactivation, similar to endogenous APs. We hypothesized further that the immune system of transgenic mice and rats expressing ALPPE451G (Tg(ALPPE451G)) might fail to recognize WT ALPP as a foreign protein because the heat-sensitive derivative ALPPE451G (ALPP+) is virtually identical to native ALPP.

To test this idea, we generated donor and recipient mouse and rat lines in a C57BL/6N and Fischer 344 inbred background, respectively, by pronuclear injection of mouse and rat zygotes and random integration of the transgene. Driven by a truncated Rosa26 promoter (23), donor lines express WT ALPP, whereas recipient lines express ALPPE451G (Figure 1A and B). Transgenic founders were identified by PCR and Southern blotting on genomic tail DNA (data not shown). Transcription and translation of the transgenes were verified by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) on cDNA (Figure 1C) and by the immunoblotting of protein lysates prepared from lungs of donor and recipient mice (Figure 1D) or lysates prepared from kidneys of donor and recipient rats (Figure 1E). Donor lines were selected for high ALPP expression, whereas Tg(ALPPE451G) (Tg(ALPP+)) recipients were selected for low expression to facilitate heat inactivation and to reduce any potential background. It is important to note that the anti-ALPP antibody (SP15) used for immunoblotting does not distinguish between ALPP and ALPP+ because the proteins differ only in one amino acid. Two donor and 3 recipient mouse lines, as well as 1 donor and 1 recipient rat line, were chosen for further analysis. The data in this report focus on the donor line C57BL/6N-Tg(R26-ALPP)181Biat (Tg[ALPP]) and the recipient lines C57BL/6N-Tg(R26-ALPPE451G)192Biat (Tg[ALPP+]) and F344-Tg(R26-ALPPE451G)545Mhm (Tg[ALPP+]). All results reported for the C57BL/6N-Tg(ALPP+)192Biat recipient line were confirmed in two other selected recipient lines (data not shown). Because our self-produced F344-Tg(ALPP) donor line expressed the ALPP transgene at lower levels compared with the F344-Tg(ALPP) rat line generated by Kisseberth and coworkers (16), we used the latter rat line as a donor line for all subsequent experiments.
Heat sensitivity of mutant ALPP and tolerance of Tg(ALPPm) recipients to WT ALPP. We initially tested the heat stability of ALPPm. Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 1, A and B (supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.87322DS1) show that, similar to endogenous APs, heat pretreatment of paraffin or plastic sections at 72°C for 35 minutes completely inactivates ALPPm but not WT ALPP. Thus, tissues from Tg(ALPPm) recipients do not show histochemical background staining after heat inactivation.

We next examined the tolerance of Tg(ALPPm) recipient mice and rats to tissues from Tg(ALPP) donors by monitoring skin graft survival over 24 weeks in mice and over 4 weeks in rats. Autotransplantations and WT-to-WT transplantations served as controls. All transplantations were performed in a sex-matched manner. Macroscopic appearance and graft scoring of skin transplants from Tg(ALPP) mice into Tg(ALPPm) recipients was indistinguishable from autotransplants and WT-to-WT transplants (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). In accordance with the finding that chronic rejection may not be clearly evident at the macroscopic level in inbred mice (24), skin grafts from Tg(ALPP) mice transplanted into WT mice of the same background were not visibly rejected over the time course of the experiment (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Similarly, macroscopic appearance and scoring of skin transplants from Tg(ALPP) rats into Tg(ALPPm) rats were equivalent to autotransplantation and WT-to-WT transplants (Supplemental Figure 2, C and D). In contrast, skin grafts from Tg(ALPP) rats were clearly rejected in otherwise isogenic WT recipients within 4 weeks after transplantation, resulting in a significantly higher score (Supplemental Figure 2D).

Histochemical staining for ALPP activity showed strong staining of grafts from Tg(ALPP) donors in Tg(ALPPm) recipient mice at 3 and 24 weeks after transplantation (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure 1C). It is noteworthy that ALPP staining was distinctly reduced as early as 3 weeks after transplantation in WT compared with Tg(ALPPm) recipient mice. In agreement with the results obtained in mice, skin grafts from Tg(ALPP) donor rats showed strong histochemical ALPP staining in Tg(ALPPm) recipient rats, but ALPP staining was almost absent in WT recipients 4 weeks after transplantation (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 1E).

To examine graft rejection in more detail, we quantified leukocyte infiltration in tissue sections of allo- and autotransplants at 3 and 24 weeks after surgery by anti-CD45R and anti-CD45 IHC in mice and rats, respectively. In accordance with the data obtained from ALPP histochemistry, skin grafts from Tg(ALPP) donors showed considerable influx of immune cells at 3 and 24 weeks after transplantation in WT recipient mice (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 1D) and at 4 weeks after transplantation in WT.
rats (Figure 2E and Supplemental Figure 1F). In contrast, leukocyte infiltration of the ALPP transgenic grafts did not occur in Tg(ALPP<sup>m</sup>) recipients at any time point after transplantation, demonstrating long-term tolerance of Tg(ALPP<sup>m</sup>) recipient mice and rats to tissues from ALPP transgenic donors (Figure 2, C and E and Supplemental Figure 1, D and F).

MSC attach to full-thickness cartilage defects but do not differentiate into mature chondrocytes. We next examined the ability of this cell tracking model to answer an unresolved question in regenerative medicine, namely whether intraarticularly injected MSC augment cartilage regeneration via a progenitor- or
Figure 3. Intraarticularly injected MSC contribute to cartilage regeneration in a rat model of full-thickness cartilage defects. (A) Histochemical ALPP staining of the distal femoral joint surface with a full-thickness cartilage defect in the patellar groove 1 and 28 days after intraarticular injection of serum or 1 × 10^7 MSC from Tg(ALPP) donor rats. Arrows show ALPP-positive staining in the defects. ALPP staining was absent in WT or Tg(ALPPm) recipients injected with MSC from Tg(ALPP) donors or serum, respectively. Scale bar: 250 μm. (B) Quantification of the ALPP-positive area within the full-thickness defects. Dots represent ALPP-positive area per animal. n ≥ 5 per group. *P < 0.05, ALPP → ALPPm recipients vs. all other groups by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney U test. (C) Histochemical ALPP staining of cryosections from femoral full-thickness cartilage defects 1 and 28 days after injection of 1 × 10^7 MSC from Tg(ALPP) donor rats into the knee of Tg(ALPPm) recipients. Insets show ALPP-positive cells at the bottom of the defects. Scale bar: 50 μm. n = 3 animals per group. (D) Toluidine blue staining of cryosections from full-thickness cartilage defects, 28 days after injection of 1 × 10^7 MSC from Tg(ALPP) donor rats into WT or Tg(ALPPm) recipients. Black arrows indicate induction of neocartilage formation within the defects of Tg(ALPPm) animals. Scale bar: 50 μm. n = 3 per group. (E, G, and H) Immunofluorescence staining of cryosections from full-thickness cartilage defects using anti-collagen II (anti-COL2, green) and anti-ALPP antibodies (red) (E), anti-ALPP (green) and anti-Sox9 antibodies (red) (G), or anti-COMP (green) and anti-ALPP antibodies (red) (H) 1 month after injection of 1 × 10^7 MSC from Tg(ALPP) donor rats into WT or Tg(ALPPm) recipients. White arrows in insets show ALPP-expressing cells at the bottom of the defect surrounded by COL2-containing matrix (E) and ALPP- and SOX9-coexpressing cells within the defect (G) in Tg(ALPPm) recipients. Neither ALPP-expressing cells, new COL2 matrix, nor ALPP- SOX9-coexpressing cells were found in WT recipients. Scale bar = 50 μm. n = 3 per group. (F) Quantification of the COL2-stained area within the defects in cryosections 28 days after injection of MSC from Tg(ALPP) donors in WT or Tg(ALPPm) recipients. Dots represent means of COL2-stained area per animal. n = 3 per group. *P < 0.05 by Student’s t test. ALPP, human placental alkaline phosphatase; ALPPm, ALPPE451G mutant; ALPP → ALPPm, transplantation from Tg(ALPP) donor into Tg(ALPPm) recipient; TX, transplantation.
nonprogenitor-mediated mechanism. To this end, we established a focal cartilage defect model in rats. Full-thickness cartilage defects with a diameter of 0.7 mm were generated in the patellofemoral groove of the right knee in female Tg(ALPP™) and WT rats. The left intact knee served as internal control. Two weeks after surgery, we injected 1 × 10^6 MSC isolated from sex-matched Tg(ALPP) donor rats or vehicle (serum) into the left and right knees. We used native rat serum for suspension of MSC to facilitate attachment to the defects (8). A detailed characterization of the MSC population has been reported earlier (20).

Histochemical analysis of ALPP activity directly after femur isolation demonstrated that ALPP-expressing MSC were able to successfully attach to the full-thickness defects in Tg(ALPP™) recipients, whereas no attachment was seen in WT recipients or in vehicle controls 1 day after injection (Figure 3, A–C). Seven days after injection, only few ALPP-positive cells were found in the defects of Tg(ALPP™). Interestingly, however, 28 days after injection, a significant area of the defects was covered by ALPP-positive cells in Tg(ALPP™) recipients, whereas no ALPP staining was found in transplanted WT animals or serum-injected controls (Figure 3, A and B).

In order to follow up the intriguing finding that ALPP-expressing MSC were absent in cartilage defects of WT recipients as early as one day after injection, we analyzed synovial ALPP staining and the amount of ALPP present in the joint capsule tissue by histochemical staining and immunoblotting, respectively, 1 day after injection of MSC from Tg(ALPP) donors. As expected, ALPP staining and ALPP protein expression was only found in the joint capsule of knees injected with ALPP-expressing MSC but not in serum-injected WT and Tg(ALPP™) controls (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). Surprisingly, however, the synovial ALPP-stained area, as well as the abundance of ALPP protein, was higher in the joint capsule of Tg(ALPP™) compared with WT recipients 1 day after injection of MSC from Tg(ALPP) donors (Supplemental Figure 3, A and C). It may be argued that the higher ALPP protein expression in Tg(ALPP™) compared with WT recipient mice could be due to background expression of ALPP™ because the anti-ALPP antibody (SP15) used for immunoblotting does not distinguish between ALPP and ALPP™. However, as shown in Supplemental Figure 3B, the low background expression of ALPP™ in Tg(ALPP™) rats is detected by immunoblotting only when very different amounts of protein are loaded (right lane in Supplemental Figure 3B). To find a possible explanation for the rapid reduction of ALPP abundance in WT hosts, we analyzed neutrophil activation by examining myeloperoxidase (MPO) expression as an indicator for activation of the innate immune system (25). Although the changes were not statistically significant, WT recipient joint-capsule homogenates showed a tendency for higher MPO expression compared with Tg(ALPP™) recipients (Supplemental Figure 3D). These findings may suggest that injection of ALPP-expressing MSC into the knee joint of WT recipients elicits a rapid activation of the innate immune system, resulting in rejection of the injected cells.

To examine the fate of injected MSC, we performed ALPP staining and immunofluorescence analysis of cryosections prepared from the undecalcified defects, using the newly developed tape method (26). ALPP-positive cells were found at the bottom of the defects at 1 and 28 days after injection in Tg(ALPP™) recipients treated with MSC from Tg(ALPP) donors (Figure 3C). In contrast, no ALPP-expressing cells were observed in WT recipients injected with ALPP-expressing MSC (Figure 3E) or in WT or Tg(ALPP™) rats injected with serum (Supplemental Figure 4, C, E, and G). Toluidine blue staining indicated beginning neocartilage formation only in Tg(ALPP™) recipients treated with MSC from Tg(ALPP) donors but not in WT rats treated with ALPP-expressing MSC or in rats injected with serum (Figure 3D and Supplemental Figure 4A). In line with this finding, collagen II (COL2) expression within the defects was almost exclusively found in Tg(ALPP™) recipients injected with ALPP-expressing MSC, 1 month after treatment (Figure 3, E and F). COL2 is a prominent cartilage extracellular matrix protein and indicates chondrogenic cell differentiation within the defects. ALPP-positive cells were found at the bottom of the defects surrounded by a matrix containing COL2 in Tg(ALPP™) recipients (Figure 3E). Interestingly, the early chondrogenic marker SRY-Box9, SOX9, was highly expressed by some ALPP-expressing cells at the bottom of the defects, 1 month after injection (Figure 3G). Another prominent cartilage protein, the cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) was detectable in all defects (Figure 3H and Supplemental Figure 4G).

To analyze the long-term fate of injected MSC and their ability to support cartilage regeneration, we performed an additional 6-month experiment. Toluidine blue staining showed that the cartilage defect was almost fully regenerated in ALPP™ recipients, 6 months after MSC injection (Figure 4A). In contrast, the defects were mostly filled with bone and covered only with a very thin layer of cartilage in WT animals injected with MSC (Figure 4, A–E) or in animals injected with serum (Supplemental Figure 4, B, D, F, and H).
The newly formed cartilage in Tg(ALPP\textsuperscript{m}) recipients showed COL2, SOX9, and COMP expression, yet COL2 expression was still lower compared with the surrounding articular cartilage outside the defect (Figure 4, C–E). However, ALPP-positive cells were completely absent in Tg(ALPP\textsuperscript{m}) recipients, 6 months after MSC injection (Figure 4, C–E), demonstrating that the regenerated cartilage was exclusively host derived. Taken together, these findings indicate that MSC locally attached to the defect contribute to cartilage regeneration, possibly by secreting bioactive factors that suppress chondrocyte hypertrophy and subsequent bone formation but do not give rise to new mature chondrocytes for long-term cartilage repair.

### Discussion

We present a potentially novel in vivo cell tracking system that allows the fate of ALPP-labeled cells and tissues in immunocompetent recipients to be followed over long periods of time in the complete absence of immune-mediated rejection. The model is almost fully equivalent to the situation in human patients treated with autologous cells and may set new standards in the preclinical testing of regenerative therapies. Using this model, we show in a focal cartilage defect model that intraarticularly injected MSC contribute to long-term cartilage regeneration mainly through a nonprogenitor-mediated mechanism.

Earlier studies have shown that immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice rejected skin grafts from eGFP transgenic C57BL/6 mice within 100 days in 14 of 17 animals (27). In another report, only 8 of 66 skin grafts from GFP transgenic C57BL/6 mice were successfully engrafted over 6 months after transplantation in WT C57BL/6 mice (28). In line with these results, leukocyte infiltration of ALPP-expressing grafts in WT recipient mice and rats clearly indicated the presence of chronic rejection in our study, although macroscopic rejection of skin grafts from Tg(ALPP) mice in WT C57BL/6/6 recipients was not uniformly evident. Collectively, our data and the work of others suggests that, despite an inbred genetic background, cells or tissues expressing immunogenic marker proteins are invariably rejected in nontolerant immunocompetent hosts, irrespective of whether intracellular marker proteins such as GFP or membrane proteins such as ALPP are used. Moreover, the strong infiltration of ALPP transgenic skin grafts with immune cells at 3 and 4 weeks after transplantation in WT recipient mice and rats, respectively, indicates that immune-mediated
rejection of cells and tissues labeled with immunogenic markers in nontolerant immunocompetent hosts is not only a problem in long-term studies, but also a confounding factor in short-term experiments.

Leukocyte infiltration of ALPP-expressing skin grafts was completely absent in Tg(ALPP<sup>wt</sup>) recipient mice and rats. Thus, the strategy of inducing innate tolerance to a marker protein by expression of a mutant marker protein differing in a single amino acid position proved successful. Our results suggest that ALPP and ALPP<sup>146Val</sup> are indeed immunologically neutral (i.e., the murine and rat immune systems do not distinguish between the 2 variants of the protein). For our proof-of-concept studies we used ALPP as a marker protein because of its ease of detection in histological sections (15, 19, 29) and its suitability for electron microscopic analysis (30). It is likely that a similar approach (i.e., site-directed mutagenesis of single or few amino acids in key positions of the molecule) will also be suitable for other marker proteins such as fluorescent proteins, luciferase, thymidine kinase, or LacZ.

The strategy of inducing tolerance to a marker protein by transgenic overexpression of an immunologically neutral but physicochemically distinguishable variant of the marker has two other important advantages. Firstly, because transgenes can also efficiently be introduced into the genome of rabbits (31), pigs (32), or monkeys (33), it is very likely that the same system can be employed to generate marker-tolerant tracking models in other species used as animal models. Even in species where isogeneic strains are not available, such as in pigs or monkeys, autologous cells harvested from transgenic marker–tolerant individuals and transfected with the WT marker could be tracked in the absence of immune-mediated rejection. Secondly, because both donor and recipient animals are active as hemizygous genotypes, our system can rapidly be employed to track cells from KO or knockin mouse models in the same genetic background.

An intriguing observation in our study was that injection of ALPP-expressing MSC into the knee joint of WT recipients elicits a rapid activation of the innate immune system, resulting in rejection of the injected cells. We don’t have a conclusive answer why this occurs. We tested the hypothesis that WT animals may have preformed antibodies against ALPP because they may have been preexposed to ALPP by contact with Tg(ALPP) littermates. However, by using Coombs test and flow cytometry, we found no evidence of preformed anti-ALPP antibodies in naive WT animals. Therefore, it remains unclear why intraarticularly injected ALPP-expressing MSC are so rapidly cleared in WT recipients. In any case, however, this problem can be overcome by use of our Tg(ALPP<sup>wt</sup>) model. The pronounced reduction in the number of ALPP-expressing MSC attached to the defects between 1 and 7 days after MSC injection in Tg(ALPP<sup>wt</sup>) recipients is most likely due to fluid flow–induced shearing forces caused by joint movement, which may remove cells not firmly attached to the cartilage matrix. The latter finding underscores that more efficient site-specific transplantation techniques are needed to fully exploit the therapeutic potential of MSC for cartilage regeneration.

For the further development of regenerative therapies based on autologous adult stem cells (1) or autologous induced pluripotent stem cells (34–36), appropriate animal models are urgently needed. The marker tolerance technology we describe allows unbiased long-term tracking of isogeneic, genetically labeled cells and tissues, closely mimicking the biology in a human patient treated with autologous cells. Taken together, this technology may represent an important advance in our ability to track labeled cells in immunocompetent hosts and in the development of predictive and cost-effective preclinical test systems for regenerative treatment approaches.

In our study, we used this potentially novel technology to answer one of the most burning questions currently in MSC-based cartilage regeneration: whether intraarticularly injected MSC contribute to cartilage regeneration in vivo via a progenitor- or nonprogenitor-mediated mechanism. Our findings in the focal cartilage defect model clearly indicate that attachment of MSC within full-thickness cartilage defects is necessary for cartilage regeneration. However, the MSC within the defect only orchestrate regeneration by host-derived cells; they do not give rise to new chondrocytes for long-term regeneration.

This conclusion is corroborated by a recent first-in-man clinical trial (37). de Windt and coworkers (37) found that allogeneic MSCs transplanted together with autologous chondrons into cartilage defects in the knee stimulated cartilage regeneration, as evidenced by MRI and second-look arthroscopies. However, biopsies taken from the regenerated cartilage during second-look arthroscopies failed to provide evidence of donor-derived DNA, showing that the transplanted allogeneic MSC did not permanently engraft in the host tissue. It could be argued that the results of the latter study may have been influenced by immune-mediated rejection of allogeneic cells. However, taken together, the study by de Windt et al. (37) in humans and our experimental data in an unbiased long-term cell-tracking model make a strong case for the notion that MSC stimulate long-term cartilage regeneration mainly via a nonprogenitor mechanism.
Of note in this context, our data suggest that at least some MSC attached to the defects undergo early chondrogenesis by forming aggregates highly expressing the chondrogenic master transcription factor SOX9 (38, 39). It is known that SOX9 promotes chondrogenic differentiation and suppresses hypertrophic and osteogenic differentiation (40, 41). Therefore, we hypothesize that the transplanted MSC secrete bioactive factors that prevent chondrocyte hypertrophy in neighboring, host-derived cells. Hence, it is conceivable that the long-term overall role of MSC as orchestrators of endogenous cartilage regeneration may be coupled to a transient progenitor function. Future studies are needed to shed more light on the molecular nature of the crosstalk between transplanted MSC and host-derived cells.

Methods

Supplemental Methods and ALPPe. The R26 promoter was amplified via PCR from genomic DNA extracted from F344-Tg(R26-ALPP) rats (16), and the genomic ALPP sequence including 3′UTR was amplified from human whole blood genomic DNA. PCR products R26S, E3r, R26f, U3Kr, and 3U1r (Supplemental Table 1) were cloned into pCR2.1 or pCR-4-TOPO (Invitrogen) and sequenced to verify mutation-free amplification. For E451G substitution, splice overlap extension (SOE) was performed using the primers shown in Supplemental Table 2. First, the 3′UTR fragment U3Kr/3Ur was cloned with KpnI and SpeI into a modified pEGFP-N3 vector (Clontech-Takara) where the CMV promoter and EGFP had been removed. Ascl/Nhel and KpnI/Xba digestion, resulting in plasmid pAN3-3′UTR. This plasmid was opened by SalI/KpnI, and the PCR fragments R26S/E3r and R26f/U3Kr were inserted via SalI, BamHI, and KpnI restriction sites to complete the R26P-ALPP construct. For the R26P-ALPPE451G construct, a PCR amplicon carrying the point mutation introduced by SOE was digested with Eco72I/KpnI and ligated into a pBSIIKS(−) vector opened by KpnI/BamHI digestion, together with the BamHI/Eco72I-digested R26f/U3Kr-derived fragment. Finally, the R26P-ALPPE451G construct was completed by analogous assembly of fragments in pAN3-3′UTR via SalI, BamHI, and KpnI restriction sites. Final R26P-ALPP and R26P-ALPPe cassettes were sequenced prior to pronuclear injection.

Generation of transgenic mice and rats. The R26P-ALPP and R26P-ALPPE451G cassettes were excised from the vector backbone using SalI and AfiIII, separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, and recovered from gel using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (QiaGen). Pronuclear injections into fertilized oocytes derived from C57BL/6N or F344 (Charles River Laboratories) matings were performed as described (42). The purified DNA constructs were injected into pronuclei at a concentration of 2.0 ng/µl injection buffer. Injected zygotes were transferred the same day into pseudopregnant surrogate mothers. To identify transgenic founders, the offspring were genotyped by Southern blot (0.8 kb probe) and PCR analysis using specific primers (Supplemental Table 2). Based on transgene expression pattern (see below) and the presence of only 1 insertion site, 2 donor (C57BL/6N-Tg[R26-ALPP]181Biat and C57BL/6N-Tg[R26-ALPP]188Biat) and 3 recipient mouse lines (C57BL/6N-Tg[R26-ALPP]E451G]192Biat, C57BL/6N-Tg[R26-ALPP]E451G]195Biat, and C57BL/6N-Tg[R26-ALPP]E451G]196Biat), and 1 donor (F344-Tg[R26-ALPP]Mhm) and 1 recipient rat line (F344-Tg[R26-ALPP]E451G]545Mhm) were selected for further analysis.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and RT-PCR. For RNA isolation, tissue (n ≥ 7 per genotype) shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C was homogenized in TRI Reagent (Ambion) in a MagNA Lyser Instrument (Roche Diagnostics). RNA was extracted with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (Ambion) and precipitated using isopropanol. RNA purity and quality was determined spectrophotometrically (BioPhotometer, Eppendorf) and via agarose gels. Reverse transcription of RNA was performed using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Full-length expression of the transgenes was determined by several PCR assays using primers (Supplemental Table 2) spanning the entire transcript.

Immunoblotting. Frozen mouse lung (n ≥ 7 per genotype), rat kidney (n = 4 per genotype) and rat joint capsule samples (n ≥ 5 per group) were homogenized in 0.25 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, using a MagNA Lyser Instrument (Roche Diagnostics) and diluted 1:1 with 6% SDS. Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotting was carried out using a rabbit anti-ALPP antibody (SP15, Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (RPN4301, Amersham) and development with Clarity Western ECL Blotting Substrate (Bio-Rad). A rabbit anti-GAPDH antibody (MAB374, MilliporeSigma) was used as loading control and for normalization.

Skin transplantation. For skin transplantation, 0.5 × 0.5 cm full mouse skin allografts and 1 × 1 cm full rat skin allografts were transplanted from donors to recipients under isoflurane anesthesia (n = 10 per group) were homogenized in 0.25 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, using a MagNA Lyser Instrument (Roche Diagnostics). RNA was extracted with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (MilliporeSigma) and diluted 1:1 with 6% SDS. Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotting was carried out using a rabbit anti-ALPP antibody (SP15, Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (RPN4301, Amersham) and development with Clarity Western ECL Blotting Substrate (Bio-Rad). A rabbit anti-GAPDH antibody (MAB374, MilliporeSigma) was used as loading control and for normalization.
group). In each animal, an autograft served as control. Oral metamizole administered before surgery and every 6 hours after surgery was used for analgesic therapy. Antibiosis was performed over 4 days after surgery using enrofloxacin (Baytril; 10 mg/kg s.c. once daily for mice, 20 mg/kg s.c. once daily for rats). Photographs of the grafts were taken every week after transplantation, and mice were killed 3 and 24 weeks after surgery by exsanguination from the vena cava under ketamine (Ricter Pharma)/xylazine (EuroVet Animal Health) anesthesia (70/7 mg/kg i.p.). Rats were killed 4 weeks after transplantation under CO₂.

**Histochemical and IHC analysis.** Tissue samples from heart, lung, liver, kidney, spleen, vertebra, knee joints (n ≥ 7), skin, and skin transplants from mice and rats (n = 10 per group) were harvested, fixed in 40% EtOH, and processed for paraffin or a modified methylmethacrylate embedding (43). Sections (5-μm thick) were mounted on 3-aminopropyltriethoxy-silane (MilliporeSigma) pretreated slides, rehydrated, and heated at 72°C for 35 minutes to block both endogenous AP and ALPP activity. Sections were then incubated in TNM buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂) containing 0.17 μg/ml of the substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP, MilliporeSigma) and 0.45 μg/ml nitrotetrazolium blue chloride (NBT; MilliporeSigma) at room temperature overnight to detect ALPP activity. Subsequently, sections were counterstained with methyl green (Vector), dehydrated, and mounted using Vectamount (Vector). Isolated whole distal femurs including the joint capsule were fixed with acetone-MeOH (30:70 v/v) for 10 minutes at –20°C, heated at 72°C for 75 minutes, stained with BCIP/NBT in TNM buffer for 3 hours at room temperature, and immediately analyzed under the microscope. ALPP-stained area in the defects and the synovium were quantified using ImageJ (NIH) as described in detail earlier (8). Toluidine blue staining was performed according to routine histological procedures. In brief, dissected femurs were embedded in frozen section compound (FSC 22; Leica) and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were sectioned at 5 μm thickness, using the tape method (26). Cryosections were fixed in ice-cold ethanol for 2 minutes, washed for 5 minutes, stained with Toluidine blue O (MilliporeSigma) for 15 minutes, dehydrated in ethanol for 30 seconds, and mounted in Vectamount (Vector). For evaluation of immune-mediated rejection, sections were rehydrated and stained for CD45R using a rat anti-CD45R (550286, BD Pharmingen) in mice or for CD45 using a mouse anti-CD45 antibody (550566, BD Pharmingen) in rats, biotinylated rabbit anti–rat IgG (BA-4001, Vector Labs), Vectastain Elite ABC Kit (Vector Labs), and 3,3′-Diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride hydrate (MilliporeSigma), dehydrated, and mounted using Eukitt. Positive cells were enumerated per tissue section (n ≥ 3 per animal).

**Focal cartilage defects.** Full-thickness cartilage defects with a 0.7-mm diameter were placed in the patellofemoral groove of the right knee of male recipient animals at the age of 4–6 months (n ≥ 5 per group) with an NIH Style Neuro Punch (Fine Science Tools [FST]) under isoflurane anesthesia. After placing the defect, the joint capsule and muscle were closed using 6-0 Vicryl (Ethicon), and the skin was closed using 4-0 Vicryl. The left knee served as internal intact control. Oral metamizole administered before surgery and every 6 hours after surgery was used for analgesic therapy. Antibiosis was performed over 5 days after surgery using enrofloxacin (Baytril; 20 mg/kg s.c. once daily). Seven days after surgery, the skin sutures were removed.

**MSC culture and injection.** MSC for injection were isolated from BM of 4- to 6-week-old male ALPP-transgenic F344 rats and cultured under hypoxic conditions (37°C, 5% CO₂, and 3% O₂) up to passage 5 as described in detail before (20). For intraarticular injection, Tg(ALPP) MSC were harvested, carefully washed with PBS (without calcium and magnesium, Lonza), and resuspended in native rat serum isolated from whole blood. 1 × 10⁷ cells were resuspended in 50 μl rat serum per knee and injected intraarticularly with a 100-μl syringe and a 23G needle under isoflurane anesthesia 2 weeks after surgery. The rat’s knee was kept in a bent position, and the MSC were injected at a 90° angle from the medial side in the space between femur, tibia, and patella. One day, 7 days, 1 month, and 6 months after injection, recipient rats were killed using CO₂.

**Immunofluorescence analysis.** Immunofluorescence analysis was performed on cryosections of femurs using the tape method (26). Sections (5-μm thick) were fixed with ice-cold MeOH for 2 minutes at –20°C and blocked with blocking buffer (0.5% saponin, 2.5% MeOH, 2% normal goat serum in PBS, pH 7.4) for 45 minutes at room temperature. Cryosections were stained with the following primary antibodies: mouse anti-COL2 antibody (CP18, Calbiochem), rabbit anti-Sox9 antibody (AB5535, Millipore), chicken anti-COMP antibody (ab28400, Abcam), and mouse or rabbit anti-ALPP antibody (GTX72989, GeneTex; CBL207, Chemicon) in parallel. Thereafter, sections were incubated with a mixture of FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse (F8771, MilliporeSigma), Alexa Fluor 555–conjugated goat anti-rabbit (4413, Cell Signaling Technology) or Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (111-165-144, Jackson ImmunoResearch), and Alexa
Fluor 488–conjugated goat anti-chicken (ab150169, Abcam) for 1 hour at room temperature and mounted using Mowiol 4-88 (MilliporeSigma). DAPI (4083S, Cell Signaling) was used for nuclear staining. COL2 staining within the defect was quantified as percent of defect area by ImageJ (n = 6 sections per animal).

Statistics. All data are given as mean ± SEM. Statistics were computed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. Normal distribution of data was analyzed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For 2 independent groups, 2-tailed Student’s t test was used. Data from more than 2 groups were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA, followed by Student-Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test, or by using Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate. P < 0.05 were considered significant.

Study approval. All animal studies were approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna; by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science and Research; and by the Regierungsspräsidium Karlsruhe, Germany (permit nos. BMBWK-68.205/0240-BrGT/2005, BMWF-68.205/0018-II/10b/2010, BMWF-68.205/0241-II/10b/2008, BMWF-68.205/0013-II/3b/2014, 35-9185.81/G-44/10) and were undertaken in strict accordance with prevailing guidelines for animal care and welfare.
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