

Supplemental Materials

Supplemental Acknowledgement

AGS Clinical Trial Readiness Workgroup Members:

Simona Orcesi, Department of Brain and Behavioral Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy, Child Neurology and Psychiatry Unit, IRCCS Mondino Foundation, Pavia, Italy. Elisa Fazzi, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Azienda Socio Sanitaria Territoriale Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy. Stephanie Keller, Department of Neurology, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia, and Department of Pediatrics and Neurology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia. Jamie Fraser, Division of Genetics and Metabolism, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC. James Krueger, Laboratory of Investigative Dermatology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York. Amit Bar-Or, Department of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. James Treat, Dermatology Section, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Allan Glanzman, Department of Physical Therapy, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Scott Lorch, Division of Neonatology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Department of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Sara DeMauro, Division of Neonatology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Shanice Beerepoot, Department of Child Neurology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Conflicts of interest:

LA receives research support for research from Eli Lilly, Takeda, Illumina, Boehringer Ingelhiem, Biogen, Orchard Therapeutics. LA serves on the scientific advisory boards of the MLD Foundation, CureMLD, and Don't Forget Morgan Foundation. She is also a consultant for Takeda, Biogen, and Orchard Therapeutics. RD receives grant support from Takeda, Biogen, Orchard Therapeutics, Affinia, and Sana. EMB received research support from AB2Bio and is a consultant for Sobi and Genzyme. RGM and AADJ receive research support through the NIH intramural research program (IRP) at NIAID. AV receives grant and in-kind support for research from Eli Lilly, Gilead, Takeda, Illumina, Boehringer Ingelhiem, Biogen, Homology, Ionis, Passage Bio, Affinia, Sana, Sanofi, Myrtelle, and Orchard Therapeutics. She also serves on the scientific advisory boards of the European Leukodystrophy Association and the United Leukodystrophy Foundation as well as in an unpaid capacity for Takeda, Ionis, Biogen, and Illumina.

Supplemental Table 1. Examples of health conditions present in Control Cohorts

Cohort	Control Cohort 1	Control Cohort 2
Health Conditions	4H syndrome, Alexander disease, Angelman syndrome, Cockayne disease, Metachromatic Leukodystrophy, Multiple Sulfatase deficiency, Mitochondrial disease, Pelizaeus Merzbacher disease and Pelizaeus Merzbacher like disease, TUBB4A associated leukodystrophy, X-linked Adrenoleukodystrophy, Vanishing White Matter disease, and other primary genetic disorders with a confirmed mutation unrelated to AGS	Developmental disorder, medication requiring blood monitoring including for acne or epilepsy, anemia and blood cell dyscrasia, well visit with or without vaccination, endocrinologic evaluation, inpatient care for trauma, celiac disease, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, Lupus, Juvenile dermatomyositis, Sickle cell disease, renal failure, structural heart disease, hematologic malignancy or solid tumor, acute viral or bacterial illness.

Supplemental Table 2. Comparison of cohorts by sample

	Train (N=258)	Test (N=739)	Internal validation (N=86)	External validation (N=36)	Overall (N=1119)
Sex					
Female	98 (38.0%)	347 (47.0%)	38 (44.2%)	18 (50.0%)	501 (44.8%)
Male	159 (61.6%)	387 (52.4%)	48 (55.8%)	18 (50.0%)	612 (54.7%)
Missing	1 (0.4%)	5 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (0.5%)
Age at Sample (years)					
Mean (SD)	7.71 (8.05)	6.93 (6.35)	12.1 (15.0)	11.6 (9.39)	7.67 (7.98)
Median [Min, Max]	4.33 [0.142, 57.1]	5.00 [0.0100, 44.8]	7.40 [0.444, 70.2]	7.49 [2.29, 40.8]	5.00 [0.0100, 70.2]
Missing	2 (0.8%)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (0.4%)
Interferonopathy					
Yes	169 (65.5%)	165 (22.3%)	65 (75.6%)	36 (100%)	435 (38.9%)
No	89 (34.5%)	574 (77.7%)	21 (24.4%)	0 (0%)	684 (61.1%)
Genotype					
<i>ADAR1</i>	27 (10.5%)	35 (4.7%)	14 (16.3%)	0 (0%)	76 (6.8%)
<i>IFIH1</i>	16 (6.2%)	18 (2.4%)	2 (2.3%)	0 (0%)	36 (3.2%)
<i>PNPT1</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (7.0%)	0 (0%)	6 (0.5%)
<i>RNASEH2A</i>	11 (4.3%)	13 (1.8%)	4 (4.7%)	0 (0%)	28 (2.5%)
<i>RNASEH2B</i>	42 (16.3%)	47 (6.4%)	16 (18.6%)	0 (0%)	105 (9.4%)
<i>RNASEH2C</i>	10 (3.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0%)	11 (1.0%)
<i>RNU7-1</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (4.7%)	0 (0%)	4 (0.4%)
<i>SAMHD1</i>	36 (14.0%)	25 (3.4%)	12 (14.0%)	0 (0%)	73 (6.5%)
<i>TREX1</i>	27 (10.5%)	27 (3.7%)	6 (7.0%)	0 (0%)	60 (5.4%)
CANDLE	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
SAVI	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Non-AGS cohort	89 (34.5%)	574 (77.7%)	21 (24.4%)	36 (100%)	720 (64.3%)

Supplemental Table 3. Comparison of cohorts by subject

	Train (N=119)	Test (N=611)	Internal validation (N=59)	External validation (N=24)	Overall (N=813)
Sex					
Female	52 (43.7%)	272 (44.5%)	24 (40.7%)	11 (45.8%)	359 (44.2%)
Male	66 (55.5%)	334 (54.7%)	35 (59.3%)	13 (54.2%)	448 (55.1%)
Missing	1 (0.8%)	5 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (0.7%)
Interferonopathy					
No	62 (52.1%)	554 (90.7%)	18 (30.5%)	0 (0%)	634 (78.0%)
Yes	57 (47.9%)	57 (9.3%)	41 (69.5%)	24 (100%)	179 (22.0%)
Genotype					
<i>ADAR1</i>	11 (9.2%)	11 (1.8%)	10 (16.9%)	0 (0%)	32 (3.9%)
<i>IFIH1</i>	6 (5.0%)	7 (1.1%)	2 (3.4%)	0 (0%)	15 (1.8%)
<i>PNPT1</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3.4%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)
<i>RNASEH2A</i>	3 (2.5%)	4 (0.7%)	2 (3.4%)	0 (0%)	9 (1.1%)
<i>RNASEH2B</i>	15 (12.6%)	17 (2.8%)	12 (20.3%)	0 (0%)	44 (5.4%)
<i>RNASEH2C</i>	2 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.4%)
<i>RNU7-1</i>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3.4%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)
<i>SAMHD1</i>	13 (10.9%)	8 (1.3%)	6 (10.2%)	0 (0%)	27 (3.3%)
<i>TREX1</i>	7 (5.9%)	10 (1.6%)	4 (6.8%)	0 (0%)	21 (2.6%)
CANDLE	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
SAVI	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Non-AGS cohort	62 (52.1%)	554 (90.7%)	18 (30.5%)	24 (100%)	658 (80.9%)

Supplemental Table 4: Comparison of different approaches to AGS 6 genes by AUC (with 95% CI) and p-value (for comparison with median AGS 6 genes) in Training Data Set (N = 258) and Test Data Set (N = 739). (See Consort Diagram in Figure 1b.)

Approach	Training Data Set (N = 258)		Test Data Set (N = 739)	
	AUC (95% CI)	p-value for comparison with median AGS 6	AUC (95% CI)	p-value for comparison with median AGS 6
Median of AGS 6 genes	.860 (95% CI = .783 to .928)	NA (Reference approach)	.896 (95% CI = .868 to .921)	NA (Reference approach)
Median of NIH 6 genes	.863 (95% CI = .797 to .927)	0.716	.906 (95% CI = .877 to .931)	<0.0005
4-gene median	.887 (95% CI = .816 to .945)	0.023	.925 (95% CI = .894 to .950)	<0.0005
<i>IFI44L</i>	.876 (95% CI = .801 to .943)	0.151	.958 (95% CI = .938 to .975)	<0.0005

Supplemental Table 5: Sensitivity, Specificity, and Number of samples needed to misdiagnose (NNM) for a test based on the optimal cut-point (obtained using Youden Index) in the Test Data Set that contains the AGS Cohort (N = 165) and Control Cohort 1 (N = 77). (See Consort Diagram in Figure 1b.)

Variable	Optimal cut-point for Classification of non-AGS (< cut-off) [Youden Index]	Specificity = [#<cut-off]/[#non-AGS samples] (% and 95% CI)	Sensitivity = [#>=cut-off]/[#AGS Samples] (% and 95% CI)*	Number needed to misdiagnose (NNM) for prevalence (prev) = 10% (NNM for prev = 5%, NNM for prev = 15%)**
Median of NIH 6 genes	7.05	67/77 (87.01%; 95% CI = 77.64 to 96.38)	141/165 (85.45%; 95% CI = 75.76 to 95.15)	6.9 (7.3, 6.5)
Median of AGS 6 genes	5.69	67/77 (87.01%; 95% CI = 77.64 to 96.38)	145/165 (87.88%; 95% CI = 79.02 to 96.74)	7.1 (7.4, 6.8)
4-gene median	4.82	68/77 (88.31%; 95% CI = 79.18 to 97.44)	141/165 (85.45%; 95% CI = 76.23 to 94.67)	7.4 (8.0, 7.0)
IFI44L	6.82	67/77 (87.01%; 95% CI = 77.64 to 96.38)	151/165 (91.52%; 95% CI = 85.51 to 97.52)	7.5 (7.6, 7.4)

*The values in this column are equal in Supplemental Tables 5 and 6.

**Number Samples Needed to Misdiagnose (NNM), assuming prevalence (*prev*) of AGS = 10% (5%, 15%); harm of false negative (*C*) is twice that of false positive results; and sensitivity (*se*), specificity (*sp*) are as estimated for a test that classifies a sample as AGS if the classifier is >= each of the cut-points in Table 3A. The weighted

$$\text{number to misdiagnose (1) (NNM)} = \frac{1}{C \times \text{prev}(1-se) + (1-prev) \times (1-sp)}$$

Supplemental Table 6: Sensitivity, Specificity, and Number of samples needed to misdiagnose (NNM) for a test based on the optimal cut-point (obtained using Youden Index) in the Test Data Set that contains the AGS Cohort (N = 165) and Control Cohorts 1 and 2 combined (N = 574). (See Consort Diagram in Figure 1b.)

Variable:	Cut off Value for Classification of non-AGS (< cut-off) [Youden Index]	Specificity = [#<cut-off]/[#non-AGS samples] (% and 95% CI)	Sensitivity = [#>=cut-off]/[#AGS Samples] (% and 95% CI)*	Number needed to misdiagnose (NNM) for prevalence (prev) = 10% (NNM for prev = 5%, NNM for prev = 15%)
Median of NIH 6 genes	7.05	479/574 (83.45%; 95% CI = 80.32 to 86.58)	141/165 (85.45%; 95% CI = 75.76 to 95.15)	5.6 (5.8, 5.4)
Median of AGS 6 genes (2-4)	5.69	466/574 (81.18%; 95% CI = 77.90 to 84.47)	145/165 (87.88%; 95% CI = 79.02 to 96.74)	5.2(5.2,5.1)
4-gene median	4.82	484/574 (84.32%; 95% CI = 81.25 to 87.39)	141/165 (85.45%; 95% CI = 76.23 to 94.67)	5.9 (6.1,5.7)
<i>IFI44L</i>	6.82	508/574 (88.5%; 95% CI = 85.78 to 91.22)	151/165 (91.52%; 95% CI = 85.51 to 97.52)	8.5 (8.3,8.1)

*The values in this column are equal in Supplemental Tables 5 and 6.

**Number Samples Needed to Misdiagnose (NNM), assuming prevalence (*prev*) of AGS = 10%, (5%, 15%); harm of false negative (*C*) is twice that of false positive results; and sensitivity (*se*), specificity (*sp*) are as estimated for a test that classifies a sample as AGS if the classifier is \geq each of the cut-points in Table 4A. The

$$\text{weighted number to misdiagnose (NNM)} = \frac{1}{C \times \text{prev}(1-se) + (1-\text{prev}) \times (1-sp)}$$

Supplemental Table 7: False Positive, False Negative, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), Negative Predictive Value (NPV) for a test based on the optimal cut-point (obtained using Youden Index) in the Test Data Set that contains the AGS Cohort (N = 165) and Control Cohort 1 (N = 77). (See Consort Diagram in Figure 1b.)

Variable	Cut off Value for Classification of non-AGS (< cut-off) [Youden Index]	False Positive = [#>=cut-off]/[#non-AGS samples] (%) and 95% CI)	False Negative = [#<cut-off]/[#AGS Samples] (%) and 95% CI)*	Positive Predictive Value (PPV) for prevalence (prev) = 10% (PPV for prev = 5%, PPV for prev = 15%)**	Negative Predictive Value (NPV) for prevalence (prev) = 10% (NPV for prev = 5%, NPV for prev = 15%)**
Median of NIH 6 genes	7.05	10/77 (12.99%; 95% CI = 3.62 to 22.36)	24/165 (14.55%; 95% CI = 4.85 to 24.24)	42.23% (25.72,53.73)	98.18% (99.13,97.13)
Median of AGS 6 genes (2-4)	5.69	10/77 (12.99%; 95% CI = 3.62 to 22.36)	20/165 (12.12%; 95% CI = 3.26 to 20.98)	42.92% (26.26,54.42)	98.48% (99.27,97.60)
4-gene median	4.82	9/77 (11.69%; 95% CI = 2.56 to 20.82)	24/165 (14.55%; 95% CI = 9.55 to 20.87)	44.82% (27.79,56.34)	98.20% (99.14,97.18)
<i>IFI44L</i>	6.82	10/77 (12.99%; 95% CI = 3.62 to 22.36)	14/165 (8.48%; 95% CI = 2.48 to 14.49)	43.91% (27.05,55.43)	98.93% (99.49,98.31)

*The values in this column are equal in Supplemental Tables 7 and 8.

**Positive Predictive Value, assuming prevalence (*prev*) of AGS = 10% (5%,15%); sensitivity (*se*), and specificity (*sp*) are as estimated for a test that classifies a sample as AGS if the classifier is >= each of the cut-points in Table 3B. The positive predictive value = $\frac{sens \times prev}{sens \times prev + (1 - spec) \times (1 - prev)}$.

**Negative Predictive Value, assuming prevalence (*prev*) of AGS = 10% (5%,15%); sensitivity (*se*), and specificity (*sp*) are as estimated for a test that classifies a sample as AGS if the classifier is >= each of the cut-points in Table 3B. The negative predictive value = $\frac{spec \times (1 - prev)}{spec \times (1 - prev) + (1 - sens) \times prev}$.

Supplemental Table 8: False Positive, False Negative, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), Negative Predictive Value (NPV) for a test based on the optimal cut-point (obtained using Youden Index) in the Test Data Set that contains the AGS Cohort (N = 165) and Control Cohorts 1 and 2 combined (N = 574). (See Consort Diagram in Figure 1b.)

Variable: [All variables are predicted probabilities except for median of NIH 6 genes]	Cut off Value for Classification of non-AGS (< cut-off) [Youden Index]	False Positive = [#>=cut-off]/[#non-AGS samples] (%) and 95% CI)	False Negative = [#<cut-off]/[#AGS Samples] (%) and 95% CI)*	Positive Predictive Value (PPV) for prevalence (prev) = 10% (PPV for prev = 5%, PPV for prev = 15%)**	Negative Predictive Value (NPV) for prevalence (prev) = 10% (NPV for prev = 5%, NPV for prev = 15%)**
Median of NIH 6 genes	7.05	95/574 (16.55%; 95% CI = 13.42 to 19.68)	24/165 (14.55%; 95% CI = 4.85 to 24.24)	PPV = 36.46% (21.37, 47.68)	NPV = 98.10% (99.09, 97.02)
Median of AGS 6 genes (2-4)	5.69	108/574 (18.82%; 95% CI = 15.53 to 22.1)	20/165 (12.12%; 95% CI = 3.26 to 20.98)	PPV = 34.17% (19.73, 45.18)	NPV = 98.37% (99.22, 97.43)
4-gene median	4.82	90/574 (15.68%; 95% CI = 12.61 to 18.75)	24/165 (14.55%; 95% CI = 9.55 to 20.87)	PPV = 37.72% (22.29, 49.03)	NPV = 98.12% (99.10, 97.05)
<i>IFI44L</i>	6.82	66/574 (11.5%; 95% CI = 8.8 to 14.22)	14/165 (8.48%; 95% CI = 2.48 to 14.49)	PPV = 46.93% (29.52, 58.41)	NPV = 98.95% (99.50, 98.34)

*The values in this column are equal in Supplemental Tables 7 and 8.

**Positive Predictive Value, assuming prevalence (*prev*) of AGS = 10% (5%,15%); sensitivity (*se*), and specificity (*sp*) are as estimated for a test that classifies a sample as AGS if the classifier is \geq each of the cut-points in Table 4B. The positive predictive value = $\frac{sens \times prev}{sens \times prev + (1 - spec) \times (1 - prev)}$.

**Negative Predictive Value, assuming prevalence (*prev*) of AGS = 10% (5%,15%); sensitivity (*se*), and specificity (*sp*) are as estimated for a test that classifies a sample as AGS if the classifier is \geq each of the cut-points in Table 4B. The negative predictive value = $\frac{spec \times (1 - prev)}{spec \times (1 - prev) + (1 - sens) \times prev}$.

Supplemental Table 9. Comparison of score performance in external validation dataset containing the external individuals affected by AGS, CANDLE and SAVI.

Cohort	NIH-6 positives	AGS-6 positives	4-gene median positives	<i>IFI44L</i> positives
CANDLE	100% (n=18)	100% (n=18)	100% (n=18)	100% (n=18)
External AGS cohort	100% (n=6)	100% (n=6)	100% (n=6)	100% (n=6)
SAVI	100% (n=12)	100% (n=12)	100% (n=12)	100% (n=12)

Supplemental Table 10. Nucleotide sequences for probe A

Gene Name	Accession	Position	Tag	Name	Sequence
BCL2L1	NM_001191.2	261-360	T00 1	NM_001191.2:260_T0 01	TGAGTCTCGTCTCTGGTTAGTGATTCTTCTTAAGATCCAAAGCCAAGATCCTCAAGACCTAAGC GACAGCGTGACCTTGTTCAC
CD274	NM_014143.3	1246-1345	T00 2	NM_014143.3:1245_T 002	GAGCAATGGATGATTGCTGGAGGCTCCTTGTTGAGAAGTATCCCATCCTCTTTCTTTCTGGTG TTGAGAAGATGCTC
CXCL10	NM_001565.1	41-140	T00 3	NM_001565.1:40_T00 3	AATCAGAATCGCAGTTTGATTGATGCTGAGACTGGAGGTTCTCTGCCACAATTCTGCGGGT TAGCAGGAAGTTAGGGAAC
DDX60	NM_017631.5	661-760	T00 4	NM_017631.5:660_T0 04	GGTAACTCTTCCAAGAACTTCCCCTCTTTTGATAAGCATCTCGAACTGTTGAGATTATTGA GCTTCATCATGACCAGAAG
GBP1	NM_002053.1	2111-2210	T00 5	NM_002053.1:2110_T 005	TTTACCTAAGACTCTGCTCAGTATCCATTTGCTACTGCTGGTCATCTGGCAAAGACGCCTATCTT CCAGTTTGATCGGGAAACT
HERC5	NM_016323.2	3092-3191	T00 6	NM_016323.2:3091_T 006	ACTGAAACATGTCAGTGCTTATAGGGTCTTTTCACTTCCAACCTTTCAGCGAACCTAACTCCTCG CTACATTCCTATTGTTTTTC
HERC6	NM_001165136.1	2076-2175	T00 7	NM_001165136.1:207 5_T007	CTTTAACCAGGCGACTTCGTCTGACTCTAAGTATAAATCTGGGTGATGGACCAATTTGGTTTTACT CCCCCTCGATTATGCGGAGT
IDO1	NM_002164.3	51-150	T00 8	NM_002164.3:50_T00 8	CCTCTGGTGCCCTCAGTGCTGAAGAGTTTTTCAGAGCATCTTATAATAGCTTTTCGGTTATATCT ATCATTACTTGACACCCT
IFI27	NM_005532.3	391-490	T00 9	NM_005532.3:390_T0 09	GAGCCCAGGATGAACCTGGTCAATCCGAGAGTCCAGTTGCCAACAGCCACTTTTTTCCAAATT TTGCAAGAGCC
IFI44	NM_006417.4	751-850	T01 0	NM_006417.4:750_T0 10	CAGTTGATTAGTGCCCAAAAGCCTGATGCGTTACATGCCCTTGGAAACACCGTGTGGACGG CAACTCAGAGATAACGCATAT
IFI44L	NM_006820.2	941-1040	T01 1	NM_006820.2:940_T0 11	CTTCTGCCCATCTAGCCCCATAGTGTACACACAACATAAATGGCAGAGATCCTGGAGTTTATGTA TTGCCAACGAGTTTGTCTTT
IFI6	NM_002038.3	181-280	T01 2	NM_002038.3:180_T0 12	GAGCCGCTGTCCGAGCTCTCCGAGCACTTTTTCTTACCTGCAGATAAGGTTGTTATTGTGGAGGA TGTTACTACA
IFIT1	NM_001548.3	1441-1540	T01 3	NM_001548.3:1440_T 013	TGTAGACGAACCCAAGGAGGCTCAAGCTTCCAGATCTAATGCCTTCTCCTTCTCTGTGTT CCAGCTACAACTTAGAAAC
IFIT2	NM_001547.4	1996-2095	T01 4	NM_001547.4:1995_T 014	ATGAACTTAGCACATTACTGGCTATGCAGGACTAACCTCTATGGGATGCACATAAAATTGGTTTTG CCTTTCAGCAATCAACTT
IFIT3	NM_001031683.2	1471-1570	T01 5	NM_001031683.2:147 0_T015	GCTGACAGGAAAATACTGCCTATGCCTGAAGGGGCATCCCTTAGCAGCTGGTCAAGACTTGCAT GAGGACCCGCAAATTCCT
IFIT5	NM_012420.2	3588-3687	T01 6	NM_012420.2:3587_T 016	TTGTTTAAAGTGCAGAAATCTCAGGCCCTTCTGTGTATGAACACAGCCCTTTCGTTGGGACGC TTGAGCGCAAGTAGAAAAC
IFNA2	NM_000605.3	12-111	T01 7	NM_000605.3:11_T01 7	GTTGCAGATGCTGCTAGACTGGTTGAAATGGGTGAGCCTAAACCTTAGGCCAGCAGACCTGCA ATATCAAAGTTATAAGCGCGT
ISG15	NM_005101.3	306-405	T01 8	NM_005101.3:305_T0 18	CTCAGAGGTTGCTGCAATTTGCCACCACCAGCAGACCCTGCCAATGCACCTGCATCTTGTCCATT TTTTGCG
LAMP3	NM_014398.3	879-978	T01 9	NM_014398.3:878_T0 19	CTGCTCCGTTTGAACCTGATAAATCCAGTCTTGACTGACGATGGCTGCAAACTGGAGAGAGA AGTGAAGACGATTTAACCCA
LY6E	NM_002346.2	381-480	T02 0	NM_002346.2:380_T0 20	TGATGCCCATGGAAGCCACACCAACATTGACGCCCTCTGGGATGGGCGATTGCTGCATTCCGCT CAACGCTTGAGGAAGTA
MX1	NM_002462.2	1486-1585	T02 1	NM_002462.2:1485_T 021	CCTACAGTTTCTCTCCTTGCATGAGAGCAGTATGCTCTGATTAAGGCCCTGAGGCTGTTAAAG CTGTAGCAACTTCCACGA
OAS1	NM_016816.6	6-105	T02 2	NM_016816.2:5_T022 2	ATCTCTTGCTGACTGAGCTTGACTGCTGTTGGTTTCGTTTCTCAGACTAGGACGCAATCA CTTGAAGAAGTGAAGCGAG
OAS2	NM_016817.2	481-580	T02 3	NM_016817.2:480_T0 23	CTGGATGGTGAACCCATCAAGGGACTTCTGGATCTCGAAATTGTTTTACCACGCGATGACGTT CGTCAAGAGTCGCATAATCT
OAS3	NM_006187.2	4981-5080	T02 4	NM_006187.2:4980_T 024	AGGTAAGTTTTAACAGTGGTTTGTGGAGAGTCAGGCTGTCTAAGGCACTCCATTGGAATGATGT GTAAGGGAATAAGACGACG
OASL	NM_198213.1	261-360	T02 5	NM_198213.1:260_T0 25	TGTGATGCTTGGCTGCCTCCTGGAAGCTGTGGAAACAGCTCAGAAACGACACAAGAATCCCTGCT AGCTGAAGGAGGGTCAAAC
PLSCR1	NM_021105.2	1301-1400	T02 6	NM_021105.2:1300_T 026	ATCCACTACCACACTCCTGATTTTTGTTCTGGCTGCCAGCTTACGATAGATTGCTATCAGGTTAC GATGACTGC
RSAD2	NM_080657.4	1038-1137	T02 7	NM_080657.4:1037_T 027	CCGTCCCTTTCTACAGTTCAGAAAGCGCATATATTCATCCAGAATAAGGCTTACAGATCGTGTGC TCATGACTTCCACAGACGT
RTP4	NM_022147.2	476-575	T02 8	NM_022147.2:475_T0 28	CACAATTGGCTGTGTGATGGATCCTTCCAGGGACACTTCCAGGATTACTTGGAGGAGTTGAT AGTGGTAAAACAACATTAGC
SAMD9	NM_017654.2	6526-6625	T02 9	NM_017654.2:6525_T 029	ACTCAGGACTAATCTGTTTTCGGTTCTACTTCCCTTCCACCACCCACACCTACGTATATATCCA AGTGGTTATGTCGACGCGC

SOCS1	NM_003745. 1	1026- 1125	T03 0	NM_003745.1:1025_T 030	ATGAAGAGGTAGGAGGTGCGAGTTCAGGTCCTGGCCAGCAAGAAGGAGTATGGAACCTTATAGCA AGAGAG
USP18	NM_017414. 3	1459- 1558	T03 1	NM_017414.3:1458_T 031	GGAAATGGAAAATGACAGCGTGTCAATCTCTGAAGGTTTTGGGCATTCCACCCTCCAAACGC ATTCTTATTGGCAAATGGAA
ALAS1	NM_000688. 4	396-495	T03 2	NM_000688.4:395_T0 32	CATCTTGGGGCAGTTTTGGGCATAGAACAACAGAGATTTGCCTGCTTTCTCCCGAAGCAATACTG TCGTCACTCTGTATGTCCGT
HPRT1	NM_000194. 1	241-340	T03 3	NM_000194.1:240_T0 33	TGAGCACACAGAGGGCTACAATGTGATGGCCTCCCATCTCCTTCATCACACCGGGAATCGGCAT TTCGCATTCTTAGGATCTAAA
TBP	NM_0011720 85.1	588-687	T03 4	NM_001172085.1:587 _T034	GCACGAAGTGCAATGGTCTTTAGGTCAAGTTTACAACCAAGATTCACTGTCCGATCTTCATAACG GACAACTGAACGGGCCATT
TUBB	NM_178014. 2	1956- 2055	T03 5	NM_178014.2:1955_T 035	ACTGCTGACACCTCCCTTGAAGCTGAGATGGGAAATGGACATACTTAGAACGCTATGCAGACGA GCTGGCAGAGGAGAGAAATCA
SIGLEC 1	NM_023068. 3	5166- 5265	T03 6	NM_023068.3:5165_T 036	CAAACTGCCTCATTACATTCATAGGCTGGAGTCATCACAGATTCTGCATTGCAACCATGTGA AGTAATGTGAGCGTACTT

Supplemental Table 11. Nucleotide sequences for probe B

Gene Name	Accession	Position	Tag	Name	Sequence
BCL2L1	NM_001191.2	261-360	T001	NM_001191.2:260_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCAATAGGGATGGGCTCAACCAGTCCATTGTC CAAAACACCTGCTCACTCAC
CD274	NM_014143.3	1246-1345	T002	NM_014143.3:1245_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGAACTGACCCTCAAATTAGGGATTCTCAAC CCGTCTTCCTAGGAT
CXCL10	NM_001565.1	41-140	T003	NM_001565.1:40_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGAGAGAGGTACTCCTTGAATGCCACTTAGA GTCAGAAAAGATAAGGCAGCA
DDX60	NM_017631.5	661-760	T004	NM_017631.5:660_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCTGTGTTGTAGATCGTTCCAGGCCCTTCGTC TGCAACTATCAGGAAATATG
GBP1	NM_002053.1	2111-2210	T005	NM_002053.1:2110_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTGGGACATTGTAGACTTGGCCAGACCAATG CCCAAATATTTCCCAAGACT
HERC5	NM_016323.2	3092-3191	T006	NM_016323.2:3091_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCAGCGCTTCTTCAACTGTTTCCATTGTAGAT ATTTAGGGAGGAAGAGGAC
HERC6	NM_00116513 6.1	2076-2175	T007	NM_001165136.1:2075_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTACTTTGCAGAAGTCAGTAGCTTCAGCTTG ACTTAATTGACGCAGAGCAT
IDO1	NM_002164.3	51-150	T008	NM_002164.3:50_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCACTGATTGTCCAGGAGTTTTCCATAGCGTG TGCCATTCTGTAGTCTGCT
IFI27	NM_005532.3	391-490	T009	NM_005532.3:390_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGGAGCTAGTAGAACCTCGCAATGACAGCC GCAATGGCAGACCCAATG
IFI44	NM_006417.4	751-850	T010	NM_006417.4:750_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGCCATCTTTCCCGTCTCTAATAGAGTATGT CCTATACTTCTCAGATATCC
IFI44L	NM_006820.2	941-1040	T011	NM_006820.2:940_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCATAACAACCTTTAAGATGTGGGAATGTC ATCCATGCACAGTCTGCTC
IFI6	NM_002038.3	181-280	T012	NM_002038.3:180_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCGACGGCCATGAAGGTGAGGGCCTCCAG AACCCG
IFIT1	NM_001548.3	1441-1540	T013	NM_001548.3:1440_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCAGGGCCCGCTCATAGTACTCCAGGGCTT CATTCAATTTTCTTCCAATT
IFIT2	NM_001547.4	1996-2095	T014	NM_001547.4:1995_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGCGATGGGGGATACTGAGCCCTATTTAGA CTTTGGTCCGCCAGCTTTTGG
IFIT3	NM_00103168 3.2	1471-1570	T015	NM_001031683.2:1470_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCTGGCCATTTCTCACTACCATCCTCAAG CTCAGAT
IFIT5	NM_012420.2	3588-3687	T016	NM_012420.2:3587_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCTACTCAAATGTAATTAGAACAGCCACAG CATCCTCACCTAGGAGGAGC
IFNA2	NM_000605.3	12-111	T017	NM_000605.3:11_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTGAGCACCAGGAGGGCCACCAGTAAAGCA AAGGTCAAGGCCATTGTAGAT
ISG15	NM_005101.3	306-405	T018	NM_005101.3:305_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTGCTGCTGCGGCCCTTGTATTCTCACCA GGATG
LAMP3	NM_014398.3	879-978	T019	NM_014398.3:878_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCTTGCTTGAACAATCAGCTGTATCCCAT CTCTGCTTTTATACAGAGT
LY6E	NM_002346.2	381-480	T020	NM_002346.2:380_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCCATCGGCCGCACTGAAATTCACAGAAA GCTCTGGCAGCAGC
MX1	NM_002462.2	1486-1585	T021	NM_002462.2:1485_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCATTGTGGAACCTCGTGTGCGAGTCTGGTAA ACAGCCGAATGTCTTCTCC
OAS1	NM_016816.2	6-105	T022	NM_016816.2:5_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGACAGGAGAGAGAGTGGCAACAGAAGTGC CTCCAGACCTGTTTGTCTTT
OAS2	NM_016817.2	481-580	T023	NM_016817.2:480_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTTGAAGGCGGCCAGCCTCGAAAGAGAT TCTCTGATTTTTGTGAACAC
OAS3	NM_006187.2	4981-5080	T024	NM_006187.2:4980_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCAATAATGAGGGAAGGCTCTTCCATCCCA TTCAATCTAGCATTCTAGC
OASL	NM_198213.1	261-360	T025	NM_198213.1:260_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCAGGTCCTGGCTTTGCCACATGTTTTCCA TATCAGCCTCAGAATCTT
PLSCR1	NM_021105.2	1301-1400	T026	NM_021105.2:1300_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTAGTCTCAATCAATATACAGACTTCAGATTT CCTGAGGAGACTTTCACTA
RSAD2	NM_080657.4	1038-1137	T027	NM_080657.4:1037_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTTTATAGCTTCTTCTACACCAACATCCAGGA TGGACTTGAAGGGTCTCT
RTP4	NM_022147.2	476-575	T028	NM_022147.2:475_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCATGCAGCTTTTTAAGCCCTGTCCACAGAT GCCCAAAGTGATGCCT

SAMD9	NM_017654.2	6526-6625	T029	NM_017654.2:6525_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCAGGGAGAAAAGTGTGCTGAATCCATGTATCCAGCTTGCAGCCATTGTTA
SOCS1	NM_003745.1	1026-1125	T030	NM_003745.1:1025_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCGACCCTCCCCAACCCCTGGTTTGTGCAAA GATACTGGGTATATGTA AAC
USP18	NM_017414.3	1459-1558	T031	NM_017414.3:1458_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTGCTTCTCCTCATTGCAAAATCTCTTAGAAG ACTCCGTAGATCCAGGAAC
ALAS1	NM_000688.4	396-495	T032	NM_000688.4:395_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCAATGCCCGAGGGGCTGGCTTGGCCCCAAC TTCCAT
HPRT1	NM_000194.1	241-340	T033	NM_000194.1:240_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCAGTGCTTTGATGTAATCCAGCAGGTCAGC AAAGAATTTATAGCCCCCT
TBP	NM_00117208 5.1	588-687	T034	NM_00117208.1:587_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCTCCTCATGATTACCGCAGCAAACCGCTTGG GATTATATTCGGCGTTTCGG
TUBB	NM_178014.2	1956-2055	T035	NM_178014.2:1955_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCACAGACTCCTCCAGAGTAGAGCTTGGAGG GAGATTGAAAGTGGAGATAAT
SIGLEC1	NM_023068.3	5166-5265	T036	NM_023068.3:5165_ProbeB	CGAAAGCCATGACCTCCGATCACTCCATAAAAAAGTCAGATGTCACAGAGCTGTTT TCGTAGAGGCGGGCAGGACT

Supplemental Table 12. Synthetic DNA oligonucleotides used as a standard

Gene Name	Sequence
BCL2L1	ATC TTG GCT TTG GAT CTT AGA AGA GAA TCA CTA ACC AGA GAC GAG ACT CAG TGA GTG AGC AGG TGT TTT GGA CAA TGG ACT GGT TGA GCC CAT CCC TAT T
CD274	GGA TAC TTC TGA ACA AGG AGC CTC CAA GCA AAT CAT CCA TTG CTC ATC CTA GGA AGA CGG GTT GAG AAT CCC TAA TTT GAG GGT CAG TTC CTG CAG AAG T
CXCL10	GCA GAG GAA CCT CCA GTC TCA GCA CCA TGA ATC AAA CTG CGA TTC TGA TTT GCT GCC TTA TCT TTC TGA CTC TAA GTG GCA TTC AAG GAG TAC CTC TCT C
DDX60	TTC GAG ATG CTT ATC AAA AGA GTG GGG AAG TTT CTT GGA AGA GAG TTA CCC ATA TTT CCT GAT AGT TGC AGA CGA AGG CCT GAA CGA TCT ACA AAC ACA G
GBP1	CCA GAT GAC CAG CAG TAG ACA AAT GGA TAC TGA GCA GAG TCT TAG GTA AAA GTC TTG GGA AAT ATT TGG GCA TTG GTC TGG CCA AGT CTA CAA TGT CCC A
HERC5	CTG AAA GTT GGA ATG AAA GAG ACC CTA TAA GAG CAC TGA CAT GTT TCA GTG TCC TCT TCC TCC CTA AAT ATT CTA CAA TGG AAA CAG TTG AAG AAG CGC T
HERC6	TCC ATC ACC CAG ATT TAT ACT TAG AGT CAG ACG AAG TCG CCT GGT TAA AGA TGC TCT GCG TCA ATT AAG TCA AGC TGA AGC TAC TGA CTT CTG CAA AGT A
IDO1	CTA TTA TAA GAT GCT CTG AAA ACT CTT CAG ACA CTG AGG GGC ACC AGA GGA GCA GAC TAC AAG AAT GGC ACA CGC TAT GGA AAA CTC CTG GAC AAT CAG T
IFI27	TCA CTG GGA GCA ACT GGA CTC TCC GGA TTG ACC AAG TTC ATC CTG GGC TCC ATT GGG TCT GCC ATT GCG GCT GTC ATT GCG AGG TTC TAC TAG CTC CCT G
IFI44	TTT CCA AGG GCA TGT AAC GCA TCA GGC TTT GGT GGG CAC TAA TAC AAC TGG GAT ATC TGA GAA GTA TAG GAC ATA CTC TAT TAG AGA CGG GAA AGA TGG C
IFI44L	ATC TCT GCC ATT TAT GTT GTG TGA CAC TAT GGG GCT AGA TGG GGC AGA AGG AGC AGG ACT GTG CAT GGA TGA CAT TCC CCA CAT CTT AAA AGG TTG TAT G
IFI6	GGG GTG GAG GCA GGT AAG AAA AAG TGC TCG GAG AGC TCG GAC AGC GGC TCC GGG TTC TGG AAG GCC CTG ACC TTC ATG GCC GTC GGA GGA GGA CTC GCA G
IFIT1	GAG AAA GGC ATT AGA TCT GGA AAG CTT GAG CCT CCT TGG GTT CGT CTA CAA ATT GGA AGG AAA TAT GAA TGA AGC CCT GGA GTA CTA TGA GCG GGC CCT G
IFIT2	TGC ATC CCA TAG AGG TTA GTC CTG CAT AGC CAG TAA TGT GCT AAG TTC ATC CAA AAG CTG GCG GAC CAA AGT CTA AAT AGG GCT CAG TAT CCC CCA TCG C
IFIT3	CGC CTG CTA AGG GAT GCC CCT TCA GGC ATA GGC AGT ATT TTC CTG TCA GCA TCT GAG CTT GAG GAT GGT AGT GAG GAA ATG GGC CAG GGC GCA GTC AGC T
IFIT5	GGG CTG TGT TCA TAC ACA GAA GGG GCC TGA GAT TTC TGC ACT TTA AAC AAG CTC CTC CTA GGT GAG GAT GCT GTG GCT GTT CTA ATT ACA TTT TGA GTA G
IFNA2	GCC TAA GGT TTA GGC TCA CCC ATT TCA ACC AGT CTA GCA GCA TCT GCA ACA TCT ACA ATG GCC TTG ACC TTT GCT TTA CTG GTG GCC CTC CTG GTG CTC A
ISG15	CCC GGC AGC ACG GTC CTG CTG GTG GTG GAC AAA TGC GAC GAA CCT CTG AGC ATC CTG GTG AGG AAT AAC AAG GGC CGC AGC AGC ACC TAC GAG GTA CGG C
LAMP3	CAG CCA TCG TCA GTC AAG ACT GGA ATT TAT CAG GTT CTA AAC GGA AGC AGA CTC TGT ATA AAA GCA GAG ATG GGG ATA CAG CTG ATT GTT CAA GAC AAG G
LY6E	CTG CCC CAT CCC AGA AGG CGT CAA TGT TGG TGT GGC TTC CAT GGG CAT CAG CTG CTG CCA GAG CTT TCT GTG CAA TTT CAG TGC GGC CGA TGG CGG GCT G
MX1	GCC TTT AAT CAG GAC ATC ACT GCT CTC ATG CAA GGA GAG GAA ACT GTA GGG GAG GAA GAC ATT CGG CTG TTT ACC AGA CTC CGA CAC GAG TTC CAC AAA T
OAS1	TCT GAG GAA ACG AAA CCA ACA GCA GTC CAA GCT CAG TCA GCA GAA GAG ATA AAA GCA AAC AGG TCT GGG AGG CAG TTC TGT TGC CAC TCT CTC TCC TGT C
OAS2	TGA AAA ACA ATT TCG AGA TCC AGA AGT CCC TTG ATG GGT TCA CCA TCC AGG TGT TCA CAA AAA ATC AGA GAA TCT CTT TGG AGG TGC TGG CCG CCT TCA A
OAS3	GAG TGC CTT AGA CAG CCT GAC TCT CCA CAA ACC ACT GTT AAA ACT TAC CTG CTA GGA ATG CTA GAT TGA ATG GGA TGG GAA GAG CCT TCC CTC ATT ATT G
OASL	GGC GTT TCT GAG CTG TTT CCA CAG CTT CCA GGA GGC AGC CAA GCA TCA CAA AGA TGT TCT GAG GCT GAT ATG GAA AAC CAT GTG GCA AAG CCA GGA CCT G
PLSCR1	TTT GAA AGC ACT GGC AGC CAG GAA CAA AAA TCA GGA GTG TGG TAG TGG ATT AGT GAA AGT CTC CTC AGG AAA TCT GAA GTC TGT ATA TTG ATT GAG ACT A
RSAD2	ACC TTA TTC TGG ATG AAT ATA TGC GCT TTC TGA ACT GTA GAA AGG GAC GGA AGG ACC CTT CCA AGT CCA TCC TGG ATG TTG GTG TAG AAG AAG CTA TAA A
RTP4	AGT AAT CCT GGA AGT GTC CCT GGA AGG ATC CCA TGA CAC AGC CAA TTG TGA GGC ATG CAC TTT GGG CAT CTG TGG ACA GGG CTT AAA AAG CTG CAT GAC A
SAMD9	TGT GGG GGT GGT GAA AGG GAA GTA GAA CCG AAA CAA GAT TAG TCC TGA GTT AAC AAT GGC TGC AAG CTG GAT ACA TGG AAT TCA GCA CAC TTT TCT CCC T

SIGLEC1	GCC AGA ATC TGT GAT GAC TCC AGC CTA TGA ATG TGA ATG AGG CAG TGT TGA GTC CTG CCC GCC TCT ACG AAA ACA GCT CTG TGA CAT CTG ACT TTT TAT G
SOCS1	TTA ACT GTA TCT GGA GCC AGG ACC TGA ACT CGC ACC TCC TAC CTC TTC ATG TTT ACA TAT ACC CAG TAT CTT TGC ACA AAC CAG GGG TTG GGG GAG GGT C
USP18	GGA AAT GCC CAA AAC CTT CAG AGA TTG ACA CGC TGT CAT TTT CCA TTT CCG TTC CTG GAT CTA CGG AGT CTT CTA AGA GAT TTT GCA ATG AGG AGA AGC A
ALAS1	AGA AAG CAG GCA AAT CTC TGT TGT TCT ATG CCC AAA ACT GCC CCA AGA TGA TGG AAG TTG GGG CCA AGC CAG CCC CTC GGG CAT TGT CCA CTG CAG CAG T
HPRT1	TGT GAT GAA GGA GAT GGG AGG CCA TCA CAT TGT AGC CCT CTG TGT GCT CAA GGG GGG CTA TAA ATT CTT TGC TGA CCT GCT GGA TTA CAT CAA AGC ACT G
TBP	ACA GTG AAT CTT GGT TGT AAA CTT GAC CTA AAG ACC ATT GCA CTT CGT GCC CGA AAC GCC GAA TAT AAT CCC AAG CGG TTT GCT GCG GTA ATC ATG AGG A
TUBB	TTC TAA GTA TGT CCA TTT CCC ATC TCA GCT TCA AGG GAG GTG TCA GCA GTA TTA TCT CCA CTT TCA ATC TCC CTC CAA GCT CTA CTC TGG AGG AGT CTG T

Supplemental Table 13. Overview of Criteria used to Obtain Optimal Values on ROC Curves- Prevalence

Cut-point	Criteria*
Sensitivity = Specificity	The point on the ROC curve at which the sensitivity = specificity is the point on the ROC curve at which the <u>product</u> of sensitivity and specificity is maximized. It is also the point of intersection between the ROC curve and the line that connects (0,1) and (1,0).
Maximum Youden's Index	The point on the ROC curve at which Youden's index (sensitivity + specificity – 1) is maximized. This is the point on the ROC curve at which the <u>sum</u> of sensitivity and specificity is maximized. Since sensitivity = true-positive (TP) rate and 1 – specificity = false-positive (FP) rate, this is also the point at which the <u>difference</u> between the TP and FP rate is maximized. In addition, in the ROC plot of sensitivity versus 1-specificity, the 45-degree line represents an uninformative test. The vertical distance between any point on the ROC curve and a point on the 45-degree line is the distance between (1 – specificity, 1 – specificity) and (1-specificity, sensitivity) = (sensitivity + specificity – 1) ² . Therefore, the point on the ROC at which Youden's index is maximized is also the point that maximizes the <u>vertical distance</u> between the ROC curve and the 45-degree line. Since the points on the 45-degree line satisfy TP = FP, the 45-degree line is the ROC curve for an uninformative test. The maximum value of the Youden's index is therefore the point on the ROC curve that has the greatest vertical distance from the ROC curve for an uninformative test.
Minimum Distance from [FP=0,TP=1] (Upper-Left Corner of Unit Square)	This point on the ROC curve is the point at which the <u>distance from (0,1)</u> (the point corresponding to FP = 0 and TP = 1) is minimized.
Maximum Weighted NNM (C=2, prevalence = 5%,10%,15%)	This is the cut-point at which the Weighted Number Needed to Misdiagnose (NNM) is maximized, where the NNM represents the number of samples that need to be tested in order for one sample to be misdiagnosed. The probability of misdiagnosis = P(+ test result sample is non-AGS) x P(sample is non-AGS) + P(- test result sample is AGS) x P(sample is AGS) = (1 – specificity) x (1 – prevalence) + (1 – sensitivity) x prevalence. If the probability of misdiagnosis is 0.5, the number of samples that need to be tested in order for one sample to be misdiagnosed is 2. We consider the Weighted Number Needed to Misdiagnose (proposed by Habibzadeh) because we are more concerned about the harm due to a false negative (FN) than the harm due to

a false positive (FP). We let C = the harm due to FN divided by the harm due to FP. We assume $C = 2$ and obtain the cut-point at which the Weighted Number Needed to Misdiagnose is maximized, where the Weighted Number to Misdiagnose = $1/[C \times FN + FP] = 1/[(1 - \text{specificity}) \times (1 - \text{prevalence}) + C \times (1 - \text{sensitivity}) \times \text{prevalence}]$.

1. Habibzadeh F, Habibzadeh P, and Yadollahie M. On determining the most appropriate test cut-off value: the case of tests with continuous results. *Biochem Med (Zagreb)*. 2016;26(3):297-307.
2. Crow YJ, Chase DS, Lowenstein Schmidt J, Szykiewicz M, Forte GM, Gornall HL, et al. Characterization of human disease phenotypes associated with mutations in TREX1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, SAMHD1, ADAR, and IFIH1. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2015;167a(2):296-312.
3. Rice GI, Forte GM, Szykiewicz M, Chase DS, Aeby A, Abdel-Hamid MS, et al. Assessment of interferon-related biomarkers in Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome associated with mutations in TREX1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, SAMHD1, and ADAR: a case-control study. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2013;12(12):1159-69.
4. Rice GI, Melki I, Fremont ML, Briggs TA, Rodero MP, Kitabayashi N, et al. Assessment of Type I Interferon Signaling in Pediatric Inflammatory Disease. *J Clin Immunol*. 2017;37(2):123-32.