# **JCI** insight

## All-in-one AAV-mediated *Nrl* gene inactivation rescues retinal degeneration in *Pde6a* mice

Zhiquan Liu, ..., Qing Wang, Yang Sun

JCI Insight. 2024;9(24):e178159. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.178159.

## Research Article Ophthalmology Therapeutics

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a complex group of inherited retinal diseases characterized by progressive death of photoreceptor cells and eventual blindness. *Pde6a*, which encodes a cGMP-specific phosphodiesterase, is a crucial pathogenic gene for autosomal recessive RP (RP43); there is no effective therapy for this form of RP. The compact CRISPR/*Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9 (CRISPR/SaCas9) system, which can be packaged into a single adeno-associated virus (AAV), holds promise for simplifying effective gene therapy. Here, we demonstrated that all-in-one AAV-SaCas9– mediated *Nrl* gene inactivation can efficiently prevent retinal degeneration in a RP mouse model with*Pde6a*<sup>nmf363/nmf363</sup> mutation. We screened single-guide RNAs capable of efficiently editing the mouse *Nrl* gene in N2a cells and then achieved effective gene editing by using a single AAV to codeliver SaCas9 and an optimal *Nrl*-sg2 into the mouse retina. Excitingly, in vivo inactivation of *Nrl* improved photoreceptor cell survival and rescued retinal function in treated *Pde6a*-deficient mice. Thus, we showed that a practical, gene-independent method, AAV-SaCas9–mediated*Nrl* inactivation, holds promise for future therapeutic applications in patients with RP.



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/178159/pdf

## All-in-one AAV-mediated *Nrl* gene inactivation rescues retinal degeneration in *Pde6a* mice

### Zhiquan Liu,<sup>1</sup> Siyu Chen,<sup>1</sup> Chien-Hui Lo,<sup>1</sup> Qing Wang<sup>1</sup>, and Yang Sun<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA. <sup>2</sup>Palo Alto Veterans Administration, Palo Alto, California, USA.

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a complex group of inherited retinal diseases characterized by progressive death of photoreceptor cells and eventual blindness. *PdeGa*, which encodes a cGMP-specific phosphodiesterase, is a crucial pathogenic gene for autosomal recessive RP (RP43); there is no effective therapy for this form of RP. The compact CRISPR/*Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9 (CRISPR/SaCas9) system, which can be packaged into a single adeno-associated virus (AAV), holds promise for simplifying effective gene therapy. Here, we demonstrated that all-in-one AAV-SaCas9-mediated *Nrl* gene inactivation can efficiently prevent retinal degeneration in a RP mouse model with *PdeGa*<sup>nmf363/nmf363</sup> mutation. We screened single-guide RNAs capable of efficiently editing the mouse *Nrl* gene in N2a cells and then achieved effective gene editing by using a single AAV to codeliver SaCas9 and an optimal *Nrl*-sg2 into the mouse retina. Excitingly, in vivo inactivation of *Nrl* improved photoreceptor cell survival and rescued retinal function in treated *PdeGa*-deficient mice. Thus, we showed that a practical, gene-independent method, AAV-SaCas9-mediated *Nrl* inactivation, holds promise for future therapeutic applications in patients with RP.

## Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) comprises a group of inherited disorders in which progressive loss of photoreceptors is marked by initial rod photoreceptor loss followed by cone photoreceptor loss (1, 2). With a prevalence of 1:5,000 to 1:3,000, RP is the most common form of inherited retinal disease, imposing a substantial burden on both individuals and society. This condition ranks among the leading causes of visual impairment and blindness in individuals under 60, affecting over 1.5 million people globally (1, 3). More than 200 pathogenic genes are known to be associated with RP, which restricts the application of traditional gene augmentation therapeutic approaches (3, 4). The intricate nature of the pathogenic genes presents challenges for RP gene therapy and emphasizes the need for treatments independent of specific gene targets.

The gene encoding the phosphodiesterase 6  $\alpha$  subunit (*Pde6a*) belongs to the phosphodiesterase family and plays a crucial role in the retina by regulating the visual signal transduction pathway (5, 6). When *Pde6a* undergoes mutation, the function of phosphodiesterase may be affected, disrupting the visual signal transduction pathway and ultimately causing progressive degeneration and death of photoreceptor cells in the retina (7, 8). Mutations in *Pde6a* contribute to 3%–4% of RP cases; there is no targeted treatment for this blinding disease (1, 8). Affected patients present with night blindness and progressive constriction of their peripheral visual fields while retaining central vision. Loss of rod photoreceptors is followed by loss of cone photoreceptors, causing an irreversible decline in visual acuity that may lead to blindness (8–10).

One potential gene- and mutation-agnostic therapy for RP is knockdown of neural retina leucine zipper (*Nrl*) or nuclear receptor subfamily 2 group E member 3 (*Nr2e3*) in mature retina (11). *Nrl* and *Nr2e3* are members of the basic region-leucine zipper transcription factor family, with *Nrl* acting upstream of *Nr2e3*, that play a crucial role in the development and maintenance of the retina, particularly in the differentiation and homeostasis of rod photoreceptor cells (11, 12). During photoreceptor cells development, *Nrl* functions as a cell fate switch: photoreceptor precursors that express *Nrl* differentiate into rods, while those that do not express it differentiate into cones (11, 13). Based on this principle, an intriguing approach has been proposed — treating retinal degeneration by converting rods into cones through the inhibition of *Nrl* or *Nr2e3* in adult retina (13).

**Conflict of interest:** The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

**Copyright:** © 2024, Liu et al. This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

**Submitted:** December 4, 2023 **Accepted:** October 30, 2024 **Published:** November 5, 2024

**Reference information:** *JCI Insight*. 2024;9(24):e178159. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci. insight.178159. The CRISPR/Cas9 system can induce powerful gene manipulation and offers a versatile genome editing platform with applications in biotechnology and clinical medicine (14–17). Recently, several groups have shown that inhibiting the activity of *Nrl* or *Nr2e3* in the mature retina using adeno-associated virus– delivered (AAV-delivered) *Streptococcus pyogenes* Cas9 (SpCas9) can confer specific cone-like properties on rod cells (18–20). This approach has prevented retinal degeneration in multiple RP mouse models with mutations in *Rho* and *Pde6b* (18–20). Present application of the AAV-delivered SpCas9 system requires packaging SpCas9 and its single-guide RNA (sgRNA) separately into two distinct AAV vectors owing to the constrained cargo capacity of a single AAV vector (18, 19). However, because the use of dual AAV vectors increases the complexity of AAV packaging and delivery, it reduces the efficiency of gene editing, which diminishes the practicality of gene therapy.

In this study, we utilize the state-of-the-art, all-in-one AAV-delivered compact *Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9 (SaCas9) system to inactivate the *Nrl* gene and achieve efficient gene inactivation in the retina of *Pde6a* mutant mice. Our results indicate that inactivation the *Nrl* gene prevents retinal degeneration and preserves cone function in the *Pde6a* mouse model. Therefore, the inactivation of *Nrl* using an all-in-one AAV-delivered SaCas9 system holds the potential to advance future gene therapy applications for patients with RP.

## Results

Efficient Nrl and Nr2e3 editing in N2a cells by all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 vector. Because the large size of the classical SpCas9 (1,368 aa) system makes it unsuitable for single AAV delivery, a series of compact Cas9 systems, including SaCas9 (1,053 aa) (21), SpaCas9 (1,130 aa) (22), Cje1Cas9 (984 aa) (23), Cje3Cas9 (1,000 aa) (24), and others, have been developed. SaCas9 is the most widely recognized and preferred of these systems for gene therapy (25-28). Here, we employed the cutting-edge, all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 system, deliverable through a single AAV, to target Nrl or Nr2e3 (Figure 1A). We designed 3 SaCas9-targeted sgRNAs for each of the Nrl and Nr2e3 genes (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.178159DS1). All these sgRNAs feature the optimal NNGRRT (R = A/G) protospacer adjacent motif sequence and predicted low off-target potential (Figure 1B and Supplemental Table 2). To test the efficacy of genome editing with SaCas9, we transfected the 6 SaCas9 vectors targeting Nrl or Nr2e3 into mouse Neuro2a (N2a) cells and determined the editing efficiency through Sanger sequencing (Figure 1A). The SaCas9 system induced insertions and deletions (indels) at all 6 target sites, exhibiting varied editing efficiencies ranging from  $10.2\% \pm 0.8\%$  to  $31.1\% \pm 2.7\%$  (Figure 1C and Supplemental Figure 1). Notably, Nrl-single-guide RNA 2 (Nrl-sg2) induced the highest editing efficiency, reaching up to 36.3% (Figure 1, C and D). Taken together, these data demonstrate that an all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 system can be used to efficiently edit Nrl or Nr2e3 in N2a cells.

Efficient Nrl inactivation in the retina of Pde6a mice by an AAV-delivered SaCas9 system. The Pde6anmf363/nmf363 mouse (hereafter referred to as *Pde6a* mice), which carries a missense mutation (c.2009A>G, p.D670G) in the Pde6a gene and exhibits moderate photoreceptor degeneration, has been used to model Pde6a-related RP (8, 29). Due to the maximal editing efficiency of Nrl-sg2, we selected it for in vivo testing in Pde6a mice. The combined size of SaCas9 and Nrl-sg2 is small enough for packaging into a single AAV vector (Figure 2A). Because the AAV2.NN serotype, a derivative of AAV2 developed through in vivo selection, demonstrates improved retinal and cellular transduction properties (30, 31), we used it to deliver the allin-one AAV-SaCas9 targeting Nrl-sg2 construct to Pde6a mice by subretinal injection at P7 (Figure 2A). At P60, retinal tissue DNA was extracted from injected mice for deep sequencing to determine gene editing efficiency (Figure 2A). Nrl editing was efficient in all 4 tested mice: efficiencies ranged from 15.1% to 57.2% (Figure 2B). Deep-sequencing results also indicated that SaCas9 primarily induced small insertions or deletions of 1-3 base pairs in the Nrl-sg2 site (Figure 2C). To verify whether the Nrl gene inactivation effectively reduced NRL protein production, we conducted Western blot analysis. The results revealed that the NRL protein levels in the Nrl-sg2 group decreased by approximately 40% as compared with that in the control group (Supplemental Figure 2). We also performed quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) to measure the relative expression levels of rod-specific and cone-specific genes following Nrl inactivation. The results showed that, compared with the control group, some rod-specific genes were downregulated while cone-specific genes were upregulated in the Nrl-sg2 group (Supplemental Figure 3). In addition, compared with the nonedited control group, no apparent off-target indels at potential off-target sites were observed in the edited mice (Supplemental Figure 4). The result was consistent with that from previous reports highlighting SaCas9 as a genome editing system with high specificity (21, 32–34). Collectively, these data



**Figure 1. Gene editing of** *Nrl/Nr2e3* **in N2a cells using AAV-SaCas9 vector.** (**A**) Workflow for the screening of sgRNAs targeting *Nrl/Nr2e3* using the all-inone AAV-SaCas9 vector in N2a cells (created by BioRender.com). (**B**) Schematic representation of the mouse *Nrl/Nr2e3* locus, illustrating the position of the designed sgRNA target. The 21-nt targeted sgRNA sequence is marked in black, and the NNGRRT protospacer adjacent motif sequence is highlighted in green. All sgRNAs were positioned in the coding sequence to disrupt gene function. (**C**) Comparison of the indel efficiency of the tested sgRNAs targeting *Nrl/Nr2e3* using the all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 vector in N2a cells. (**D**) Representative Sanger sequencing chromatograms of edited N2a cells at the *Nrl*-sg2 site. The dashed line represents the expected cleavage sites of SaCas9. WT. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM and *n* = 3 biologically independent experiments.

demonstrated efficient inactivation of *Nrl* in *Pde6a* mice and validate the potential of the SaCas9 system for in vivo editing through single AAV delivery.

*Rescue of retinal photoreceptor degeneration in Pde6a mice by Nrl inactivation.* Encouraged by the deep-sequencing results, we next investigated whether *Nrl* editing mediated by the all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 system could preserve retinal photoreceptors in *Pde6a* mice. Retinas from P60 mice were frozen-sectioned and immunostained using antibodies against HA tag (indicative of SaCas9, as shown in Figure 2A), rhodopsin (a marker for rod photoreceptors), and cone arrestin (a marker for cone photoreceptors). Immunoblotting for HA tag confirmed successful delivery and expression of SaCas9 by retinal photoreceptors after subretinal injection of AAV (Figure 3A). Importantly, in comparison to the relatively weak signals of rhodopsin and cone arrestin in *Pde6a* control retinas, staining for these phototransduction-relevant proteins was more robust while continuing to be appropriately localized in retinas treated with *Nrl*-sg2 (Figure 3, B and C). The quantitative analysis of fluorescence intensity substantiated the effective preservation of retinal photoreceptor cells in the *Nrl*-edited retinas, in contrast with the degenerative loss observed in the control group (Figure 3D). The immunostaining of 2 other cone-specific markers, S-opsin and M-opsin, showed similar results (Supplemental Figure 5). We also performed immunostaining on the retinas of P30 mice. The results showed that, although the retinas of *Pde6a* mice had not yet fully degenerated at P30, the treatment



**Figure 2. All-in-one AAV-SaCas9-mediated** *Nrl* **gene inactivation in** *Pde6a* **mice.** (A) Workflow for AAV-SaCas9 production, subretinal injection, and efficiency detection by deep sequencing (created by BioRender.com). (B) Editing efficiency of the *Pde6a* mouse retina at the *Nrl*-sg2 site, as determined by deep sequencing. Control, *n* = 3; *Nrl*-sg2, *n* = 6. (C) Representative deep-sequencing results of edited mouse retina at the *Nrl*-sg2 site (read percentages >1%). Substitutions are shown in bold font. Red rectangles highlight inserted sequences. Horizontal dashed lines indicate deleted sequences. The vertical dashed line indicates the predicted SaCas9 cleavage site.

group exhibited a certain degree of slowed retinal degeneration (Supplemental Figure 6). Overall, these results strongly indicated that AAV-SaCas9–mediated *Nrl* inactivation effectively prevents retinal photoreceptor degeneration in *Pde6a* mice.

Rescue of retinal function in Pde6a mice by Nrl inactivation. To further examine the effectiveness of Nrl inactivation for gene therapy, we assessed retinal functions in both treated and control Pde6a mice. Previous reports have indicated that this Pde6a RP mouse model exhibits severe photoreceptor degeneration and impaired retinal morphology and function 1 month after birth (5, 29). As shown in Figure 4A, the Pde6a control retinas exhibited sparsely distributed photoreceptor cell nuclei in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) at P60, whereas the Nrl-sg2 treated retinas displayed a substantially thicker ONL. The quantitative assay of retinal sections in the Nrl-sg2 group revealed that ONL thickness measured  $23.1 \pm 2.6$  $\mu$ m and whole retina measured thickness 120.2 ± 11.4  $\mu$ m, representing 6.7-fold and 1.6-fold increases compared with those in the control group (Figure 4B). To assess whether the morphological preservation of retina supported visual functional preservation, we used electroretinography (ERG) and optokinetic tracking response (OKR) to measure the electrical activity of photoreceptors and visual acuity at P60. The Nrl-sg2 group exhibited marked improvement of the photopic ERG b-wave compared with the control group but no improvement of the scotopic ERG b-wave, indicating preserved cone function (Figure 4, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 7). OKR testing revealed higher visual acuity in the Nrl-sg2 group than in the control group (Figure 4E). Taken together, these data suggested that in vivo Nrl inactivation efficiently restored retinal function in Pde6a mice.

## Discussion

CRISPR-mediated gene inactivation holds great promise for the permanent effective treatment of many genetic diseases, particularly inherited retinal disorders (35, 36). The eye offers an especially advantageous target for gene therapy because it is a relatively independent and immune-privileged organ, which provides easy access for the administration, delivery, and observation of therapeutic effects (37, 38). In this study, we utilized a compact SaCas9 system to efficiently edit *Nrl* in vivo and rescue retinal structure and function in a *Pde6a* RP mouse model. The compact size allows SaCas9 and its sgRNA to be integrated into a single AAV vector for in vivo delivery, streamlining AAV packaging and enhancing the feasibility, practicality,



**Figure 3. Preservation of retinal photoreceptors in** *Pde6a* **mice by** *Nrl* **gene inactivation.** (**A**–**C**) Representative immunofluorescence images of retinal sections in *Nrl*-edited or *Pde6a* control mice at P60. HA (**A**), rhodopsin (**B**) and cone arrestin (**C**) indicate SaCas9 expression, rod photoreceptors, and cone photoreceptors, respectively. Scale bar, 50  $\mu$ m. (**D**) Quantification of the fluorescence intensities of HA, rhodopsin, and cone arrestin in *Nrl*-edited or *Pde6a* control mice at P60. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM and *n* = 3 biologically independent experiments. All *P* values were calculated by 2-sided *t* tests. \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01.

and usability of gene therapy. Notably, the *Nrl* inactivation treatment preserved retinal photoreceptors and ONL thickness and enhanced visual function compared with the control group. In addition, we administered AAV injections to P30 mice, by which time the retinas of *Pde6a* mice had mostly degenerated. The results revealed no obvious therapeutic effect of the injection at P30, suggesting that the optimal treatment window is preferably in the early stage (Supplemental Figure 8).

The present results demonstrate that AAV-SaCas9–mediated postnatal *Nrl* inactivation can effectively rescue retinal structure and function in a RP mouse model of *Pde6a*<sup>nmf363/nmf363</sup>. Together with previously reported therapeutic studies of mice with mutations in the *Pde6b* and *Rho* genes, these findings further validate the *Nrl* inactivation approach as a feasible gene- and mutation-agonistic treatment for RP (18–20). Although numerous gene supplementation or gene-editing approaches have been developed or are in development to treat RP, they often target specific genes or mutations, limiting the scope and practicality of clinical treatment (38). In contrast, the universality of the *Nrl* editing method, which is independent of specific genes or mutations, holds promise for application in a broad range of patients with RP. The current mouse studies indicate that blocking the *Nrl/Nr2e3* pathway may reprogram rods into cone-like photoreceptors, potentially preventing the degeneration of retinal rods and cones (11, 18). However, more detailed research is required to elucidate the specific mechanisms of *Nrl* editing in treating RP. Further investigation into the feasibility of *Nrl* gene inactivation therapy in humans is also necessary, considering the potential differences between humans and mice.

## **JCI** insight

#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



**Figure 4. Preservation of retinal function in** *Pde6a* **mice by** *Nrl* **gene inactivation.** (**A**) Representative images of retinal structure in *Nrl*-edited or *Pde6a* control mice at P60. OS, outer segments; ONL, outer nuclear layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer. (**B**) Quantification of ONL and whole retina thickness in DAPI nuclei-stained retinal sections in *Nrl*-edited or *Pde6a* control mice at P60. (**C**) Representative photopic ERG responses of WT, *Pde6a* control, or *Nrl*-edited mice at P60. The light stimulus intensities were 1, 3, and 10 cd.s/m<sup>2</sup>. (**D**) Quantification of photopic ERG b-wave amplitudes from WT, *Pde6a* control, or *Nrl*-edited mice at P60. (**E**) Quantification of visual acuity in WT, *Pde6a* control, or *Nrl*-edited mice by OKR testing. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM and *n* = 6 biologically independent experiments. All *P* values were calculated by 2-sided *t* tests. \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

However, using the method of inactivating *Nrl* for clinical treatment still faces several challenges. One of these is that the approach requires AAV injections at an early stage of retinal degeneration, as it shows no obvious effects in late stage, posing a challenge for its application in clinical patients. Another concern is the potential side effects that may arise from *Nrl* inactivation. It is well known that *Nrl* is an important transcriptional factor during early retina development, and congenital mutations in the *Nrl* gene are one of the causes of RP (11). However, many studies have shown that inactivating *Nrl* in adults can also prevent retinal degeneration (13, 18–20). One potential explanation is that the downstream effects of inactivating *Nrl* in adult retinas are not the same as those of congenital *Nrl* inactivation. Nevertheless, the potential side effects of *Nrl* inactivation in adult retinas still need to be further investigated in the future. Additionally, since *Nr2e3* functions as a downstream transcription factor of *Nrl*, theoretically, targeting *Nr2e3* could result in fewer potential side effects compared with targeting *Nrl* directly. Like *Nrl*, several studies have demonstrated that disrupting *Nr2e3* can preserve cone morphology and function in mouse models of retinal degeneration (19, 39, 40).

In addition, it is important to note that *Nrl* editing only partially rescued the phenotype of RP mice. Exploring synergistic combinations with other treatment methods is still needed to further enhance the therapeutic effects, such as coediting *Nrl* and *Nr2e3* and codelivering additional neuroprotective genes. However, because these methods exceed the current packaging limitation of a single AAV-SaCas9 system, they require consideration of reducing the size of current Cas9 components or utilizing multiple AAVs for delivery. A viable approach might be to leverage recently reported hypercompact CRISPR- or transposon-encoded RNA-guided nucleases systems, such as Un1Cas12fl (529 aa) (41), AsCas12fl (422 aa) (42, 43), TnpB (~400 aa) (44), and IscB (~500 aa) (45, 46). These innovative RNA-guided nucleases are roughly half the size of the current SaCas9, rendering them suitable for multi-sgRNA editing or versatile applications.

In summary, we utilized a compact SaCas9 system that induced efficient *Nrl* editing in vivo by all-inone AAV delivery. The treated RP mice with *Pde6a* mutation exhibited efficient restoration of retinal morphology and visual function. We anticipate that AAV-SaCas9–mediated *Nrl* inactivation holds promise as a therapeutic method for the future treatment of RP.

## Methods

*Sex as a biological variable*. Our study examined male and female animals, and similar findings were reported for both sexes.

*Animals. Pde6a*<sup>nmf363/nmf363</sup> mice (29) were a gift from Vinit B. Mahajan (Department of Ophthalmology, Stanford University). Animals were housed under a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle with access to water and food.

*Plasmid construction*. The AAV-SaCas9 plasmid was obtained from Addgene (plasmid 61591). The sgRNAs targeting Nrl/Nr2e3 were designed by Cas-Designer (47). All sgRNA oligos were synthesized by Azenta Life Sciences and then annealed and ligated into the *BsaI*-digested AAV-SaCas9 plasmid. The sequences of sgRNA oligos are listed in the Supplemental Table 1.

*Cell culture and transfection.* The N2a cell line (ATCC, CCL-131) was cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (Corning, 10013CV) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and incubated at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5%  $CO_2$ . The cells were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected using PolyJet In Vitro DNA Transfection Reagent (SignaGen Laboratories, SL100688) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1.5 µL PolyJet reagent with 500 ng AAV-SaCas9 plasmid was added to each well. After 72 hours, the transfected cells were lysed using the One Step Mouse Genotyping Kit (Vazyme, PD101) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The primers used to amplify target sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 3. Sanger sequencing results were analyzed by TIDE (48).

AAV production and injection. The AAV-SaCas9–Nrl-sg2 was packaged with serotype AAV2.NN (30) and generated by the AAVnerGene. The titer of the produced AAV was  $2 \times 1013$  genome copies/mL (GC/mL). For AAV delivery, *Pde6a* mice received approximately  $1 \times 10^{10}$  GC AAV per eye after dilution via subretinal injection at P7. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine, and pupils were dilated by 1% topical tropicamide. Subretinal injections were administered under an ophthalmic surgical microscope with Picospritzer III micro-injection system and a custom-crafted glass micropipette. Approximately 0.5 µL AAV was injected into the subretinal space through a small scleral incision.

*Targeted deep DNA sequencing.* Top 10 potential off-target sites for *Nrl*-sg2 were predicted using Cas-OFFinder (49). Genomic DNA was extracted from injected mouse retinas at P60 using the FastPure Cell/Tissue DNA Isolation Mini Kit (Vazyme, DC102), according to the manufacturer's protocols. Deep-sequencing primers were designed with generic adapters, and PCR was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific, F530L). Targeted deep DNA sequencing was conducted using the Amplicon-EZ sequencing service from Azenta Life Sciences. More than 50,000 reads were generated with each sample using the Illumina platform. Data analysis was performed with CRISPResso2 (50). The primers used to amplify on-target and off-target sequences are listed in Supplemental Tables 3 and 4.

Western blot analysis. For Western blot analysis, the mouse retinas were dissected and homogenized in 200 µL RIPA Lysis Buffer (MilliporeSigma, 20-188) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, 78430). The protein concentrations were measured with the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, 23227). Anti-NRL antibody (Proteintech, 17388-1-AP, 1:500) and anti-Alpha Tubulin antibody (Proteintech, 11224-1-AP, 1:5000) were used as primary antibody and internal control, respectively. Signals were acquired by direct measurement of chemiluminescence using a digital camera (Amersham Imager 600).

*qPCR analysis.* Total RNA was extracted from the mouse retinas using the Quick-RNA Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research, R1058) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was synthesized with the HiScript II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme, R212). Primers used for qPCR are listed in Supplemental Table 5. The qPCR was performed using the BioEasy SYBR Green I real-time PCR kit with the Bio-Rad CFX Opus 384 multicolor real-time PCR detection system. The relative gene expression normalized to *Gapdh* was determined by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. All gene expression data experiments were performed 3 times, and data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM.

*Immunofluorescence analysis.* Mice were euthanized using  $CO_2$ , and eyeballs were enucleated and fixed in 4% PFA. Retinas were carefully dissected and subjected to a sucrose gradient series (5%, 15%, 30% sucrose). The retinas were then embedded in OCT compound and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Cryosections of 15 mm thickness were prepared using a Leica CM1950 cryostat (Leica Biosystems). The retinal cryosections were rinsed in PBS, blocked in a solution comprising 0.1% Triton X-100 and 3% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in the blocking buffer within a humidified chamber. Following 3 PBS washes with 0.1% Triton X-100, sections were exposed to secondary antibodies for 2 hours. DAPI was used to counterstain cell nuclei for 10 minutes. Slides were then mounted using Fluoromount-G mounting medium (Southern Biotech) and covered with a coverslip. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-HA tag (Cell Signaling, 3724, 1:500), mouse anti-Rhodopsin (Abcam, ab5417, 1:500), rabbit anti-Cone arrestin (Millipore, AB15282, 1:500), rabbit anti-S-opsin (Millipore, AB5407, 1:500), and rabbit anti-M-opsin (Millipore, AB5405, 1:500). The Alexa Fluor 555–conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, 1:500) was used as a secondary antibody. All images of retinal sections were captured by a Zeiss LSM880 inverted confocal microscope. The fluorescence intensities were quantified by ImageJ software (NIH).

*ERG*. Mice were given 12 hours to adapt to the dark before ERG recordings were taken, during which they were anesthetized by ketamine based on their body weight (0.08 mg ketamine/g + 0.01 mg xylazine), and their pupils were dilated by 1% tropicamide. The ERG was performed with an ERG stimulator (Celeris, Diagnosys LLC) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For scotopic ERG, mice were stimulated with flashes of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 cd.s/m<sup>2</sup> light intensity. For photopic ERG, mice were given 10 minutes to adapt to the light and then stimulated with flashes of 1, 3, and 10 cd.s/m<sup>2</sup> light intensity.

*OKR*. The detailed procedure has been previously published (51, 52). Briefly, the OKR) was assessed using the OptoMotry system (CerebralMechanics Inc.), a virtual-reality platform designed to swiftly quantify visuo-motor behavior. Mice were positioned on a central platform surrounded by 4 computer monitors equipped with a video camera positioned overhead to record the animal's movements. A rotating cylinder displaying vertical sine-wave gratings was projected onto the monitors. The OptoMotry software controlled the spatial frequency of the grating to assess the spatial acuity (cycle/degree) of the mouse being tested. The mouse's tracking of the gratings was reflected through head and neck movements. The maximum spatial frequency of each eye was determined by gradually increasing the spatial frequency of the grating until the mouse ceased tracking.

Statistics. All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of at least 3 individual determinations for all experiments. Data were analyzed by 2-tailed Student's *t* test via GraphPad prism software 8.0.1. A probability value smaller than 0.05 (P < 0.05) was considered as statistically significant.

*Study approval*. All animal experimental procedures were performed in compliance with animal protocols approved by the IACUC at Stanford University School of Medicine (protocol ID 32223).

Data availability. Deep sequencing data have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJ-NA1121624). Values for all data points in graphs are reported in the a data values file.

## **Author contributions**

ZL conceived and designed the experiments. ZL and SC performed the experiments and analyzed the data. CHL and QW contributed reagents, materials, and/or analysis tools. ZL wrote the paper. YS supervised the whole project. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by NIH grants R01-EY025295 (to YS), R01-EY032159 (to YS), VA CX001298 (to YS), and VA CX 001481 (to YS); Children's Health Research Institute Award (to YS); Research for Prevention of Blindness Unrestricted grant (Stanford Ophthalmology); International Retinal Research Foundation (to ZL); and P30 NIH grant (Stanford Ophthalmology).

Address correspondence to: Yang Sun, Department of Ophthalmology, Stanford University School of Medicine, 1651 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California 94305, USA. Email: yangsun@stanford.edu.

<sup>1.</sup> Tsang SH, Sharma T. Retinitis pigmentosa (non-syndromic). Adv Exp Med Biol. 2018;1085:125-130.

<sup>2.</sup> Pagon RA. Retinitis pigmentosa. Surv Ophthalmol. 1988;33(3):137-177.

<sup>3.</sup> Wu KY, et al. Retinitis pigmentosa: novel therapeutic targets and drug development. *Pharmaceutics*. 2023;15(2):685.

<sup>4.</sup> Cross N, et al. Retinitis pigmentosa: burden of disease and current unmet needs. Clin Ophthalmol. 2022;16:1993–2010.

<sup>5.</sup> Sothilingam V, et al. Retinitis pigmentosa: impact of different Pde6a point mutations on the disease phenotype. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24(19):5486–5499.

<sup>6.</sup> Huang SH, et al. Autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa caused by mutations in the alpha subunit of rod cGMP phosphodiesterase. *Nat Genet.* 1995;11(4):468–471.

Dryja TP, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding the alpha subunit of the rod cGMP-gated channel in autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92(22):10177–10181.

<sup>8.</sup> Petersen-Jones SM, et al. Advancing gene therapy for PDE6A retinitis pigmentosa. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2019;1185:103–107.

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

- Kuehlewein L, et al. Clinical phenotype and course of PDE6A-associated retinitis pigmentosa disease, characterized in preparation for a gene supplementation trial. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2020;138(12):1241–1250.
- Kuehlewein L, et al. Central visual function and genotype-phenotype correlations in PDE6A-associated retinitis pigmentosa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2022;63(5):9.
- Moore SM, et al. Targeting of the NRL pathway as a therapeutic strategy to treat retinitis pigmentosa. J Clin Med. 2020;9(7):2224.
- Toms M, et al. Nuclear receptor subfamily 2 group E member 3 (NR2E3): role in retinal development and disease. *Genes (Basel)*. 2023;14(7):1325.
- Montana CL, et al. Reprogramming of adult rod photoreceptors prevents retinal degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(5):1732–1737.
- 14. Cong L, et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science. 2013;339(6121):819-823.
- 15. Knott GJ, Doudna JA. CRISPR-Cas guides the future of genetic engineering. Science. 2018;361(6405):866-869.
- 16. Li G, et al. Gene editing and its applications in biomedicine. Sci China Life Sci. 2022;65(4):660-700.
- Wang JY, Doudna JA. CRISPR technology: a decade of genome editing is only the beginning. *Science*. 2023;379(6629):eadd8643.
- Yu W, et al. Nrl knockdown by AAV-delivered CRISPR/Cas9 prevents retinal degeneration in mice. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14716.
- Zhu J, et al. Gene and mutation independent therapy via CRISPR-Cas9 mediated cellular reprogramming in rod photoreceptors. *Cell Res.* 2017;27(6):830–833.
- 20. Moreno AM, et al. In situ gene therapy via AAV-CRISPR-Cas9-mediated targeted gene regulation. Mol Ther. 2018;26(7):1818-1827.
- 21. Ran FA, et al. In vivo genome editing using Staphylococcus aureus Cas9. Nature. 2015;520(7546):186-191.
- Liu Z, et al. Versatile and efficient in vivo genome editing with compact Streptococcus pasteurianus Cas9. Mol Ther. 2022;30(1):256–267.
- Kim E, et al. In vivo genome editing with a small Cas9 orthologue derived from Campylobacter jejuni. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14500.
- 24. Chen S, et al. Compact Cje3Cas9 for efficient in vivo genome editing and adenine base editing. CRISPR J. 2022;5(3):472-486.
- Maeder ML, et al. Development of a gene-editing approach to restore vision loss in Leber congenital amaurosis type 10. Nat Med. 2019;25(2):229–233.
- 26. Gaj T, et al. In vivo genome editing improves motor function and extends survival in a mouse model of ALS. *Sci Adv*. 2017;3(12):eaar3952.
- Zheng R, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated metabolic pathway reprogramming in a novel humanized rat model ameliorates primary hyperoxaluria type 1. *Kidney Int.* 2020;98(4):947–957.
- 28. Tabebordbar M, et al. In vivo gene editing in dystrophic mouse muscle and muscle stem cells. Science. 2016;351(6271):407-411.
- 29. Sakamoto K, et al. New mouse models for recessive retinitis pigmentosa caused by mutations in the Pde6a gene. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2009;18(1):178–192.
- Pavlou M, et al. Novel AAV capsids for intravitreal gene therapy of photoreceptor disorders. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2021;13(4):e13392.
- Weinmann J, et al. Identification of broadly applicable adeno-associated virus vectors by systematic comparison of commonly used capsid variants in vitro. *Hum Gene Ther.* 2022;33(21-22):1197–1212.
- Tycko J, et al. Pairwise library screen systematically interrogates Staphylococcus aureus Cas9 specificity in human cells. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):2962.
- Friedland AE, et al. Characterization of Staphylococcus aureus Cas9: a smaller Cas9 for all-in-one adeno-associated virus delivery and paired nickase applications. *Genome Biol.* 2015;16:257.
- 34. Yang Z, et al. Superior fidelity and distinct editing outcomes of SaCas9 compared with SpCas9 in genome editing. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics*. 2022;21(6):1206–1220.
- 35. Pulman J, et al. New editing tools for gene therapy in inherited retinal dystrophies. CRISPR J. 2022;5(3):377-388.
- 36. Suh S, et al. Precision genome editing in the eye. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119(39):e2210104119.
- 37. Bigini F, et al. Unleashing the potential of CRISPR multiplexing: Harnessing Cas12 and Cas13 for precise gene modulation in eye diseases. *Vision Res.* 2023;213:108317.
- 38. DiCarlo JE, et al. Gene therapy and genome surgery in the retina. J Clin Invest. 2018;128(6):2177-2188.
- Kolesnikov AV, et al. Germline knockout of Nr2e3 protects photoreceptors in three distinct mouse models of retinal degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2024;121(11):e2316118121.
- 40. Cui T, et al. Therapeutic in vivo gene editing achieved by a hypercompact CRISPR-Cas12f1 system delivered with all-in-one adeno-associated virus. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2024;11(19):e2308095.
- Kim DY, et al. Efficient CRISPR editing with a hypercompact Cas12f1 and engineered guide RNAs delivered by adeno-associated virus. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40(1):94–102.
- 42. Wu Z, et al. Programmed genome editing by a miniature CRISPR-Cas12f nuclease. Nat Chem Biol. 2021;17(11):1132-1138.
- 43. Hino T, et al. An AsCas12f-based compact genome-editing tool derived by deep mutational scanning and structural analysis. *Cell.* 2023;186(22):4920–4935.
- Xiang G, et al. Evolutionary mining and functional characterization of TnpB nucleases identify efficient miniature genome editors. Nat Biotechnol. 2023;42(5):745–757.
- Altae-Tran H, et al. The widespread IS200/IS605 transposon family encodes diverse programmable RNA-guided endonucleases. Science. 2021;374(6563):57–65.
- 46. Han D, et al. Development of miniature base editors using engineered IscB nickase. Nat Methods. 2023;20(7):1029–1036.
- 47. Park J, et al. Cas-Designer: a web-based tool for choice of CRISPR-Cas9 target sites. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(24):4014-4016.
- 48. Brinkman EK, et al. Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014;42(22):e168.

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

- Bae S, et al. Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30(10):1473–1475.
- 50. Clement K, et al. CRISPResso2 provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(3):224-226.
- Chen W, et al. Maprotiline restores ER homeostasis and rescues neurodegeneration via histamine receptor H1 inhibition in retinal ganglion cells. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13(1):6796.
- 52. Thomas BB, et al. Modulatory influence of stimulus parameters on optokinetic head-tracking response. *Neurosci Lett.* 2010;479(2):92–96.