

**Figure S1. Enrichment of CD45<sup>-</sup> LN stromal cells for scRNA-seq.** (**A-E**) WT C57BL/6 mice were mock-inoculated (n = 2) or inoculated in both rear footpads with 10<sup>3</sup> PFU CHIKV (n = 2). The left and right popliteal LNs were collected at 8 h post-infection for enrichment of CD45<sup>-</sup> LN stromal cells (LNSCs) via depletion of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells. The proportion of CD45<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>-</sup> cells was evaluated pre- and post-depletion by flow cytometry. (**A**) Representative flow cytometry plots showing the gating strategy for live CD45<sup>-</sup> LNSCs. (**B and C**) Representative flow cytometry plots of live cell viability (**B**) and percentage of CD45<sup>-</sup> cells (**C**) in mock and CHIKV-infected samples pre- and post-CD45<sup>+</sup> cell depletion. (**D and E**) Percentage of CD45<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>-</sup> cells in each condition and replicate pre- (**D**) and post-(**E**) CD45<sup>+</sup> cell depletion.



**Figure S2. Cell type annotation of scRNA-seq data.** WT C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with PBS (mock, n = 3) or  $10^3$  PFU of CHIKV (n = 3) in the left-rear footpad. At 8 and 24 h post-infection, the dLN was collected and enzymatically digested into a single-cell suspension. Cells were enriched for CD45<sup>-</sup> cells and analyzed by scRNA-seq as previously described (34). (**A**) UMAP projections of cell type annotations for integrated data. (**B**) UMAP projections of endothelial cell type annotations for integrated data. (**C**) Correlation between annotated LEC subsets and reference data. (**D**) Expression of select marker genes across LN cells.



Mock CHIKV

**Figure S3. Signs of CHIKV RNA replication in MARCO-expressing LECs.** (**A-E**) WT C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with PBS (mock, n = 3-6) or  $10^3$  PFU of CHIKV (n = 3-8) in the left-rear footpad. At 24 h post-infection, the dLN was collected and enzymatically digested into a single-cell suspension. Cell suspensions were either enriched for CD45<sup>-</sup> cells and analyzed by scRNA-seq as previously described (34) or analyzed for cell viability by flow cytomtery. (**A**) UMAP projection shows annotated cell types. (**B**) UMAP projection shows CHIKV sgRNA ratio (number of sgRNA reads/number of 5' reads). (**C**) The fraction of cells identified as CHIKV-high is shown for each cell type. Labels show the number of CHIKV-high cells/total cells. P values were calculated as described in **Figure 1D**. (**D**) CHIKV sgRNA ratio for cells with >0 sgRNA reads and >0 5' reads. Only cell types with >40 cells are shown. P values were calculated using a two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni correction. (**E**) The correlation between CHIKV sgRNA ratio and QC metrics for CHIKV-high MARCO<sup>+</sup> LECs and unassigned-LECs. (**F**) LN LEC viability at 1 d post-infection was determined by flow cytometric analysis of cell populations stained with a live-dead cell viability dye. \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.000, student's t-test (2 independent experiments).



B220 Lyve1 (white) MARCO

Figure S4. Lyve-1 and MARCO expression over time during WT and attenuated CHIKV infection. (A-C) WT C57BL/6 mice were mock-inoculated (n = 3) or inoculated in the footpad with  $10^3$  PFU CHIKV 181/25 (n = 5) or WT CHIKV (n = 5). At 8 (A), 24 (B), or 48 (C) h post-infection the dLN was collected. Frozen dLN sections were stained for B220 (B cells; blue), Lyve-1 (LECs; white), and MARCO (red). Scale bar, 200 µm. Images are representative of 3-5 dLNs per group (2 independent experiments).



**Figure S5. Impaired antigen acquisition is LEC-specific and not limited to the popliteal LN.** WT C57BL/6 mice were mock-infected or infected in the footpad with 10<sup>3</sup> PFU CHIKV 181/25 or WT CHIKV. At 72 h post-infection, mice were inoculated with 10 µg ova-488 in both calf muscles (20 µg total), and ova<sup>+</sup> LNSCs in the popliteal and iliac LNs were then evaluated by flow cytometry at the indicated timepoints. As a positive control, naïve mice were injected with 10 µg ova-488 and 5 µg polyI:C in both calf muscles. Representative plots showing ova<sup>+</sup> LNSCs in the popliteal LN (**A**). Percentage of ova<sup>+</sup> BECs, FRCs, and LECs among each condition in the popliteal LN.

(**B**) LNSC numbers in the iliac LN following ova immunization (**C**). Representative plots showing ova<sup>+</sup> LECs in the iliac LN, including the naïve control for gating on ova<sup>+</sup> LECs (**D**) and quantification of percentage and number of ova<sup>+</sup> LECs (**E**). Only statistical comparison of ova<sup>+</sup> BECs, FRCs, and LECs within each condition is shown. \*\*\*, P < 0.01; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001, one or two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test (2 independent experiments).