# **Supplemental Methods**

### 1 Mesenchymal stem cell isolation, expansion, and preparation

2	The MSCs were prepared and expanded as previously described(1). After informed consent was
3	obtained, MSCs were prepared from bone marrow aspirates of three different healthy donors, in
4	accordance with EU Directive 2004/23/EC. Bone marrow was collected at the Leiden University
5	Medical Center (LUMC) by aspiration from the iliac crest under local anesthesia and transferred to
6	Sanquin for isolation and expansion. Mononuclear cells (MNC) were subsequently isolated by
7	COBE2991 separation techniques. Cells were washed and resuspended in MSC culture medium
8	(Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium – low glucose/Penicillin (100U/mL)/Streptomycin
9	(100U/mL)/10% Fetal Bovine Serum and $4 \times 10^3$ cells/ cm <sup>2</sup> were plated in CellStacks. Every 3-4 days,
10	cultures were microscopically examined and medium was refreshed. Cells were passaged, using
11	TrypleLE Select, when >70% confluence was reached. The cells were further expanded through
12	passage P2 in 7-8 days and subsequently cryopreserved at a final concentration of 2x 10 <sup>6</sup> viable
13	MSCs/mL in 2% (w/v) human albumin in 0.9% NaCl) with 10% (v/v) DMSO. Then, MSCs were
14	transported to the LUMC, thawed, and cultured through passage 3 to generate freshly harvested
15	cells. The final drug product met the following criteria: >95% of the cells expressed
16	CD73 <sup>+</sup> ,CD90 <sup>+</sup> ,CD105 <sup>+</sup> , <1% of the cells expressed CD45 <sup>+</sup> , <0.01% of the cells expressed CD3 <sup>+</sup> . Prior to
17	administration, the MSC drug product was packaged in Luer lock syringes in proportions of $10 \times 10^6$
18	viable cells/mL in 0.9% NaCl/2% human albumin solution. The Luer lock syringes were transported
19	on cooling packs to the site of administration. Each primary container contained 10 x $10^6$ viable
20	MSCs per mL and 2-4 mL per syringe in total.

21

### 22 Full inclusion and exclusion criteria

Adults aged 18 years or older were eligible for inclusion. Patients should have ulcerative colitis (UC)
confirmed by endoscopic and histologic evidence. Inflammation with an endoscopic Mayo score
(EMS) of 2 or 3 should be limited to the rectum, confirmed by endoscopy maximum 3 months before

26 baseline. Slight inflammation in other parts of the colon was accepted with a maximum EMS of 1. 27 Patients with UP were refractory to conventional medical therapy, which means that at some point 28 during the course of the disease, patients had received rectal 5-ASA therapy and rectal 29 corticosteroid therapy for at least four weeks without an adequate response to treatment. If 30 patients were on rectal therapy, this was stopped 2 weeks before endoscopic MSC therapy and only 31 restarted after 6 weeks. If patients were on oral 5-ASA therapy or corticosteroids, the dose was kept 32 stable for 4 and 2 weeks, respectively prior to study entry and remained the same dose during the 33 first 6 weeks after MSC therapy. Patients treated with 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate, 34 azathioprine, vedolizumab, or anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-therapy should have been on 35 medication for 3 months with a stable dose for 2 months prior to study entry, and remained on the 36 same dose during the first 6 weeks of MSC therapy. Females of child-bearing age should be non-37 pregnant, non-breastfeeding, and should use adequate contraception. Patients with expected 38 hospitalization or surgery within 3 months were excluded. Additional exclusion criteria were 39 evidence of any infection needing antibiotic treatment; renal or hepatic failure; use of any 40 investigational drug within one month prior to screening or within five half-lives of the investigational agent (whichever is longer); positive stool culture for enteric pathogens (salmonella, 41 42 shigella, yersinia, and campylobacter), positive Clostridium difficile toxin A and B, positive stool ova, 43 and parasite exam; tuberculosis or an opportunistic infection within six months prior to screening; 44 positive test for hepatitis B/C, polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-cytomegalovirus, PCR-Epstein-Barr 45 virus, or human immunodeficiency virus serology at baseline. Further exclusion criteria were active 46 malignancy in the past five years; any dysplasia in the colon in the past 5 years; or previous 47 treatment with allogeneic MSCs.

48

- 49 Laboratory methods for supportive research
- 50 HLA-antibodies
- 51 Detection of HLA-antibodies

52 Detection of IgG HLA-antibodies in serum samples from baseline and week 6 was performed using 53 Lifecodes Life Screen Deluxe Kit (Immucor) (LMX) and further tested with single bead antigen assay 54 (SBA) Lifecodes LSA Class I and Class II Kits (Immucor) when considered positive for either HLA class I 55 or II. Serum samples from patients with HLA-antibodies at week 6 were directly tested in SBA at 56 week 24. In both assays, antibodies bound to the beads were detected using a PE-conjugated goat-57 anti-human IgG detection antibody. In the SBA assay, all serum samples were EDTA treated prior to 58 luminex analysis. Samples were acquired on a luminex flow analyzer (LABScan 200) and data were 59 analyzed using the Match IT! Antibody software (version 1.3.1, Lifecodes, Immucor). LMX and SBA 60 results were assigned positive or negative according to the software assignment and the lot-specific 61 cut-off (Match IT! Antibody software).

### 62 CDC assay

A complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) assay was performed on 4 patients (#4, #6, #9, and
#11), by incubation of patients' serum from baseline and week 6 with a cell panel encompassing all
mismatched HLA antigens. After incubation, propidium-iodide was added, in order to stain DNA of
lysed lymphocytes and measured with Patimed (Leica).

#### 67 <u>Fluorescence in situ hybridization</u>

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) based on X- and Y-chromosome specific probes was
performed on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded biopsies collected 6 weeks after MSC injection, to
investigate whether MSCs locally persisted in the tissue mucosa. Since this technique relies on
gender differences between patient and MSC-donor, 10/13 biopsies were analysed. One sample was
excluded due to technical problems.

### 73 Pre-treatment protocol

74 Firstly, the slides were deparaffinized: 2 times 10 min with Xylol, 5 minutes with ethanol 100%, 5

75 minutes with ethanol 90%, 5 minutes with ethanol 70%, and then shortly with H<sub>2</sub>O. Slides were then

76 immersed in saline Sodium Citric Acid buffer (SSC) for 30 minutes at 85/90 °C and then washed with

77 H<sub>2</sub>O. Then, slides were treated with RNase (100 μg/mL) in PBS for 30 minutes at 37 °C and two times 78 washed for 3 minutes with PBS. After that, a short wash with H<sub>2</sub>O. Slides are then immersed for 15 79 minutes in 0.1% pepsin in 0.01 M HCl at 37 °C, followed by three times a 3-minute wash with PBS. 80 Slides were dehydrated in 70%, 90%, and 100% ethanol, respectively. Slides were air dried. 81 Thereafter, 10  $\mu$ l probe mix (probe + hybridisation mix) were added to the slides. The probes 82 consisted of a biotin-labeled Y chromosome alpha satellite probe and a digoxigenin-labeled X chromosome alpha satellite probe. The probes were denatured for 8 minutes on a 80 °C hot plate. 83 84 Slides were hybridized at 37 °C overnight in a humidity chamber with 50% formamide/2xSSC (pH = 85 7).

86

87 *Post-hybridization protocol* 

The first post-hybridization wash twice 5 minutes with 2xSSC/0.1% Tween20 at 37 °C, followed by
twice a 5-minute wash with 50% formamide/2xSSC (pH = 7) at 44 °C and once a 5-minute wash
0.1xSSC at 60 °C. Then a short wash with MiliQ and a 3-minute wash with TBST. Incubated for 30
minutes with 1 µl streptavidin Cy-3 and mouse anti-dig FITC in 500 µl TNB at 37 °C. Followed by
three times a 3-minute wash with TBST and a short wash with PBS. Slides were dehydrated in
respectively, 70%, 90%, and 100% ethanol and air dried. Finally, slides were embedded in
DAPI/Citifluor (concentration: 500 ng/mL), 20 µl per slide, coverslip 24x60 mm.

95

#### 96 Cytokine analysis

Colon biopsies from baseline and week 6 were analysed by Olink Proteomics (Uppsala, Sweden)
using the Explore 384 Inflammation panel. Proteins were extracted from the biopsies by
homogenizing in RIPA lysis buffer using 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1%
sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100 and 1x Complete Protease Inhibitors (Roche # 04693116001)
using Tissuelyser LT (Qiagen). Total protein content was determined using a BCA protein assay
(ThermoFisher Scientific) and samples were diluted to 0.8 µg/ul for further analysis. Normalized

protein expression (NPX) values, using both internal and external controls for normalization, were
compared between baseline and week 6. Five samples were run in duplicates and showed
comparable results. 15% (54/369) of the analyzed proteins were not detected in our samples. One
sample did not pass quality control (#9, t=0) and was removed from further analysis, together with
the paired sample (#9, t=6). This sample also showed lower total protein concentrations compared
to the other samples.

#### 109 Mass cytometry

#### 110 Isolation of cells from intestinal samples

111 Cells from intestinal biopsies were collected and isolated as previously described(2, 3). Briefly,

intestinal biopsies (n=74) were collected in HBSS (Sigma-Aldrich) medium after endoscopy.

113 Intraepithelial lymphocytes were isolated by treatment with 5 mL HBSS (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 1

114 mM EDTA (Merck) under rotation for 2 x 45 minutes at 37°C. Next, single cells from the lamina

115 propria (LP) were obtained by enzymatic treatment with a 5 mL 'enzyme-mix' containing IMDM

116 culture medium (Lonza) with 20% FCS, 1 000 U/mL collagenase IV (Worthington), and 10 mg/mL

117 DNase I grade II (Roche Diagnostics) under rotation for 1.5 hours at 37°C. IELs and LP cells were

resuspended, filtered through a 70 μm nylon cell strainer, and washed with 0.5% FCS/DPBS

119 (Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline) (Gibco, Thermofisher). Cells were counted, and a maximum

120 of 2 million cells were resuspended in 1 mL staining buffer (SB) (Fluidigm).

121

#### 122 Mass cytometry antibody staining and acquisition

The validated mass cytometry antibody panel is listed in Supplemental Table 10(2). Pre-conjugated
 metal-labeled antibodies were purchased from Fluidigm (San Francisco, Calfifornia, USA). The rest of

125 the antibodies were conjugated in-house using the MaxPar X8 antibody labeling kit (Fliudigm

126 Sciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After single-cell isolation, cells were washed

127 with SB (Fluidigm) and incubated with 1 mL 2000 mM rhodium DNA intercalator diluted in SB

128 (1:2000) for 15 minutes to stain dead cells. Then, cells were washed with SB and incubated for 10

129 minutes with Fc Receptor blocking solution (Biolegend). Next, cells were stained with metal-130 conjugated antibodies (as listed in Supplemental Table 10) for 45 min at room temperature. After 131 staining, cells were washed three times with SB and incubated with 1 mL 500 mM iridium DNA 132 intercalator (Fluidigm) diluted in MaxPar Fix and Perm Buffer (Fluidigm) (1:4000) at 4°C overnight, or 133 up to 48 hours, to discriminate single-cells. Cells were washed three times with SB. Before data 134 acquisition, cells were diluted in distilled water containing 1:10 diluted EQ Four Element Calibration 135 Beads (Fluidigm Sciences). Samples were acquired at the Helios mass cytometer (Fluidigm, San 136 Francisco, CA, USA) with the narrow-bore injector at the Flow Core Facility (FCF) of the Leiden 137 University Medical Center. CyTOF data were acquired and analyzed on-the-fly, using dual-count 138 mode and noise-reduction on. All other settings were either default settings or optimized with 139 tuning solution, as instructed by Fluidigm. After data acquisition, the mass bead signal was used to 140 normalize the short-term signal fluctuations with the reference EQ passport P13H2302 during the 141 course of each experiment. Samples from the same patient were processed and acquired on the 142 same day.

143

144 Mass cytometry data analysis

145 First, normalized FCS files were checked for quality control of the staining and pre-gated for single 146 live CD45<sup>+</sup> cells, removing duplicates, beads, dead cells, and debris in the FlowJo software V10.8.1. A 147 reference PBMC sample was included in each experiment to account for technical variation. All 148 reference PBMCs were obtained from blood from the same healthy individual. ComBat was applied 149 to align the PBMC reference samples and corresponding patient samples to correct for batch 150 effects(4). The markers CD66b, CD15, Nkp44, Nkp46, c-kit, CD40, CD80, and PD-L1 were not present 151 in the reference PBMCs at sufficient levels to scale and were thus not normalized. For further 152 analysis, these antibodies were not used for clustering but were shown for marker expression 153 overlays and interpretation of data. CD45<sup>+</sup> cells were sample-tagged, hyperbolic ArcSinh 154 transformed with a cofactor of 5, and subjected to dimensionality reduction analysis in

155 Cytosplore(5). First, major immune lineages (Figure 4A) were identified at the overview level of a 5-156 level hierarchical stochastic neighbor embedding (HSNE) analysis on CD45<sup>+</sup> cells from control and 157 case rectal biopsies, before and after 6 weeks of MSC therapy (3.4 million cells) with default 158 perplexity and iterations (30 and 1000, respectively)(6, 7). This global overview contained clusters of 159 myeloid cells (CD66b<sup>+</sup> granulocytes and CD66b<sup>-</sup> mononuclear phagocytes), CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, 160 double-negative (DN) T cells,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, innate lymphoid cells (ILCs), B cells, and CD45<sup>+</sup>Lineage<sup>-</sup> cells. 161 CD66b<sup>+</sup> granulocytes and CD66b<sup>-</sup> myeloid cells were identified within the myeloid cell compartment 162 at the overview level of a 3-level HSNE analysis on 1.8 million cells. CD66b<sup>-</sup> myeloid cells were 163 further analyzed using tSNE. The other major immune lineage clusters were analyzed separately in a 164 data-driven manner up to a maximum number of 0.5×10<sup>6</sup> landmarks using t-distributed stochastic 165 neighbor embedding (tSNE)(7) with a default perplexity (30) and iterations (1000). The cells from 166 each lineage were clustered using a sigma value of 15. Clustering of the data was performed by 167 Gaussian mean shift (GMS) clustering in Cytosplore, and clusters that showed high similarity in 168 ArcSinh5-transformed median expression of all markers were merged. Quantification of frequencies 169 of clusters in each sample was performed in GraphPad Prism v9.

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

#### 177 References

- 178 1. Molendijk I et al. Allogeneic Bone Marrow Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Promote Healing
- of Refractory Perianal Fistulas in Patients With Crohn's Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015;149(4):918-
- 180 927.e6.
- 181 2. van Unen V et al. Identification of a Disease-Associated Network of Intestinal Immune Cells in
- 182 Treatment-Naive Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Front Immunol* 2022;13.
- 183 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.893803
- 184 3. van Unen V et al. Mass Cytometry of the Human Mucosal Immune System Identifies Tissue- and
- 185 Disease-Associated Immune Subsets. *Immunity* 2016;44(5):1227–1239.
- 186 4. Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using
- 187 empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics* 2007;8(1):118–127.
- 188 5. Höllt T et al. Cytosplore: Interactive Immune Cell Phenotyping for Large Single-Cell Datasets.
- 189 *Computer Graphics Forum* 2016;35(3):171–180.
- 190 6. Ma K-L, Santucci G, van Wijk JJ. *Hierarchical Stochastic Neighbor Embedding*. 2016:
- 191 7. van Unen V et al. Visual analysis of mass cytometry data by hierarchical stochastic neighbour
- 192 embedding reveals rare cell types. Nat Commun 2017;8(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01689-9
- 193

# Supplemental Tables and Figures

Supplemental	Table 1. Adverse	events reported	in MSC-treated	patients
Supplemental		evento reported	In moe treated	patients

Adverse events	Baseline - week 6	week 6 - week 24	week 24 - week 52
	(n)	(n)	(n)
abdominal pain	6	4	6
abdominal cramps	4	5	3
nausea	4	3	2
diarrhea	2	0	1
vomiting	3	0	0
fecal urge	2	1	4
flatulence	3	2	1
obstipation	1	0	2
Appendicitis	0	0	1
bloating	0	1	1
loss of appetite	0	1	0
general malaise	0	1	0
cold symptoms	2	2	0
fever (≥ 38.5 °C)	2	1	0
dyspnoea	0	1	0
palpitations	0	1	0
profuse sweating	0	1	0
chest pain	0	1	0
dry cough	0	1	0
swollen glands	1	0	0
sore throat	1	0	0
head ache	1	3	2
Fatigue	2	2	2
dizziness	0	1	0
arthralgia	1	3	1
urinary tract infection	0	1	0

Patient	Cohort	Fu	ll Mayo s	core (0-12	2)	Clinical Mayo score (0-9) Endoscopic Mayo score (0-3)					(0-3)	Rectal bleeding score (0-3)					
		Baseline	Week	Week	Week	Baseline	Week	Week	Week	Baseline	Week	Week	Week	Baseline	Week	Week	Week
			2	6	24		2	6	24		2	6	24		2	6	24
1	1	8	9	7	1	5	6	4	0	3	3	3	1	0	1	1	0
2	1	12	11	12	7	9	8	9	5	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	0
3	1	11	10	5	3	8	7	3	2	3	3	2	1	3	3	1	1
4	1	10	8	6	7	8	7	5	5	2	1	1	2	3	2	1	1
5	1	10	8	8	6	7	5	5	4	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	1
6	1	12	11	10	1	9	8	7	0	3	3	3	1	3	3	2	0
7	1	12	12	12	4	9	9	9	3	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	2
8	2	7	2	6	1	5	1	4	1	2	1	2	0	3	1	3	0
9	2	12	11	10	7	9	8	7	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	0
10	2	10	8	8	9	7	5	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1
11	2	11	9	9	4	8	6	7	3	3	3	2	1	3	2	2	1
12	2	9	6	6	4	6	4	4	2	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
13	2	11	10	5	2	9	8	3	2	2	2	2	0	3	3	1	0
The full Nav	Mayo score	e includes t	he followi	ing subsco	ores: recta	l bleeding so	ore, stool	frequency	, physician'	s global ass	essment, a	and endos	copic mayo	score. The	clinical Ma	ayo score	e is the
Tun Mayo	J SCOLE WIL	nout the er	luoscopic	iviay0 SCC	JIE.												

## Supplemental Table 2. Full Mayo score, Clinical Mayo score, Endoscopic Mayo score and Rectal Bleeding score

Patient	Cohort	Prior medical therapies	Full Mayo	Therapies <sup>a</sup>	Full Mayo	Changes in	Changes in	Full Mayo	Changes in therapies
			bas	eline	week 6	week 6 - week 12	week 12 - 24	week 24	week 24 - 52
1	1	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R)	8	5-ASA (O)	7	start: TIO; 5-ASA (R); CS (R)	stop: CS (R)	1	
2	1	5-ASA (R); CS (R); TIO; MTX; ADA	12	MTX; ADA	12		stop: MTX; ADA start: VEDO	7	
3	1	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R)	11	5-ASA (O)	5		start: 5-ASA (R)	3	start: IFX; TIO
4	1	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O); TAC (R); IFX; TOFA	10	CS (O)	6		start: USTE	7	start: 5-ASA (R)
5	1	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O); TIO; TAC (O); IFX; ADA; GOL; VEDO	10	TIO; TAC (O); GOL	8	GOL higher dose		6	stop: GOL start: CS (R); TOFA
6	1	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O); TAC (R)	12	-	10	start: 5-ASA (R); CS (R); TAC (R)		1	
7	1	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O); TIO; IFX	12	5-ASA (O); CS (O)	12		start: 5-ASA (R); CS (R); USTE	4	
8	2	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O)	7	-	6	start: 5-ASA (O); CS (R)		1	
9	2	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O)	12	5-ASA (O); CS (O); VEDO	10		stop: VEDO start: 5-ASA (R); USTE	7	
10	2	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R); TIO	10	TIO; VEDO	8			9	stop: VEDO start: 5-ASA (R); CS (R); IFX switch: USTE <sup>*</sup>
11	2	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O); TIO; TAC (R); IFX; VEDO	11	VEDO	9	start: 5-ASA (R)		4	start: CS (R)
12	2	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R); TIO; IFX; GOL; VEDO	9	TIO	6	start: 5-ASA (R)		4	start: CS (R); 5-ASA (R); USTE
13	2	5-ASA (R, O); CS (R, O); TIO; IFX; ADA	11	ADA	5		stop ADA start: USTE	2	

Full Mayo score includes stool frequency, rectal bleeding, endoscopic findings, and physician's global assessment. The indicated thiopurines include azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, and tioguanine. <sup>a</sup> Patient 4, 7 and 9 used oral corticosteroids (budesonide 9 mg) at baseline. Patient 4 tapered with a dose of 9 mg every other day from week 8, patient 7 continued with a dose of 9 mg up to week 10 and patient 9 tapered with a dose of 9 mg every other day from week 8, patient 7 continued with a dose of 9 mg up to week 10 and patient 9 tapered with a dose of 9 mg every other day from week 8, patient 7 continued with a dose of 9 mg up to week 10 and patient 9 tapered with a dose of 9 mg every other day from week 8, patient 7 continued with a dose of 9 mg up to week 10 and patient 9 tapered with a dose of 9 mg every other day from week 6.

R: rectal; O: oral; 5-ASA = 5-amino salicylic acid; CS: corticosteroids; TIO: thiopurines; MTX = methotrexate; ADA = adalimumab; VEDO: vedolizumab; IFX: infliximab; TAC = tacrolimus; TOFA: tofacitinib; USTE = ustekinumab; GOL: golimumab.

\* This patient first used infliximab and switched after 6 months to ustekinumab.



Full Mayo score

- 🔶 Clinical Mayo score
- Endoscopic Mayo score
- Stool frequency and rectal bleeding
- Physician's global assessment

Supplemental Figure 1. Mean full Mayo score with corresponding sub-scores at baseline and follow-up visits (weeks 2, 6, and 24). Dots represent the mean with standard deviations. Wilcoxon signed-rank test is performed.

### Supplemental Table 4. Fecal calprotectin and C-reactive protein values

Patient	Cohort		Fecal Calprotectin (ug/g)												C-reactive protein (mg/L)					
		Baseline	Week 2	Week 6	Week 12	Week 24	Δ2	Δ6	Δ12	Δ24	Baseline – week 2 decrease of > 150 ug/g	Baseline – week 6 decrease of > 150 ug/g	Baseline	Week 2	Week 6	Week 12	Week 24			
1	1	378	678	1606	59	7.2	79%	325%	-84%	-98%	No	No	4.4	6.9	6	36.6	1.3			
2	1	451	373	854	567	96	-17%	89%	26%	-79%	No	No	1.4	2	1.3	1.4	1.2			
3	1	419	293	191	475	357	-30%	-54%	13%	-15%	No	No	0.5	0.8	0.4	2.7	1.2			
4	1	365	163	40	1202	85	-55%	-89%	229%	-77%	Yes	Yes	4.1	1.2	2.4	0.6	2.6			
5	1	1744	63	364	15	188	-96%	-79%	-99%	-89%	Yes	Yes	55.1	6.2	2.6	4.8	4.7			
6	1	3270	1089	291	106	35	-67%	-91%	-97%	-99%	Yes	Yes	4	2.1	2.3	1.7	1.6			
7	1	216	1830	1023	2095	2788	747%	374%	870%	1191%	No	No	3.2	4.2	13.3	6.6	4.7			
8	2	3341	77	2161	6.1	27	-98%	-35%	-100%	-99%	Yes	Yes	0.7	1.5	1.3	1.2	2.6			
9	2	1586	1358	2795	3479	939	-14%	76%	119%	-41%	Yes	No	3.1	7	19.2	36.4	7.9			
10	2	5462	555	35330	4720	4922	-90%	547%	-14%	-10%	Yes	No	3.6	2.9	2.3	2.3	2.7			
11	2	4460	10400	7470	1624	8193	133%	67%	-64%	84%	No	No	2	1.7	3	2.1	5.7			
12	2	137	134	449	11	17	-2%	228%	-92%	-88%	No	No	0.7	0.4	0	0	0.4			
13	2	82	157	38	42	13	91%	-54%	-49%	-84%	No	No	0	0	0	0	0			
Δ2, 6, 12	, 24 = the p	percentage	of incre	ased or o	decrease	ed fecal o	calprote	ctin values at	week 2, 6, 1	2, or 24 c	ompared to	baseline.		•	•					

#### Patient Cohort Baseline Week 6 Week 24 >12 >12 1 1 ≤6 2 1 >12 >12 ≤6 7-12 3 1 >12 >12 4 1 ≤6 ≤6 ≤6 7-12 5 1 >12 7-12 6 1 >12 7-12 ≤6 7 1 7-12 7-12 ≤6 8 2 ≤ 6 ≤ 6 ≤6 9 2 >12 ≤6 >12 10 2 >12 >12 >12 11 2 >12 7-12 7-12 12 2 ≤6 ≤6 ≤6 13 2 7-12 ≤6 ≤6 The continuous scale (ranging from 0-22) of the Geboes score was used

Supplemental Table 5. Histologic activity in Geboes score

The continuous scale (ranging from 0-22) of the Geboes score was used and biopsies were evaluated at week 6 and 24 compared to baseline, according to three categories: histological remission (GS  $\leq$ 6), histological response (GS 7-12), and no response (GS >12).

	Baseline	Week	: 2	Week	6	Week 12	2	Wee	k 24		
Questionnaires			p-value <sup>a</sup>		p-value <sup>a</sup>		p-value <sup>a</sup>		p-value <sup>a</sup>		
sIBDQ	41 (34-49.5)	45 (37.5-52)	0.123	47 (42.5-55)	0.021	59 (39.5-62)	0.001	56 (44.5-	0.001		
								63.5)			
SF-36											
- PF	24 (17.5-28.5)	23 (18.5-29)	0.095	26 (21.5-29)	0.012	25 (19.5-29.5)	0.084	25 (21.5-30)	0.037		
- RP	8 (5-12)	10 (5-18)	0.097	10 (6.5-	0.020	14 (8.5-19.5)	0.008	14 (8.5-20)	0.002		
				15.5)							
- RE	12 (8-15)	11 (9-15)	0.569	12 (9.5-15)	0.234	15 (8.5-15)	0.261	12 (8-15)	0.373		
- MH	20 (16.5-21.5)	21 (17-23)	0.132	20 (16-22.5)	0.404	22 (18-23.5)	0.057	21 (17.5-23)	0.073		
- VT	9 (7-12)	10 (7.5-14)	0.301	9 (8.5-12)	0.126	11 (10-13.5)	0.068	13 (10-15)	0.012		
- PN	33 (27.5-52)	39 (27.5-60)	0.123	44 (33-54.5)	0.037	22 (30-55)	0.171	44 (33-57.5)	0.064		
- GH	11 (9.5-15)	11 (9-14.5)	0.944	12 (9-17)	0.251	12 (10-18)	0.031	13 (10.5-	0.016		
								20.5)			
- SF	6 (3.5-8.5)	7 (4-9)	0.429	7 (5.5-8.5)	0.085	8 (4.5 - 10)	0.011	8 (5.5 - 10)	0.012		
- HC	2 (1.0-3)	2 (1.5-3)	0.593	3 (2-4)	0.076	3 (2.5-3.5)	0.026	4 (3-4)	0.007		
mHealth	30 (22.5-31.5)	24 (16.5-	0.116	16 (11-26.5)	0.004	15 (8-27)	0.003	13 (3-18.5)	0.001		
		31.5)									
<sup>a</sup> The p-value corr	esponding to the	Wilcoxon signe	d rank test t	hat is performe	d to compa	re week 2, 6, 12, an	d 24 to base	eline, respective	ly.		
sIBDQ = short Infl	ammatory Bowel	Disease Questic	onnaire; SF-3	36 = Short Form	1-36; PF = ph	ysical functioning; I	RP = role ph	ysical; RE = role			
emotional; MH =	mental health; VT	= vitality; PN =	physical pai	in; GH = general	l health; SF =	= social functioning;	HC = health	n change; mHeal	ith = mobile		
health. Values rep	health. Values represented in median (interguartile range).										

### Supplemental Table 6. Questionnaires regarding the quality of life and patient-reported outcome measures

### Supplemental Table 7. MSC persistence and DSAs, and response

Patient				Response								
	DSAs present	Positive CDC assay	MSC persistence in tissue (FISH)	Clinical (FMS)	Endoscopic (EMS)	Biochemical (FCP)	Histological (GS)					
1	no	-	-	no	no	no	no					
3	no	-	-	response	response	improvement	response					
12	no	-	-	no	response	no	remission					
13	no	-	-	yes	no	improvement	remission					
7	no	-	no	no	no	no	response					
8	no	-	no	no	no	improvement	remission					
11	yes	yes	no	no	response	no	response					
6	yes	no	no	no	no	improvement	response					
9	yes	no	no	no	no	no	remission					
4	yes	no	yes	response	response	improvement	remission					
2	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no					
5	no	no	yes	no	no	improvement	response					
10	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no					
DSAs = donor-specific antibodies; CDC = complement-dependent cytotoxicity; FISH = fluorescent in situ hybridization; FMS = full Mayo score; EMS = endoscopic Mayo score; FCP = feces calprotectin; GS = Geboes												

score.

## Supplemental Table 8. Presence of class I and II DSAs after 6 weeks induced by allogeneic bm-MSC therapy

Patient #4	HLA-A*		HLA-A* HLA-B* HLA-C*		\-C*	HLA-DRB1*		HLA-DRB		HLA-DQB1*		HLA-DPB1*		
									3-4-5*					
HLA-typing patient	01:01:01		08:01:01		07:01:01		01:01:01	03:01:01	3 (01·01·02)		02:01:01	05:01:01	04:01:01	
HLA-typing MSC-donor #2	02:01:01	68:01:02	40:02:01	44:27:01	02:02:02	07:04:01	11:01:01	16:01:01	3	5	03:01:01	05:02:01	04:01:01	15:01:01
SA Luminex class I														
- baseline	-													
- week 6	A2, A68, B	344, B61												
- week 24	-													
SA Luminex class II														
- baseline	-													
- week 6	-													
- week 24	-													
Patient #6	HI	LA-A*	HLA	А-В*	HLA	\-C*	HLA-[	ORB1*	HLA-DR 3-4-5*	В	HLA-I	DQB1*	HLA-I	OPB1*
HLA-typing patient	01:01:01	30:01:01	08:01:01	38:01:01	07:01:01	12:03:01	13:01:01		3 (01:01:02)		06:03:01		02:01:02	04:01:01
HLA-typing MSC-donor #3	02:01:01	11:01:01:01	15:01:01	35:01:01	04:01:01		01:03	04:04:01	4		03:02/03:11	05:01/05:51	04:01:01	
SA Luminex class I					L		L	L			1		I	
- baseline	-													
- week 6	A2, B62, B	335												
- week 24	-													
SA Luminex class II														
- baseline	-													
- week 6	-													
- week 24	-													

## Supplemental Table 8 continued. Presence of class I and II DSAs after 6 weeks induced by allogeneic bm-MSC therapy

Patient #9	H	LA-A*	HLA	<b>∖-B</b> *	HLA	<b>\-C</b> *	HLA-[	ORB1*	HLA-DRB 3-4-5*		HLA-DQB1*		HLA-DPB1*	
HLA-typing patient	02:01:01	11:01:01	57:01:01		06:02:01		07:01:01	13:02:02	3 (01:01:02)	4 (01:02:02)	03:03:02	06:04:01	03:01:01	13:01:01
HLA-typing MSC-donor #3	02:01:01	11:01:01	15:01:01	35:01:01	04:01:01		01:03	04:04:01	4		03:02/03:11	05:01/05:51	04:01:01	
SA Luminex class I		I	I	I							I	I		
- baseline	-													
- week 6	B62, B35													
- week 24	-													
SA Luminex class II														
- baseline	-													
- week 6	DR1													
- week 24	-													
Patient #11	H	LA-A*	HLA	<b>∖-B</b> *	HLA	\-C*	HLA-[	ORB1*	HLA 3-4	-DRB  -5*	HLA-C	QB1*	HLA-D	PB1*
HLA-typing patient	11:01:01	30:01:01	13:02:01	35:01:01	04:01:01	06:02:01	01:01:01	07:01:01	4		02:02:01	05:01:01	04:01:01	17:01:01
HLA-typing MSC-donor #3	02:01:01	11:01:01:01	15:01:01	35:01:01	04:01:01		01:03	04:04:01	4		03:02/03:11	05:01/05:51	04:01:01	
SA Luminex class I														
- baseline	A2													
- week 6	<b>A2</b> , <u>A23, A</u>	<u>24, A2403, A68</u>	<u>3, A69</u> , <u>B57,</u>	<u>B58</u>										
- week 24	<b>A2</b> , <u>A24</u> , <u>A</u>	<u> 68, A69, B57, E</u>	<u>158</u>											
SA Luminex class II														
- baseline	DR4, DQ3	/7, DQ3/8, DQ3	3/9											
- week 6	DR4, DQ3	/7, DQ3/8, DQ3	3/9											
- week 24	DR4, DQ3	/7, DQ3/8, DQ3	3/9											
In red the donor-specific HI largest of many split antige HLA = human leukocyte ant	A antibodie ns of the bro igen; MSC =	s detected in th bad antigen B15 mesenchymal	ne patients' 5. stromal cell	sera. In <u>red</u> ; SA = single	the HLA-ant	tibodies tha	t occur due	to epitope s	preading. B40	) is composec	l of B60 and B6	1 split antigen s	erotypes. B62	is the

	Pati	ent #4			Patie	ent #6			Pati	ent #9		Patient #11			
Allele,	Baseline	Week 6	Week 24	Allele,	Baseline	Week 6	Week 24	Allele,	Baseline	Week 6	Week 24	Allele,	Baseline	Week 6	Week 24
MFI				MFI				MFI				MFI			
A2	-	4176	-	A2	-	944	-	B62	-	4908	-	A2	1777	19825	12147
A68	-	3859	-	B62	-	2637	-	B35	-	3472	-	<u>A23</u>	-	2692	-
B44	-	1910	-	B35	-	1636	-	DR1	-	4728	-	<u>A24</u>	-	<u>5811</u>	<u>1762</u>
B61	-	1615	-									<u>A2403</u>	-	<u>6186</u>	<u>1997</u>
												<u>A68</u>	<u>1035</u>	<u>18240</u>	<u>11153</u>
												<u>A69</u>	<u>954</u>	<u>16153</u>	<u>9252</u>
												<u>B57</u>	-	<u>11692</u>	<u>1990</u>
												<u>B58</u>	-	<u>10001</u>	<u>1286</u>
												DR4	2445	6282	4912
												DQ3/7	1887	2736	3472
												DQ3/8	2037	3150	3830
												DQ3/9	1806	2705	3593
In <mark>red</mark> the	donor-spec	ific HLA ant	ibodies detect	ted in the pa	tients' sera	. In <u>red</u> the	HLA-antibod	lies that occ	ur due to ep	itope sprea	ding.				
MFI = mea	an fluoresce	nce intensit	ty.												

## Supplemental Table 9. Mean fluorescence intensity values of anti-HLA antibodies

### Supplemental Table 10. CDC assay

Patient #9	Baseline	Week 6
DSAs to be tested: B62, B35, DR1		
HLA-typing cell type I (spleen):		
A2, A11, B44, B12, B57, B17, Bw4, Cw5, Cw6,		
DR1 , DQ5, DQ1		
CDC	neg	neg
CDC+DTT	neg	neg
HLA-typing cell type II (PBMC):		
A1, A2, <mark>B62</mark> , B15, B57, B17, Bw4, Bw6, Cw9, Cw3, Cw6		
DR13, DR6, DR7, DR52, DQ6, DQ1, DQ9, DQ3		
CDC	neg	neg
CDC+DTT	neg	neg
Patient #11	Baseline	Week 6
DSAs to be tested: A2, DR4, DQ3		
HLA-typing cell type I (PBMC):		
A2, A11, B35, Bw6, Cw4		
DR103, <b>DR4</b> , DR53, DQ5, <b>DQ8, DQ3</b>		
CDC	neg	pos
CDC+DTT	neg	pos
Negative: score 0-1; positive: score 2-5. From score 2 there is 27% more cell	death compared t	o score 0.
DSAs = donor-specific antibodies; HLA = human leukocyte antigen; CDC = con	mplement-depend	lent cytotoxicity;
DTT = dithiothreitol; neg = negative; pos = positive; PBMC = peripheral blood	d mononuclear cell	s



Supplemental Figure 2. Olink proteomic analysis showing modulation of inflammatory proteins upon MSC therapy (A) Proteins that showed increased levels 6 weeks after MSC therapy compared to baseline. (B) Proteins that were downregulated 6 weeks after MSC therapy compared to baseline. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed on 12 paired samples from case biopsies at baseline and week 6.

## Supplemental Table 11. Mass cytometry antibody panel

	Antigen	Tag	Clone	Supplier	Cat#	Final
	0.50	1/6			24460045	dilution
1	CD8a	140Nd	RPA-18	FLM	3146001B	1/100
2	CD11c	102Dy	Bu15	FLM	3162005B	1/200
3	CD127	<sup>103</sup> H0	A019D5	FLM	3165008B	1/200
4	CD38	1/2Yb	HI12	FLM	31/200/B	1/200
5	CD69	144Nd	FN50	FLM	3144018B	1/100
6	CD11b	<sup>209</sup> Bi	ICRF44	FLM	3209003B	1/100
7	CD45	<sup>89</sup> Y	HI30	FLM	3089003B	1/100
8	CCR6	<sup>141</sup> Pr	G034E3	FLM	3141003A	1/100
9	C-Kit	<sup>143</sup> Nd	104D2	FLM	3143001B	1/100
10	CD4	<sup>145</sup> Nd	RPA-T4	FLM	3145001B	1/100
11	CD16	<sup>148</sup> Nd	3G8	FLM	3148004B	1/100
12	CD25	<sup>149</sup> Sm	2A3	FLM	3149010B	1/100
13	CD123	<sup>151</sup> Eu	6H6	FLM	3151001B	1/100
14	CD7	<sup>153</sup> Eu	CD7-6B7	FLM	3153014B	1/100
15	TIGIT	<sup>154</sup> Sm	MBSA4	FLM	3154016B	1/100
16	CCR7	<sup>159</sup> Tb	G043H7	FLM	3159003A	1/100
17	CD161	<sup>164</sup> Dy	HP-3G10	FLM	3164009B	1/100
18	CD27	<sup>167</sup> Er	0323	FLM	3167002B	1/100
19	CD45RA	<sup>169</sup> Tm	HI100	FLM	3169008B	1/100
20	CD3	<sup>170</sup> Er	UCHT1	FLM	3170001B	1/100
21	PD-1	<sup>175</sup> Lu	EH 12.2H7	FLM	3175008B	1/100
22	CD56	<sup>176</sup> Yb	NCAM16.2	FLM	3176008B	1/100
23	TCRgd	<sup>152</sup> Sm	11F2	FLM	3152008B	1/50
24	CD40	<sup>142</sup> Nd	5C3	FLM	3142010B	1/100
25	PD-L1	<sup>156</sup> Gd	29E.2A3	FLM	3156026B	1/200
26	CD80	<sup>161</sup> Dy	2D10.4	FLM	3161023B	1/100
27	CD15	<sup>115</sup> ln	W6D3	BioL	323035	1/50
28	CD5	<sup>160</sup> Gd	UCHT2	BioL	300627	1/50
29	HLA-DR	<sup>168</sup> Er	L243	BioL	307651	1/300
30	lgM	<sup>150</sup> Nd	MHM88	BioL	314527	1/100
31	CD103	<sup>155</sup> Gd	Ber-ACT8	BioL	350202	1/100
32	CD20	<sup>163</sup> Dv	2H7	BioL	302343	1/200
33	CD28	<sup>171</sup> Yb	CD28.2	BioL	302937	1/100
34	CD45RO	<sup>173</sup> Yb	UCHL1	BioL	304239	1/100
35	CD122	<sup>158</sup> Gd	TU27	Biol	339015	1/50
36	CD8h	166 <b>F</b> r		ehio	15257407	1/50
37	NKn46	<sup>174</sup> Vh	9F 2	Biol	331902	1/40
32	Nkp40	147 <b>Sm</b>	252/15	R&D systems	MAR22/101	1/10
30	CD14	040+000	255415 TüVA	Invitragen/ThormaEichar	010064	1/1000
39		1940+			222225	1/200
40		'Pt 1985+		BIOL	322325	1/200
41		Pier Di l	6/40C	Biochiener	392902	1/40
FLIVI = FIUIdigm; BIOL = Biolegend; eBio = eBioschience™						



Supplemental Figure 3. High-dimensional analysis of control and case biopsies at baseline and after 6 weeks of local MSC therapy. Frequencies of the CD66b<sup>-</sup> mononuclear phagocytes, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, double-negative T cells and, B cells from individual samples (control (n=12) and case biopsies (n=13) at baseline and case biopsies (n=12) at week 6); Each dot represents an individual sample. Red colored dot indicated mild inflammation (endoscopic Mayo score 1). ns, not significant. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed.