Supplementary Figures Ahmadi et al



Supplementary Figure S1. Probiotic cocktail (black) feeding show no significant impact on body weight (a), body weight gain (b), food intake (c), water intake (d), total (e) and resting (f) energy expenditure and respiratory exchange ratio (REF) (g) compared to their controls (red). Values are presented graph bar as means of n= 5-8 mice per group and error bars are SEM. ns: not significant; kcal: kilo calories; VO2: volume of oxygen consumption and VCO2: volume of carbon dioxide release.



Supplementary Figure S2. Probiotic feeding modulated gut microbiome alpha-diversity indices like phylogenetic diversity (PD) whole tree (a), Chao1 (b) and observed operational taxonomic units (OUTs) (c), and changes in microbial signature shown by LefSe cladogram and differential bacteria abundances, compared to control older mice feces. Values are presented graph bar as means of n= 5 mice per group and error bars are SEM.



Supplementary Figure S3. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways analysis plot using pathway enrichment analysis and pathway impact values show the major significantly affected after probiotics feeding were taurine and hypotaurine metabolism.

Soft plate method





- 1. L. paracasei D3-5
- 2. L. rhamnosus D4-4
- 3. L. plantarum D6-2
- 4. L. rhamnosus D7-5
- 5. L. plantarum D13-4
- 6. E. rafnosus D24-1
- 7. E. INBio D24-2
- 8. E. avium D25-1
- 9. E. avium D25-2
- 10. E. avium D26-1

b Solid plate method

Plates With Taurodeoxycholic acid



Plates without Taurodeoxycholic acid

Supplementary Figure S4. BSH activity in probiotic strains using soft plate (a) and solid plate (b) methods.

a



Supplementary Figure S5. Taurine supplementation in cecal conditioned media (CCM) in control significantly reduced changes in TEER of Caco2 cells monolayer.



Supplementary Figure S6. Smurf assay showing leaky gut with higher blue color leaked in abdominal cavity of control worms compared to taurine treated animals (blue dye in gastrointestinal cavity lining). This assay was repeated 2-3 times with 20-30 worms/ repeat with leaky gut versus normal gut were visualized and counted.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Primer details and sequences used in this study.			
SYBR green primers			
Gene name	Forward Primer (5'→3')	Reverse Primer (5'→3')	
18S	gcaattattccccatgaacg	ggcctcactaaaccatccaa	
mIL6	ccaagaggtgagtgcttccc	ctgttgttcagactctctccct	
mTnf-a	ccctcacactcagatcatcttct	gctacgacgtgggctacag	
mTgf-b1	ctcccgtggcttctagtgc	gccttagtttggacaggatctg	
mIL10	gctcttactgactggcatgag	cgcagctctaggagcatgtg	
mlL-1b	gcaactgttcctgaactcaact	atcttttggggtccgtcaact	
hIL-10	ctgtgaaaacaagagcaaggc	gaagcttctgttggctccc	
hTGFb1	gcagcacgtggagctgta	cagccggttgctgaggta	
mTjp1	gccgctaagagcacagcaa	tccccactctgaaaatgagga	
mOcIn	ttgaaagtccacctccttacaga	ccggataaaaagagtacgctgg	
hTjp1	caacatacagtgacgcttcaca	cactattgacgtttccccactc	
hOcln	acaagcggttttatccagagtc	gtcatccacaggcgaagttaat	
Taqman primers			
Gene Name	Probe ID		
IL6	Hs00174131-m1		
TNF	Hs00174128-m1		
18S	Hs9999990_s1		
TJP1	Hs01551861_m1		
IL6	Hs00174131-m1		

Table S2. Primary and secondary antibodies details used in this			
study.			
Primary antibodies			
Antibody name	Cat #	Company	
Zo-1	8193S	Cell signaling	
Ocln	71-1500	Invitrogen	
Tubulin	T6074-200	Sigma	
Secondary antibodies			
Antibody name	Cat #	Company	
Anti-Rabbit-HRP	70745	Cell Signaling	
Anti-Mouse-HRP	A2219	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	