

Abstract

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a complex group of inherited retinal diseases characterized 28 by progressive death of photoreceptor cells and eventual blindness. Pde6a, which encodes a cGMP-specific phosphodiesterase, is a crucial pathogenic gene for autosomal recessive RP (RP43); there is no effective therapy for this form of RP. The compact CRISPR/SaCas9 system, which can be packaged into a single adeno-associated virus, holds promise for simplifying 32 effective gene therapy. Here, we demonstrated that all-in-one AAV-SaCas9-mediated Nrl gene inactivation can efficiently prevent retinal degeneration in a RP mouse model with 34 Pde6a^{nmf363/nmf363} mutation. We screened single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) capable of efficiently 35 editing mouse Nrl gene in N2a cells and then achieved effective gene editing by using a single AAV to co-deliver SaCas9 and an optimal Nrl-sg2 into the mouse retina. Excitingly, in vivo inactivation of Nrl improved photoreceptor cell survival and rescued retinal function in treated 38 Pde6a deficient mice. Thus, we showed that a practical, gene-independent method, AAV-SaCas9-mediated Nrl inactivation, holds promise for future therapeutic applications in patients with RP.

Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) comprises a group of inherited disorders in which progressive loss of photoreceptors is marked by initial rod photoreceptor loss followed by cone photoreceptor loss (1, 2). With a prevalence of 1/5000 to 1/3000, RP is the most common form of inherited retinal disease, imposing a substantial burden on both individuals and society. This condition ranks among the leading causes of visual impairment and blindness in individuals under 60, affecting over 1.5 million people globally (1, 3). More than 200 pathogenic genes are known to be associated with RP, which restricts the application of traditional gene augmentation therapeutic approaches (3, 4). The intricate nature of the pathogenic genes presents challenges for RP gene therapy and emphasizes the need for treatments independent of specific gene targets. 65 The gene encoding the phosphodiesterase 6 α subunit (*Pde6a*) belongs to the phosphodiesterase family and plays a crucial role in the retina by regulating the visual signal transduction pathway (5, 6). When Pde6a undergoes mutation, the function of phosphodiesterase may be affected, disrupting the visual signal transduction pathway and ultimately causing progressive degeneration and death of photoreceptor cells in the retina (7, 8). Mutations in Pde6a contribute to 3-4% of RP cases; there is no targeted treatment for this blinding disease (1, 8). Affected patients present with night-blindness and progressive constriction of their peripheral visual fields while retaining central vision. Loss of rod photoreceptors is followed by loss of cone photoreceptors, causing an irreversible decline in visual acuity that may lead to blindness (8-10).

One potential gene- and mutation - agnostic therapy for RP is knockdown of neural retina 76 leucine zipper (Nrl) or nuclear receptor subfamily 2 group E member 3 (Nr2e3) in mature retina 77 (11). Nrl and Nr2e3 are members of the basic region-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors, 78 with Nrl acting upstream of Nr2e3, that play a crucial role in the development and maintenance of the retina, particularly in the differentiation and homeostasis of rod photoreceptor cells (11, 80 12). During photoreceptor cells development, Nrl functions as a cell fate switch: photoreceptor precursors that express Nrl differentiate into rods, while those that do not express it differentiate 82 into cones(11, 13). Based on this principle, an intriguing approach has been proposed-treating 83 retinal degeneration by converting rods into cones through the inhibition of Nrl or Nr2e3 in adult retina(13).

The CRISPR/Cas9 system can induce powerful gene manipulation and offers a versatile genome editing platform with applications in biotechnology and clinical medicine (14-17). 87 Recently, several groups have shown that inhibiting the activity of Nrl or Nr2e3 in the mature 88 retina using adeno-associated virus (AAV)-delivered Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) can confer specific cone-like properties on rod cells (18-20). This approach has prevented retinal degeneration in multiple RP mouse models with mutations in Rho and Pde6b (18-20). 91 Present application of the AAV-delivered SpCas9 system requires packaging SpCas9 and its single guide RNA (sgRNA) separately into two distinct AAV vectors due to the constrained cargo capacity of a single AAV vector (18, 19). However, because the use of dual AAV vectors increases the complexity of AAV packaging and delivery, it reduces the efficiency of gene editing, which diminishes the practicality of gene therapy.

In this study, we utilize the state-of-the-art, all-in-one AAV-delivered compact SaCas9 97 system to inactivate the *Nrl* gene and achieve efficient gene inactivation in the retina of *Pde6a* 98 mutant mice. Our results indicate that inactivation the Nrl gene prevents retinal degeneration 99 and preserves cone function in the *Pde6a* mouse model. Hence, the inactivation of Nrl using an all-in-one AAV-delivered SaCas9 system holds the potential to advance future gene therapy applications for RP patients.

-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-

112 Results

113 Efficient Nrl and Nr2e3 editing in N2a cells by all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 vector.

Because the large size of the classical SpCas9 (1368 aa) system makes it unsuitable for single AAV delivery, a series of compact Cas9 systems, including SaCas9 (1053 aa) (21), SpaCas9 (1130 aa) (22), Cje1Cas9 (984 aa) (23), Cje3Cas9 (1000 aa) (24) and others, has been developed. SaCas9 is the most widely recognized and preferred of these systems for gene therapy (25-28). Here, we employed the cutting-edge, all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 system, 119 deliverable through a single AAV, to target Nrl or Nr2e3 (Figure 1A). We designed three 120 SaCas9-targeted sgRNAs for each of the Nrl and Nr2e3 genes (Supplemental Table 1). All these 121 sgRNAs feature the optimal NNGRRT $(R=A/G)$ protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence and predicted low off-target potential (Figure 1B and Supplemental Table 2). To test the efficacy 123 of genome editing with SaCas9, we transfected the six SaCas9 vectors targeting Nrl or $Nr2e3$ into mouse Neuro2a (N2a) cells and determined the editing efficiency through Sanger sequencing (Figure 1A). The SaCas9 system induced insertions and deletions (indels) at all six 126 target sites, exhibiting varied editing efficiencies ranging from $10.2 \pm 0.8\%$ to $31.1 \pm 2.7\%$ (Figure 1C and Supplemental Figure 1). Notably, Nrl-sg2 induced the highest editing efficiency, reaching up to 36.3% (Figure 1, C and D). Taken together, these data demonstrate that an all-129 in-one AAV-SaCas9 system can be used to efficiently edit Nrl or Nr2e3 in N2a cells.

130 Efficient Nrl inactivation in the retina of Pde6a mice by an AAV-delivered SaCas9 system.

The Pde6a^{nmf363/nmf363} mouse (hereafter termed Pde6a mice), which carries a missense 132 mutation (c.2009A>G, p.D670G) in the *Pde6a* gene and exhibits moderate photoreceptor 133 degeneration, has been used to model *Pde6a*-related RP (8, 29). Due to the maximal editing 134 efficiency of Nrl-sg2, we selected it for in vivo testing in Pde6a mice. The combined size of 135 SaCas9 and Nrl-sg2 is small enough for packaging into a single AAV vector (Figure 2A). 136 Because the AAV2.NN serotype, a derivative of AAV2 developed through in vivo selection, 137 demonstrates improved retinal and cellular transduction properties (30, 31), we used it to deliver 138 the all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 targeting Nrl-sg2 construct to Pde6a mice by subretinal injection at 139 postnatal day 7 (P7) (Figure 2A). At P60, retinal tissue DNA was extracted from injected mice 140 for deep sequencing to determine gene editing efficiency (Figure 2A). Nrl editing was efficient in all four tested mice: efficiencies ranged from 15.1% to 57.2% (Figure 2B). Deep sequencing results also indicated that SaCas9 primarily induced small insertions or deletions of 1-3 base 143 pairs in the Nrl-sg2 site (Figure 2C). To verify whether the Nrl gene inactivation effectively reduces NRL protein production, we conducted western blot analysis. The results revealed that 145 the NRL protein levels in the Nrl-sg2 group decreased by \sim 40% as the control group (Supplemental Figure 2). We also performed quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) to measure the 147 relative expression levels of rod-specific and cone-specific genes following Nrl inactivation. The results showed that, compared to the control group, some rod-specific genes were downregulated while cone-specific genes were upregulated in the Nrl-sg2 group (Supplemental Figure 3). In addition, compared to the non-edited control group, no apparent off-target indels at potential off-target sites (POTs) were observed in the edited mice (Supplemental Figure 4). The result is consistent with previous reports highlighting SaCas9 as a genome editing system with high specificity (21, 32-34). Collectively, these data demonstrated efficient inactivation of *Nrl* in Pde6a mice and validate the potential of the SaCas9 system for in vivo editing through single AAV delivery.

Rescue of retinal photoreceptor degeneration in Pde6a mice by Nrl inactivation

157 Encouraged by the deep sequencing results, we next investigated whether Nrl editing 158 mediated by the all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 system could preserve retinal photoreceptors in Pde6a mice. Retinas from P60 mice were frozen-sectioned and immunostained using antibodies against HA tag (indicative of SaCas9, as shown in Figure 2A), rhodopsin (a marker for rod photoreceptors), and cone arrestin (a marker for cone photoreceptors). Immunoblotting for HA tag confirmed successful delivery and expression of SaCas9 by retinal photoreceptors after subretinal injection of AAV (Figure 3A). Importantly, in comparison to the relatively weak signals of rhodopsin and cone arrestin in Pde6a control retinas, staining for these phototransduction-relevant proteins was more robust while continuing to be appropriately localized in retinas treated with Nrl-sg2 (Figure 3, B and C). The quantitative analysis of fluorescence intensity substantiated the effective preservation of retinal photoreceptor cells in 168 the Nrl-edited retinas, in contrast with the degenerative loss observed in the control group (Figure 3D). The immunostaining of two other cone-specific markers, S-opsin and M-opsin

showed similar results (Supplemental Figure 5). We also performed immunostaining on the 171 retinas of P30 mice. The results showed that although the retinas of Pde6a mice had not yet fully degenerated at P30, the treatment group exhibited a certain degree of slowed retinal degeneration (Supplemental Figure 6). Overall, these results strongly indicated that AAV-SaCas9-mediated Nrl inactivation effectively prevents retinal photoreceptor degeneration in Pde6a mice.

176 Rescue of retinal function in *Pde6a* mice by *Nrl* inactivation

To further examine the effectiveness of Nrl inactivation for gene therapy, we assessed 178 retinal functions in both treated and control *Pde6a* mice. Previous reports have indicated that 179 this Pde6a RP mouse model exhibits severe photoreceptor degeneration and impaired retinal morphology and function one month after birth (5, 29). As shown in Figure 4A, the Pde6a control retinas exhibited sparsely distributed photoreceptor cell nuclei in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) at P60, whereas the Nrl-sg2 treated retinas displayed a substantially thicker ONL. The 183 quantitative assay of retinal sections in the Nrl-sg2 group revealed that ONL thickness measured 23.1 ± 2.6 μm and whole retina thickness 120.2 ± 11.4 μm, representing 6.7-fold and 1.6-fold increases compared to the control group (Figure 4B). To assess whether the morphological preservation of retina supported visual functional preservation, we used electroretinography (ERG) and optokinetic tracking response (OKR) to measure the electrical activity of 188 photoreceptors and visual acuity at P60. The Nrl -sg2 group exhibited marked improvement of the photopic ERG b-wave compared to the control group, but no improvement of the scotopic ERG b-wave, indicating preserved cone function (Figure 4, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 191 7). OKR testing revealed higher visual acuity in the Nrl -sg2 group than in the control group 192 (Figure 4E). Taken together, these data suggested that in vivo Nrl inactivation efficiently restored retinal function in Pde6a mice.

-
-
-
-
-

Discussion

CRISPR-mediated gene inactivation holds great promise for the permanent effective treatment of many genetic diseases, particularly inherited retinal disorders (35, 36). The eye offers an especially advantageous target for gene therapy because it is a relatively independent and immune-privileged organ, which provides easy access for the administration, delivery, and observation of therapeutic effects (37, 38). In this study, we utilized a compact SaCas9 system 205 to efficiently edit Nrl in vivo and rescue retinal structure and function in a Pde6a RP mouse model. The compact size allows SaCas9 and its sgRNA to be integrated into a single AAV vector for in vivo delivery, streamlining AAV packaging and enhancing the feasibility, practicality, and usability of gene therapy. Notably, the Nrl inactivation treatment preserved retinal photoreceptors and ONL thickness and enhanced visual function compared to the control group. In addition, we administered AAV injection to P30 mice, by which time the retinas of 211 Pde6a mice had mostly degenerated. The results revealed no obvious therapeutic effect of the injection at P30, suggesting that the optimal treatment window is preferably in the early stage (Supplemental Figure 8).

214 The present results demonstrate that AAV-SaCas9-mediated postnatal Nrl inactivation can 215 effectively rescue retinal structure and function in a RP mouse model of Pde6a^{nmf363/nmf363}. 216 Together with previously reported therapeutic studies of mice with mutations in the Pde6b and 217 Rho genes, these findings further validate the Nrl inactivation approach as a feasible gene- and mutation- agonistic treatment for RP (18-20). Although numerous gene supplementation or gene editing approaches have been developed or are in development to treat RP, they often target specific genes or mutations, limiting the scope and practicality of clinical treatment (38). 221 In contrast, the universality of the *Nrl* editing method, which is independent of specific genes or mutations, holds promise for application in a broad range of RP patients. The current mouse studies indicate that blocking the Nrl/Nr2e3 pathway may reprogram rods into cone-like photoreceptors, potentially preventing the degeneration of retinal rods and cones (11, 18). 225 However, more detailed research is required to elucidate the specific mechanisms of Nrl editing 226 in treating RP. Further investigation into the feasibility of Nrl gene inactivation therapy in humans is also necessary, considering the potential differences between humans and mice.

However, using the method of inactivating Nrl for clinical treatment still faces several challenges. One of these is that the approach requires AAV injections at an early stage of retinal degeneration, as it shows no obvious effects in late stage, posing a challenge for its application 231 in clinical patients. Another concern is the potential side effects that may arise from Nrl 232 inactivation. It is well known that Nrl is an important transcriptional factor during early retina 233 development, and congenital mutations in the Nrl gene are one of the causes of RP(11). 234 However, many studies have shown that inactivating Nrl in adults can also prevent retinal degeneration(13, 18-20). One potential explanation is that the downstream effects of 236 inactivating Nrl in adult retinas are not the same as those of congenital Nrl inactivation. 237 Nevertheless, the potential side effects of Nrl inactivation in adult retinas still need to be further 238 investigated in the future. Additionally, since $Nr2e3$ functions as a downstream transcription factor of Nrl, theoretically, targeting Nr2e3 could result in fewer potential side effects compared 240 to targeting Nrl directly. Like Nrl, several studies have demonstrated that disrupting $Nr2e3$ can preserve cone morphology and function in mouse models of retinal degeneration(19, 39, 40).

242 In addition, it is important to note that *Nrl* editing only partially rescued the phenotype of RP mice. Exploring synergistic combinations with other treatment methods is still needed to 244 further enhance the therapeutic effects, such as co-editing Nrl and $Nr2e3$ and co-delivering additional neuroprotective genes. However, because these methods exceed the current packaging limitation of a single AAV-SaCas9 system, they require consideration of reducing the size of current Cas9 components or utilizing multiple AAVs for delivery. A viable approach might be to leverage recently reported hypercompact CRISPR- or transposon-encoded RNA-guided nucleases systems, such as Un1Cas12f1 (529 aa) (41), AsCas12f1 (422 aa) (42, 43), TnpB (~400 aa) (44), IscB (~500 aa) (45, 46). These innovative RNA-guided nucleases are roughly half the size of the current SaCas9, rendering them suitable for multi-sgRNA editing or versatile applications.

In summary, we utilized a compact SaCas9 system that induced efficient Nrl editing in 254 vivo by all-in-one AAV delivery. The treated RP mice with Pde6a mutation exhibited efficient restoration of retinal morphology and visual function. We anticipate that AAV-SaCas9-mediated *Nrl* inactivation holds promise as a therapeutic method for the future treatment of retinitis pigmentosa.

Methods

Sex as a biological variable

Our study examined male and female animals, and similar findings are reported for both sexes.

Animals

 $Pde6a^{\text{nmf363/nmf363}}$ mice (29) are a gift from Dr. Vinit B. Mahajan (Stanford Ophthalmology, Palo Alto). Animals are housed under a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle with access to water and food. All animal experimental procedures were performed in compliance with animal protocols approved by the IACUC at Stanford University School of Medicine (Protocol ID: 32223). Our study examined male and female animals, and similar findings are reported for both sexes.

Plasmid construction

The AAV-SaCas9 plasmid was obtained from Addgene (#61591). The sgRNAs targeting Nrl/Nr2e3 were designed by Cas-Designer (47). All sgRNA oligos were synthesized by Azenta 273 Life Sciences (US), then annealed and ligated into the BsaI-digested AAV-SaCas9 plasmid. The sequences of sgRNA oligos are listed in the Supplemental Table 1.

Cell culture and transfection

The N2a cell line (ATCC, #CCL-131) was cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (Corning, #10013CV) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and incubated at 37° C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The cells were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected using PolyJet In Vitro DNA Transfection Reagent (SignaGen Laboratories, #SL100688) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1.5 μl of PolyJet reagent with 500 ng AAV-SaCas9 plasmid was added to each well. After 72 hours, the transfected cells were lysed by One Step Mouse Genotyping Kit (Vazyme, #PD101) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The primers used to amplify target sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 3. Sanger sequencing results were analyzed by TIDE (48).

AAV production and injection

286 The AAV-SaCas9- Nrl -sg2 was packaged with serotype AAV2.NN (30) and generated by

287 the AAVnerGene (US). The titer of the produced AAV was 2×10^{13} GC/ml. For AAV delivery, $Pde6a$ mice received diluted ~1×10¹⁰ GC AAV per eye via subretinal injection at P7. Mice were anesthetized by ketamine, and pupils were dilated by 1% topical tropicamide. Subretinal injections were administered under an ophthalmic surgical microscope with Picospritzer III microinjection system and a custom-crafted glass micropipette. Approximately 0.5 μl AAV was injected into the subretinal space through a small scleral incision.

Targeted deep DNA sequencing

Top 10 potential off-target sites for Nrl-sg2 were predicted using Cas-OFFinder (49). Genomic DNA was extracted from injected mouse retinas at P60 using FastPure Cell/Tissue DNA Isolation Mini Kit (Vazyme, #DC102), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Deep sequencing primers were designed with generic adapters, and PCR was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific, F530L). Targeted deep DNA sequencing was conducted by Amplicon-EZ sequencing service in Azenta Life Sciences (US). More than 50000 reads were generated with each sample using Illumina platform. Data analysis was performed with CRISPResso2 (50). The primers used to amplify on-target and off-target sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 3 and Supplemental Table 4.

Western blot analysis

For western blot, the mouse retinas were dissected and homogenized in 200 ul of RIPA Lysis Buffer (Millipore Sigma, #20-188) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, #78430). The protein concentrations were measured by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, #23227). Anti-NRL antibody (Proteintech, #17388-1-AP, 1:500) and anti-Alpha Tubulin antibody (Proteintech, #11224-1-AP, 1:5000) were used as primary antibody and internal control, respectively. Signals were acquired by direct 310 measurement of chemiluminescence using a digital camera (Amersham™ Imager 600).

qPCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the mouse retinas using Quick-RNA Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research, #R1058) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was synthesized with HiScript II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme, #R212). Primers used for qPCR are listed in Supplemental Table 5. The qPCRwas performed using the BioEasy SYBR Green I real-time PCR kit with the Bio-Rad CFX Opus 384 multicolor real-time PCR detection system. The relative gene expression normalized to $Gapdh$ was determined by the 2- 3177 ΔΔCT method. All data of gene expression were performed three times and were expressed as mean \pm SEM.

Immunofluorescence analysis

 Mice were euthanized using $CO₂$, and eyeballs were enucleated and fixed in 4% PFA. Retinas were carefully dissected and subjected to a sucrose gradient series (5%, 15%, 30% sucrose). The retinas were then embedded in OCT compound and stored at -80°C. Cryosections of 15 mm thickness were prepared using a Leica CM1950 cryostat (Leica Biosystems). The retinal cryosections were rinsed in PBS, blocked in a solution of 0.1% Triton X-100 and 3% 326 BSA in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature, and then incubated overnight at 4° C with primary antibodies diluted in the blocking buffer within a humidified chamber. Following three PBS washes with 0.1% Triton, sections were exposed to secondary antibodies for 2 hours. DAPI was used to counterstain cell nuclei for 10 minutes. Slides were then mounted using Fluoromount-G mounting medium (Southern Biotech) and covered with a coverslip. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-HA tag (Cell Signalling, #3724, 1:500), mouse anti-Rhodopsin (Abcam, #ab5417, 1:500), rabbit anti-Cone arrestin (Millipore, AB15282, 1:500), rabbit anti-S-opsin (Millipore, AB5407, 1:500) and rabbit anti-M-opsin (Millipore, AB5405, 1:500). The Alexa-Fluor-555-conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, 1:500) was used as secondary antibody. All images of retinal sections were captured by a Zeiss LSM880 inverted confocal microscope. The fluorescence intensities were quantified by ImageJ software.

Electroretinography (ERG)

Mice dark-adapted for 12 hours before ERG recording were anesthetized by ketamine 339 based on their body weight $(0.08 \text{ mg} \text{ ketamine/g} + 0.01 \text{ mg} \text{ xylazine})$, and their pupils were dilated by 1% tropicamide. The ERG was performed with an ERG stimulator (Celeris, Diagnosys LLC) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For scotopic ERG, mice were 342 stimulated with flashes of 0.01 , 0.1 and 1 cd.s/m^2 light intensity. For photopic ERG, mice were 343 light-adapted for 10 minutes and then stimulated with flashes of 1, 3 and 10 cd.s/m² light intensity.

Optokinetic tracking response (OKR)

The detailed procedure has been previously published (51, 52). Briefly, the OKR was assessed using the OptoMotry system (CerebralMechanics Inc.), a virtual-reality platform designed to swiftly quantify visuomotor behavior. Mice were positioned on a central platform surrounded by four computer monitors equipped with a video camera positioned overhead to record the animal's movements. A rotating cylinder displaying vertical sine-wave gratings was projected onto the monitors. The OptoMotry software controlled the spatial frequency of the grating to assess the spatial acuity (cycle/degree) of the mouse being tested. The mouse's tracking of the gratings was reflected through head and neck movements. The maximum spatial frequency of each eye was determined by gradually increasing the spatial frequency of the grating until the mouse ceased tracking.

Statistical analysis

 All data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of at least three individual determinations for all experiments. Data were analyzed by Student's t-test via GraphPad prism software 8.0.1. A 359 probability value smaller than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$) was considered as statistically significant. * $p <$ 360 0.05, $*^{*}p < 0.01$, $*^{*}p < 0.001$, $*^{**}p < 0.0001$.

Study approval

The protocols were approved by the IACUC at Stanford University School of Medicine.

Data availability

Deep sequencing data have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database with BioProject accession code PRJNA1121624. Values for all data points in graphs are reported in the Supporting data values file.

Author contributions

ZL conceived and designed the experiments. ZL and SC performed the experiments and analysed the data. CHL and QW contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. ZL wrote the paper. YS supervised the whole project. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by R01-EY025295 (YS), R01-EY032159 (YS), VA CX001298 (YS), VA CX 001481 (YS), Children's Health Research Institute Award (YS); Research for Prevention of Blindness Unrestricted grant (Stanford Ophthalmology); International Retinal Research Foundation (ZL); P30 NIH grant (Stanford Ophthalmology). References 380 1. Tsang SH, and Sharma T. Retinitis Pigmentosa (Non-syndromic). Adv Exp Med Biol. 2018;1085:125-30. 382 2. Pagon RA. Retinitis pigmentosa. Surv Ophthalmol. 1988;33(3):137-77. 3. Wu KY, Kulbay M, Toameh D, Xu AQ, Kalevar A, and Tran SD. Retinitis Pigmentosa: Novel 384 Therapeutic Targets and Drug Development. *Pharmaceutics.* 2023;15(2). 4. Cross N, van Steen C, Zegaoui Y, Satherley A, and Angelillo L. Retinitis Pigmentosa: Burden 386 of Disease and Current Unmet Needs. Clinical ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ). 2022;16:1993-2010. 5. Sothilingam V, Garcia Garrido M, Jiao K, Buena-Atienza E, Sahaboglu A, Trifunović D, et al. Retinitis pigmentosa: impact of different Pde6a point mutations on the disease phenotype. Human molecular genetics. 2015;24(19):5486-99. 6. Huang SH, Pittler SJ, Huang X, Oliveira L, Berson EL, and Dryja TP. Autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa caused by mutations in the alpha subunit of rod cGMP phosphodiesterase. Nature genetics. 1995;11(4):468-71. 7. Dryja TP, Finn JT, Peng YW, McGee TL, Berson EL, and Yau KW. Mutations in the gene encoding the alpha subunit of the rod cGMP-gated channel in autosomal recessive 396 retinitis pigmentosa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1995;92(22):10177-81. 8. Petersen-Jones SM, Occelli LM, Biel M, and Michalakis S. Advancing Gene Therapy for PDE6A Retinitis Pigmentosa. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2019;1185:103-7. 9. Kuehlewein L, Zobor D, Andreasson SO, Ayuso C, Banfi S, Bocquet B, et al. Clinical Phenotype and Course of PDE6A-Associated Retinitis Pigmentosa Disease, Characterized 402 in Preparation for a Gene Supplementation Trial. JAMA ophthalmology. 2020;138(12):1241-50. 10. Kuehlewein L, Straßer T, Blumenstock G, Stingl K, Fischer MD, Wilhelm B, et al. Central Visual Function and Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in PDE6A-Associated Retinitis Pigmentosa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2022;63(5):9. 11. Moore SM, Skowronska-Krawczyk D, and Chao DL. Targeting of the NRL Pathway as a 408 Therapeutic Strategy to Treat Retinitis Pigmentosa. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(7). 12. Toms M, Ward N, and Moosajee M. Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 2 Group E Member 3 410 (NR2E3): Role in Retinal Development and Disease. Genes. 2023;14(7). 13. Montana CL, Kolesnikov AV, Shen SQ, Myers CA, Kefalov VJ, and Corbo JC. 412 Reprogramming of adult rod photoreceptors prevents retinal degeneration. *Proc Natl* 413 Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(5):1732-7. 14. Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, et al. Multiplex genome engineering 415 using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science (New York, NY). 2013;339(6121):819-23.

416 15. Knott GJ, and Doudna JA. CRISPR-Cas guides the future of genetic engineering. Science (New York, NY). 2018;361(6405):866-9. 16. Li G, Li X, Zhuang S, Wang L, Zhu Y, Chen Y, et al. Gene editing and its applications in biomedicine. Science China Life sciences. 2022;65(4):660-700. 17. Wang JY, and Doudna JA. CRISPR technology: A decade of genome editing is only the 421 beginning. Science (New York, NY). 2023;379(6629):eadd8643. 18. Yu W, Mookherjee S, Chaitankar V, Hiriyanna S, Kim JW, Brooks M, et al. Nrl knockdown 423 by AAV-delivered CRISPR/Cas9 prevents retinal degeneration in mice. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14716. 19. Zhu J, Ming C, Fu X, Duan Y, Hoang DA, Rutgard J, et al. Gene and mutation independent 426 therapy via CRISPR-Cas9 mediated cellular reprogramming in rod photoreceptors. Cell Res. 2017;27(6):830-3. 20. Moreno AM, Fu X, Zhu J, Katrekar D, Shih YV, Marlett J, et al. In Situ Gene Therapy via AAV-CRISPR-Cas9-Mediated Targeted Gene Regulation. Mol Ther. 2018;26(7):1818-27. 21. Ran FA, Cong L, Yan WX, Scott DA, Gootenberg JS, Kriz AJ, et al. In vivo genome editing 431 using Staphylococcus aureus Cas9. Nature. 2015;520(7546):186-91. 22. Liu Z, Chen S, Xie W, Song Y, Li J, Lai L, et al. Versatile and efficient in vivo genome editing 433 with compact Streptococcus pasteurianus Cas9. Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy. 2022;30(1):256-67. 23. Kim E, Koo T, Park SW, Kim D, Kim K, Cho HY, et al. In vivo genome editing with a small 436 Cas9 orthologue derived from Campylobacter jejuni. Nature communications. 2017;8:14500. 24. Chen S, Liu Z, Xie W, Yu H, Lai L, and Li Z. Compact Cje3Cas9 for Efficient In Vivo Genome 439 Editing and Adenine Base Editing. Crispr j. 2022;5(3):472-86. 25. Maeder ML, Stefanidakis M, Wilson CJ, Baral R, Barrera LA, Bounoutas GS, et al. Development of a gene-editing approach to restore vision loss in Leber congenital 442 amaurosis type 10. Nat Med. 2019;25(2):229-33. 26. Gaj T, Ojala DS, Ekman FK, Byrne LC, Limsirichai P, and Schaffer DV. In vivo genome editing 444 improves motor function and extends survival in a mouse model of ALS. Science advances. 2017;3(12):eaar3952. 27. Zheng R, Li Y, Wang L, Fang X, Zhang J, He L, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated metabolic pathway reprogramming in a novel humanized rat model ameliorates primary 448 hyperoxaluria type 1. Kidney international. 2020;98(4):947-57. 28. Tabebordbar M, Zhu K, Cheng JKW, Chew WL, Widrick JJ, Yan WX, et al. In vivo gene 450 editing in dystrophic mouse muscle and muscle stem cells. Science (New York, NY). 2016;351(6271):407-11. 29. Sakamoto K, McCluskey M, Wensel TG, Naggert JK, and Nishina PM. New mouse models 453 for recessive retinitis pigmentosa caused by mutations in the Pde6a gene. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18(1):178-92. 30. Pavlou M, Schön C, Occelli LM, Rossi A, Meumann N, Boyd RF, et al. Novel AAV capsids 456 for intravitreal gene therapy of photoreceptor disorders. *EMBO molecular medicine.* 2021;13(4):e13392. 31. Weinmann J, Söllner J, Abele S, Zimmermann G, Zuckschwerdt K, Mayer C, et al. Identification of Broadly Applicable Adeno-Associated Virus Vectors by Systematic

460 Comparison of Commonly Used Capsid Variants In Vitro. Human gene therapy. 2022;33(21-22):1197-212. 32. Tycko J, Barrera LA, Huston NC, Friedland AE, Wu X, Gootenberg JS, et al. Pairwise library screen systematically interrogates Staphylococcus aureus Cas9 specificity in human cells. Nature communications. 2018;9(1):2962. 33. Friedland AE, Baral R, Singhal P, Loveluck K, Shen S, Sanchez M, et al. Characterization of Staphylococcus aureus Cas9: a smaller Cas9 for all-in-one adeno-associated virus delivery 467 and paired nickase applications. Genome biology. 2015;16:257. 34. Yang Z, Fu Y, Zhao J, Zhang F, Li S, Zhao M, et al. Superior Fidelity and Distinct Editing 469 Outcomes of SaCas9 Compared to SpCas9 in Genome Editing. Genomics, proteomics & bioinformatics. 2022. 35. Pulman J, Sahel JA, and Dalkara D. New Editing Tools for Gene Therapy in Inherited Retinal 472 Dystrophies. Crispr j. 2022;5(3):377-88. 36. Suh S, Choi EH, Raguram A, Liu DR, and Palczewski K. Precision genome editing in the eye. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119(39):e2210104119. 37. Bigini F, Lee SH, Sun YJ, Sun Y, and Mahajan VB. Unleashing the potential of CRISPR multiplexing: Harnessing Cas12 and Cas13 for precise gene modulation in eye diseases. Vision research. 2023;213:108317. 38. DiCarlo JE, Mahajan VB, and Tsang SH. Gene therapy and genome surgery in the retina. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2018;128(6):2177-88. 39. Kolesnikov AV, Murphy DP, Corbo JC, and Kefalov VJ. Germline knockout of Nr2e3 481 protects photoreceptors in three distinct mouse models of retinal degeneration. *Proc Natl* Acad Sci U S A. 2024;121(11):e2316118121. 40. Cui T, Cai B, Tian Y, Liu X, Liang C, Gao Q, et al. Therapeutic In Vivo Gene Editing Achieved by a Hypercompact CRISPR-Cas12f1 System Delivered with All-in-One Adeno-485 Associated Virus. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2024;11(19):e2308095. 41. Kim DY, Lee JM, Moon SB, Chin HJ, Park S, Lim Y, et al. Efficient CRISPR editing with a hypercompact Cas12f1 and engineered guide RNAs delivered by adeno-associated virus. Nature biotechnology. 2022;40(1):94-102. 42. Wu Z, Zhang Y, Yu H, Pan D, Wang Y, Wang Y, et al. Programmed genome editing by a 490 miniature CRISPR-Cas12f nuclease. Nature chemical biology. 2021;17(11):1132-8. 491 43. Hino T, Omura SN, Nakagawa R, Togashi T, Takeda SN, Hiramoto T, et al. An AsCas12f-based compact genome-editing tool derived by deep mutational scanning and structural **analysis.** Cell. 2023;186(22):4920-35.e23. 44. Xiang G, Li Y, Sun J, Huo Y, Cao S, Cao Y, et al. Evolutionary mining and functional 495 characterization of TnpB nucleases identify efficient miniature genome editors. Nature biotechnology. 2023. 45. Altae-Tran H, Kannan S, Demircioglu FE, Oshiro R, Nety SP, McKay LJ, et al. The widespread IS200/IS605 transposon family encodes diverse programmable RNA-guided 499 endonucleases. Science (New York, NY). 2021;374(6563):57-65. 46. Han D, Xiao Q, Wang Y, Zhang H, Dong X, Li G, et al. Development of miniature base 501 editors using engineered IscB nickase. Nature methods. 2023;20(7):1029-36. 47. Park J, Bae S, and Kim J-S. Cas-Designer: a web-based tool for choice of CRISPR-Cas9 503 target sites. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England).* 2015;31(24):4014-6.

Figures and Figure legends

A

Figure 1. Gene editing of Nrl/Nr2e3 in N2a cells using AAV-SaCas9 vector. (A) Workflow for 541 the screening of sgRNAs targeting Nrl/Nr2e3 using the all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 vector in N2a 542 cells (Created by biorender.com). (B) Schematic representation of the mouse Nrl/Nr2e3 locus, illustrating the position of the designed sgRNA target. The 21-nt targeted sgRNA sequence is marked in black, and the NNGRRT PAM sequence is highlighted in green. All sgRNAs were positioned in the coding sequence to disrupt gene function. (C) Comparison of the indels 546 efficiency of the tested sgRNAs targeting Nrl/Nr2e3 using the all-in-one AAV-SaCas9 vector in N2a cells. (D) Representative Sanger sequencing chromatograms of edited N2a cells at the Nrl-sg2 site. The dashed line represents the expected cleavage sites of SaCas9. WT, wild type. 549 Values and error bars reflect the mean \pm s.e.m. and n=3 biologically independent experiments.

-
-

555 Figure 2. All-in-one AAV-SaCas9 mediated Nrl gene inactivation in Pde6a mice. (A) Workflow 556 for AAV-SaCas9 production, subretinal injection, and efficiency detection by deep sequencing 557 (Created by biorender.com). (B) Editing efficiency of the Pde6a mouse retina at the Nrl-sg2 558 site, as determined by deep sequencing. Control, $n=3$; $Nrl-sg2$, $n=6$. (C) Representative deep 559 sequencing results of edited mouse retina at the $Nrl-sg2$ site (read percentages > 1%). 560 Substitutions are shown in bold font. Red rectangles highlight inserted sequences. Horizontal 561 dashed lines indicate deleted sequences. The vertical dashed line indicates the predicted SaCas9 562 cleavage site.

Figure 3. Preservation of retinal photoreceptors in Pde6a mice by Nrl gene inactivation. (A-C) Representative immunofluorescence images of retinal sections in Nrl-edited or Pde6a control mice at P60. HA (A), Rhodopsin (B) and Cone arrestin (C) indicate SaCas9 expression, rod photoreceptors and cone photoreceptors, respectively. Scale bar, 50 μm. (D) Quantification of the fluorescence intensities of HA, Rhodopsin and Cone arrestin in Nrl-edited or Pde6a control mice at P60. Values and error bars reflect the mean ± s.e.m. and n=3 biologically independent 570 experiments. All *p* values were calculated by two-sided t tests. $\frac{*p}{0.05}$, $\frac{*p}{0.01}$.

572 Figure 4. Preservation of retinal function in Pde6a mice by Nrl gene inactivation. (A) 573 Representative image of retinal structure in Nrl-edited or Pde6a control mice at P60. OS, outer 574 segments; ONL, outer nuclear layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; and GCL, ganglion cell layer. (B) 575 Quantification of ONL and whole retina thickness in DAPI nuclei-stained retinal sections in 576 *Nrl*-edited or *Pde6a* control mice at P60. (C) Representative photopic ERG responses of WT, 577 Pde6a control or Nrl-edited mice at P60. The light stimulus intensities are 1, 3, 10 cd.s/m². (D) 578 Quantification of photopic ERG b wave amplitudes from WT, Pde6a control or Nrl-edited mice 579 at P60. (E) Quantification of visual acuity in WT, Pde6a control or Nrl-edited mice by OKR 580 testing. Values and error bars reflect the mean \pm s.e.m. and n=6 biologically independent 581 experiments. All p values were calculated by two-sided t tests. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, *** p 582 < 0.0001.